

Insight Report

Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

In collaboration with A.T. Kearney





Insight Report

Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

In collaboration with A.T. Kearney

The Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018 is published by the World Economic Forum's System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Production.

FROM THE WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Cheryl Martin Member of the Managing Board

Richard Samans Member of the Managing Board

Helena Leurent Head, System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Production

Francisco Betti Lead, System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Production

Margareta Drzeniek-Hanouz Head, System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Economic Progress

Thierry Geiger Head, Research and Regional Impact, System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Economic Progress

FROM A.T. KEARNEY

Johan Aurik Managing Partner and Chairman

Mauricio Zuazua Project Advisor

Otto Schulz Project Advisor

Andrea Blaylock

Project Collaborator

We would like to thank Mike Fisher for his editing work and Neil Weinberg for graphic design and layout. The online report (http://wef.ch/fopreadiness18) was created by Applied Works, Robert Gale at Design Resources Limited, and Travis Hensgen at Traversal.

World Economic Forum 91-93 route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland Tel.: +41 (0)22 869 1212 Fax: +41 (0)22 786 2744 E-mail: contact@weforum.org www.weforum.org

©2018 World Economic Forum All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the World Economic Forum.

The report and interactive data platform are available at http://wef.ch/fopreadiness18.

ISBN 978-1-944835-16-3

TERMS OF USE AND DISCLAIMER

The Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018 presents information and data that were compiled and/or collected by the World Economic Forum. Data in this report is subject to change without notice.

The terms *country* and *nation* as used in this report do not in all cases refer to a territorial entity that is a state as understood by international law and practice. The terms cover well-defined, geographically self-contained economic areas that may not be states but for which statistical data are maintained on a separate and independent basis.

Although the World Economic Forum takes every reasonable step to ensure that the data thus compiled and/or collected is accurately reflected in this report, the World Economic Forum, its agents, officers and employees: (i) provide the data "as is, as available" and without warranty of any kind, either express or implied, including, without limitation, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose and noninfringement; (ii) make no representations, express or implied, as to the accuracy of the data contained in this report or its suitability for any particular purpose; (iii) accept no liability for any use of the said data or reliance placed on it, in particular, for any interpretation, decisions, or actions based on the data in this report.

Other parties may have ownership interests in some of the data contained in this report. The World Economic Forum in no way represents or warrants that it owns or controls all rights in all data, and the World Economic Forum will not be liable to users for any claims brought against users by third parties in connection with their use of any data.

The World Economic Forum, its agents, officers, and employees do not endorse or in any respect warrant any third-party products or services by virtue of any data, material, or content referred to or included in this report. Users shall not infringe upon the integrity of the data and in particular shall refrain from any act of alteration of the data that intentionally affects its nature or accuracy. If the data is materially transformed by the user, this must be stated explicitly along with the required source citation.

For data compiled by parties other than the World Economic Forum, as specified in the "Technical Notes and Sources" section of this report, users must refer to these parties' terms of use, in particular concerning the attribution, distribution, and reproduction of the data. When data for which the World Economic Forum is the source, as specified in the "Technical Notes and Sources" section of this Report, is distributed or reproduced, it must appear accurately and be attributed to the World Economic Forum. This source attribution requirement is attached to any use of data, whether obtained directly from the World Economic Forum or from a user.

Users who make World Economic Forum data available to other users through any type of distribution or download environment agree to make reasonable efforts to communicate and promote compliance by their end users with these terms.

Users who intend to sell World Economic Forum data as part of a database or as a standalone product must first obtain the permission from the World Economic Forum (gcp@weforum.org).

Contents

v Preface

vii Executive Summary

1 Chapter 1: Preparing for the Future of Production

5 Chapter 2: Measuring Readiness for the Future of Production

Definition of Readiness Methodology and Framework Measurement Challenges

11 Chapter 3: Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment Results

Global Results Overview Archetype Analysis and Select Country Highlights

21 Chapter 4: Drivers of Production Analysis

Driver 1: Technology & Innovation Driver 2: Human Capital Driver 3: Global Trade & Investment Driver 4: Institutional Framework Driver 5: Sustainable Production Driver 6: Demand Environment

- 27 Way Forward
- 29 Endnotes
- 31 Acknowledgements
- 33 Appendix A: Regional and Income Group Classifications
- 35 Appendix B: Detailed Results by Archetype
- 43 Appendix C: Technical Notes and Sources
- 51 How to Read the Country Profiles
- 53 List of Countries
- 54 Country Profiles

Preface

As the Fourth Industrial Revolution gathers momentum, decision-makers from the public and private sectors are confronted with a new set of uncertainties regarding the future of production. Technologies are transcending the computing capabilities associated with the digital revolution, transforming the physical world through robotics and new methods of production; enhancing human beings physically, mentally, and experientially; and permeating the environment to facilitate greater interconnectivity, monitoring, and efficiency of resource use. Rapidly emerging technologies-such as the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, wearables, robotics and additive manufacturing-are spurring the development of new production techniques and business models that will fundamentally transform global production. These technologies are also driving new, more distributed and connected value chains. Both the speed and scope of change add a layer of complexity to the already challenging task of developing and implementing industrial strategies that promote productivity and inclusive growth.

In a dynamic and changing world, the World Economic Forum System Initiative on the Future of Production seeks to help usher in a sustainable production future that is:

- Solution-driven: technology can tackle and solve challenges that have previously been insurmountable.
- Human-centric: technology can unlock human potential by unleashing creativity, innovation and productivity in new ways.
- **Sustainable:** technology can promote sound production processes that minimize negative environmental impact, conserve energy and resources and enable carbon neutrality.
- Inclusive: employees, companies and countries at different stages of development benefit from Fourth Industrial Revolution technologies and the transformation of production systems.

Within the framework of the System Initiative, the Country Readiness for the Future of Production project focuses primarily on the fourth objective: inclusive transformation and growth. As production systems stand on the brink of another technological revolution, countries need to build awareness of the changing nature of production, determine how to best prepare to benefit from this transformation and collaborate across the public and private sector to enhance readiness. This project builds upon the World Economic Forum's competitiveness and benchmarking expertise and is a result of collaboration between the Future of Production and Future of Economic Progress teams at the Forum.

The World Economic Forum, in collaboration with A.T. Kearney, has developed a new benchmarking framework, diagnostic tool and data set to help countries understand their current level of readiness for the future of production, as well as corresponding opportunities and challenges. This report shares the results from the inaugural Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment and insights from in-depth multistakeholder analysis in select countries, including India, Japan, Mexico, Russian Federation and South Africa. Findings are intended to catalyse multistakeholder dialogue to inform the development of modern industrial strategies. Leaders from both the public and private sectors need to work together to address key challenges, build on opportunities and define joint actions at the national, regional and global level.

We are grateful to the many economists, policy-makers, leaders and experts from diverse domains who have helped with the development of this tool. We would like to specifically thank members of the Country Readiness Project Steering Committee and Global Future Council on Production who have dedicated their time and insight to this effort. We would also like to thank the many institutions that have shared data for this analysis.

HELENA LEURENT

Head, System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Production, World Economic Forum JOHAN AURIK

Managing Partner and Chairman, A.T. Kearney

Executive Summary

Context

The Fourth Industrial Revolution¹ and emerging technologies such as the Internet of Things, artificial intelligence, robotics and additive manufacturing-are spurring the development of new production techniques and business models that will fundamentally transform production. Both the speed and the scope of technological change, combined with the emergence of other trends, add a layer of complexity to the already challenging task of developing and implementing industrial strategies that promote productivity and inclusive growth. Further, recent changes put the competitiveness paradigm of low-cost manufacturing exports as a means for growth and development at risk. Countries need to decide how to best respond in this new production paradigm vis-à-vis their national strategies and their ambition to leverage production as a national capability. This requires countries to first understand the factors and conditions that have the greatest impact on the transformation of their production systems and then assess their readiness for the future. Subsequently, governmentstogether with industry, academia and civil society-can take suitable policy actions to close potential gaps related to their readiness for the future of production.

Approach

The data-driven Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 analyses how well positioned countries are today to shape and benefit from the changing nature of production in the future. Readiness is generally regarded as the ability to capitalize on future production opportunities, mitigate risks and challenges, and be resilient and agile in responding to unknown future shocks. As shown in **Figure 1**, the assessment is made up of two main components: Structure of Production, or a country's current baseline of production, and Drivers of Production, or the key enablers that position a country to capitalize on the Fourth Industrial Revolution to transform production systems.

The 100 countries and economies included in the assessment are assigned to one of four archetypes based on their performance in the Drivers of Production (vertical axis) and Structure of Production (horizontal axis), as shown in **Figure 2**.

The assessment is comprised of 59 indicators across the Drivers of Production and Structure of Production components. The end of this report includes detailed **Country Profiles** that can be used by policy-makers, business leaders and others to identify specific opportunities and challenges for individual countries as they navigate the future of production.





Figure 1: Readiness Diagnostic Model Framework

Figure 2: Country Archetypes



Unfavorable Drivers of Production

Note: Average performance of the top 75 countries (weighted average driver score, weighted average structure score) is at the intersection of the four quadrants to create the archetype borders.

Definition of Production

Production involves a broad spectrum of economic activity related to manufacturing products and goods. A full end-toend appraisal of what it entails reveals the following sequence: Design-Source-Manufacture-Assemble-Distribute-Service-End of use-cycle. Advanced manufacturing refers to the use of innovative technology to improve processes and products, while traditional manufacturing relies more on the use manual or mechanized techniques.

Scope


The Readiness Assessment is a mid-level analysis focused on production. This means the assessment does not comment on the balances across different sectors in the national economy (e.g. services or agriculture), nor does it analyse country positions in specific sub-sectors or industries within production (e.g. textile or automotive). The assessment is forward-looking in that it measures readiness for the future, rather than performance today. It measures average national readiness—meaning it assesses the entire country on average, not just the highest-performing areas within a country. Thus, the assessment does not look at sub-regional differentiation within a country (e.g. Northern Italy compared to Southern Italy, coastal China compared to rural China, etc.).

Key Findings

The framework was applied to conduct analysis of 100 countries, as shown in **Figure 3**.

This assessment reveals numerous insights derived from the quantitative assessment as well as more in-depth engagement activities conducted in India, Mexico, Japan, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation, South Africa, and six ASEAN countries.

Figure 3: Global Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018



Note: Average performance of the top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

- 1. Global transformation of production systems will be a challenge, and the future of production could become increasingly polarized in a two-speed world. Of the 100 countries and economies included in the assessment, only 25 countries from Europe, North America and East Asia are Leading countries, or in the best position to benefit from the changing nature of production. These 25 countries already account for over 75% of global Manufacturing Value Added (MVA) and are well positioned to increase their share in the future. Furthermore, approximately 70% of robot sales take place in China, Germany, Japan, Republic of Korea and the United States. Germany, Japan and the United States dominate the landscape of high-value industrial robots, while China serves as the most rapidly growing market.² Approximately 90% of the countries from Latin America, Middle East, Africa and Eurasia included in the assessment are classified as Nascent countries, or the group least ready for the future of production. Across all countries, bringing Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs) along in the journey is a global challenge, as these companies have varying levels of awareness, understanding and ability to invest. Global solutions and significant investment will be required to bring countries at different stages of development and MSMEs along in the transformation journey.
- 2. Different pathways will emerge as countries navigate the transformation of production systems. Not all countries may seek to pursue advanced manufacturing in the future. Some countries that are next in line as

low-cost labour destinations may still seek to capture traditional manufacturing opportunities in the near term under the current paradigm. Other countries may pursue a dual approach, with some areas pursuing advanced manufacturing and other areas pursuing traditional manufacturing. Advanced countries may seek to focus primarily on advanced manufacturing. Some countries may prioritize other sectors in the national economy over production. It will be important for each country to differentiate itself, capitalize on competitive advantages and make wise trade-offs in forming its own unique strategy for the future of production and its broader economy.

- 3. All countries have room for improvement. We are at the beginning of the transformation journey, and no country has reached the frontier of readiness, let alone harnessed the full potential of the Fourth Industrial Revolution in production. Compared to the ideal score (10), Japan has the strongest Structure of Production score (8.99), and the United States has the strongest weighted average Drivers of Production score (8.16) across all six drivers. While there are early leaders to learn from-including China, Germany, Japan, Republic of Korea, Singapore, the United States and others-these countries are also still navigating the early stages of transformation. Even the most advanced and complex countries are not strong in every part of their country, as different sub-regions have different levels of readiness. Furthermore, every country has a specific industry footprint today (e.g. food and beverage, automotive) and no country covers all industries. The specific industry footprints of each country may be challenged in the future under a different production paradigm.
- 4. There are common challenges within each archetype. Leading countries need to convert readiness into actual transformation and push the frontier by designing, testing and pioneering emerging technologies. Legacy countries need to avoid getting squeezed between more advanced Leading countries, which can offer more advanced manufacturing, and Nascent countries that can offer lower cost labour. This starts with improving the institutional framework, investing in human capital and boosting technology platforms and innovation capacity-the three areas where Legacy countries perform the worst, on average. High-Potential countries and economies have capabilities that can potentially be converted to strengthen their Structure of Production and further diversify their economy. Their key challenge will be to find the right balance across sectors when determining priorities for the national economy (e.g. how much to focus on developing industry compared to services) and to then choose which industries to develop. Nascent countries are an eclectic group with varying levels of industrial development. Their key challenge will be to determine whether to pursue advanced manufacturing or traditional manufacturing, and to what extent, as part of their overall economic strategy. Nascent

countries seeking to accelerate readiness need to improve performance across all Drivers of Production, starting with improving the institutional framework. Attracting global investment will also be critical for knowledge and technology transfer.

- 5. As the new technological paradigm brings forth a cluster of new industries, there is potential for leapfrogging, but only a handful of countries are positioned to capitalize. New technological paradigms serve as a window of opportunity for lagging countries to catch up, since they can enter emerging industries at a later stage without the legacy costs of being locked into existing technologies. The opportunity exists with emerging technologies related to the Fourth Industrial Revolution. But are countries ready? While short cuts are attractive, a minimum level of capability is needed to leapfrog. High-Potential countries and economies, such as Australia and the UAE, and Nascent or Leading countries closest to the High-Potential archetype border, are likely in the best position to leapfrog in this new production paradigm. These countries do not have a large current production base, but have the resources and potentially the right combination of other capabilities to capitalize on leapfrogging opportunities in the new production paradigm. However, countries still need to identify which options are most promising and have an effective strategy for capturing these opportunities. Countries need to make investments-either broad investments across drivers, focused investments in a set of specific areas, or both-to improve readiness and their ability to leapfrog.
- 6. The Fourth Industrial Revolution will trigger selective reshoring, nearshoring and other structural changes to global value chains. Inertia is one of the biggest obstacles to changing global value chains, as it is so costly in most industries to move production from one location to another. The adoption of emerging technologies will change the cost-benefit equation for shifting production activities and, ultimately, impact location attractiveness. When a value chain is newly defined, countries will have opportunities to gain position or lose share. For example, countries that have been outsourcing activities for the last several decades may now be able to reshore or nearshore these production activities to be closer to their consumer base. The future of production will require certain skills and capabilities at each stage of the global value chain. It is critical for countries to enhance readiness and develop unique capabilities that make them an attractive production destination within global value chains. If countries cannot build capabilities and connectedness quickly, then they may lose out fast.
- 7. Readiness for the future of production requires global and regional, not just national, solutions. Countries seeking to compete in the future of production need to invest in enablers and develop a strategy to capitalize on

future opportunities and build or transition their production base. However, there are some enablers that cannot be developed in isolation to unlock the full potential of the future of production. For example, globally connected production systems require not only sophisticated technology, but standards, norms and interoperability across a diverse set of systems. Regulations and legal frameworks for emerging technologies developed at the sector level—versus independently by each country—can result in tremendous efficiencies and improve the ease of doing business across global value chains. Regional cooperation can also help countries leverage their collective strengths to compete on a global scale.

8. New and innovative approaches to public-private collaboration are needed to accelerate transformation. Every country faces challenges that cannot be solved by the private sector or public sector alone. Legacy and Nascent countries, in particular, can accelerate readiness and transformation by utilizing the private sector more actively in tackling macro level challenges. Leading countries, such as Germany and Japan, also involve the private sector in the development and implementation of strategies, such as Industry 4.0³ and Society 5.0⁴ strategies. Traditional public-private partnerships have historically had varying levels of success. However, new approaches to public-private collaboration that complement traditional models can help governments effectively and quickly partner with industry, academia and society to unlock new value.

Key Hypotheses

The Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment is intended to stimulate discussion and advance further research. There are two key hypotheses and working assumptions that are fundamental to the assessment's framework that will be tested and researched over time. The framework will be updated as the future unfolds.

- The most important drivers of future readiness are Technology & Innovation, Human Capital, Institutional Framework and Global Trade & Investment. These drivers have the strongest correlation with economic complexity. The needs within each driver will evolve as we shift from current to future production paradigms, but the overall drivers will remain significant.
- Scale is not a prerequisite for future readiness. Economic complexity is more important than scale for readiness for the future of production. The ability to gather, combine and use knowledge embedded in people and technology to create a range of unique products will become an increasingly important competitive advantage. Thus, small countries such as Switzerland or Singapore are not necessarily at a disadvantage against global giants with larger scale.

Way Forward

This report analyses and presents the results of the first edition of the Readiness Assessment, which evaluates how well positioned 100 countries and economies across all geographies and stages of development are to shape and benefit from the changing nature of production. Given that the future is uncertain and hard to predict, the framework and methodology will continue to be updated as the future unfolds. Furthermore, engagement activities, such as multistakeholder workshops, and country as well as regional in-depth analyses, will be conducted in select countries to catalyse multistakeholder dialogue and action, and complement quantitative findings with qualitative insights. Lastly, the World Economic Forum has commenced work on a new transition framework to help governments design new strategies in collaboration with the private sector, civil society and academia. While the assessment is intended to serve as a diagnostic tool, the transition framework will support treatment of the diagnosis.

Chapter 1

Preparing for the Future of Production

Production has traditionally been an engine for growth, prosperity and innovation in countries around the world. Many advanced economies today, such as Germany, Japan, the United Kingdom and the United States, accelerated their growth and development through early industrialization. Newly industrialized economies in East Asia followed similar paths in more recent decades, achieving unprecedented growth and development through industrialization and export-led growth. Manufacturing has increased prosperity for nations by creating both direct and indirect jobs. Industry accounts for nearly a quarter of total global employment⁵, and the multiplier effect of manufacturing jobs often results in the creation of several indirect jobs. In the United States, it is estimated that, for each full-time equivalent job in manufacturing, there are 3.4 full-time equivalent jobs created in non-manufacturing sectors.⁶ Manufacturing has spurred innovation across entire economies. Manufacturing companies in China, Germany, Japan and Republic of Korea, for example, account for approximately 80% of all business-financed R&D in their economies.⁷

Traditional industrialization models that have worked in the past are now being challenged as viable growth models for the future due to changes in production and the macro environment. Each industrial revolution has created its own path, and new players have often seized the opportunity to bypass legacy leaders. The Fourth Industrial Revolution and emerging technologies are spurring the development of new production techniques and business models that will fundamentally transform global production systems.⁸ **Table 1.1** presents a description of emerging technologies of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. In some cases, the adoption of technology in

| Technology | Description |
|--|--|
| Artificial intelligence and robotics | Development of machines that can substitute for humans, increasingly in tasks associated with thinking, multitasking and fine motor skills. |
| Ubiquitous linked sensors | Also known as the "Internet of Things." The use of networked sensors to remotely connect, track and manage products, systems and grids. |
| Virtual and augmented realities | Next-step interfaces between humans and computers involving immersive environments, holographic readouts and digitally produced overlays for mixed-reality experiences. |
| Additive manufacturing | Advances in additive manufacturing, using a widening range of materials and methods. Innovations include 3D bioprinting of organic tissues. |
| Blockchain and distributed ledger technology | Distributed ledger technology based on cryptographic systems that manage, verify and publicly record transaction data; the basis of "cryptocurrencies" such as bitcoin. |
| Advanced materials and nanomaterials | Creation of new materials and nanostructures for the development of beneficial material properties, such as thermoelectric efficiency, shape retention and new functionality. |
| Energy capture, storage and transmission | Breakthroughs in battery and fuel cell efficiency; renewable energy through solar, wind, and tidal technologies; energy distribution through smart grid systems; wireless energy transfer; and more. |
| New computing technologies | New architectures for computing hardware, such as quantum computing, biological computing or neural network processing, as well as innovative expansion of current computing technologies. |
| Biotechnologies | Innovations in genetic engineering, sequencing and therapeutics, as well as biological computational interfaces and synthetic biology. |
| Geoengineering | Technological intervention in planetary systems, typically to mitigate effects of climate change by removing carbon dioxide or managing solar radiation. |
| Neurotechnology | Innovations such as smart drugs, neuroimaging and bioelectronic interfaces that allow for reading, communicating and influencing human brain activity. |
| Space technologies | Developments allowing for greater access to and exploration of space, including microsatellites, advanced telescopes, reusable rockets and integrated rocket-jet engines. |

Table 1.1: Twelve Key Emerging Technologies

Source: World Economic Forum Handbook on the Fourth Industrial Revolution and World Economic Forum Global Risks Report 2017.

production systems has led to a decline in middle-skill jobs and growth in low-skill and high-skill jobs, and automation threatens between 2–8% of today's jobs in developing economies.⁹

Furthermore, globalization has contributed to the steady decline of overall income inequality between countries over the past 20 years. This has been a significant achievement and has helped lift hundreds of millions of people out of poverty. Within countries, however, income inequality has actually increased, on average.¹⁰ Restrictions on immigration, trade and other cross-border flows are on the rise after a quarter-century of rapid globalization.¹¹ Growing levels of nationalism, protectionism and parochialism, coupled with developed countries seeking to reindustrialize or upgrade their manufacturing sectors raises questions around reshoring. Therefore, the Fourth Industrial Revolution could potentially coincide with a slowdown or even reversal of international collaboration.

These factors, combined with the speed and scope of technological change, add a layer of complexity to the already challenging task of developing and implementing industrial strategies that promote productivity and inclusive growth. Developing countries with a primary competitive advantage of low-cost labour face serious risks, as emerging technologies could lead to reshoring manufacturing back to high-income countries and limit the production opportunities for lowerincome countries. Developed countries seeking to reinvigorate their manufacturing sector also need to grapple with the reality that the same blue-collar jobs of past years may never return.

The future of production is at the heart of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and presents a myriad of opportunities. Despite the challenges inherent in any transformation of

any industry, it has the potential to lead to unprecedented gains in productivity, efficiency and quality. The adoption of technologies on a global scale can dramatically increase the connectedness of global value chains and unlock new datadriven business models. Furthermore, combining emerging technology with human skills can boost productivity and reduce error rates, while creating new types of jobs and increasing demand for higher skill labour. The Fourth Industrial Revolution also has the potential to create entirely new product portfolios as technologies increase flexibility and enable customization. For production-specific use cases of emerging technologies, readers should refer to the World Economic Forum white paper Scaling Fourth Industrial Revolution Technologies in Production: The New Economic Growth Engine, scheduled for publication in early 2018. Leapfrogging opportunities may also emerge in areas where new technological paradigms reveal clusters of new industries and opportunities to realize gains in competitiveness, as discussed in more detail in Box 1.1.

No opportunity comes without risks, and the Fourth Industrial Revolution is no exception. New business models could quickly alter the attractiveness of manufacturing locations, leading to a high concentration of global manufacturing activity in a small set of countries and companies and shocking countries where manufacturing activity significantly drops. 'Double winners' or 'double losers' of globalization and the Fourth Industrial Revolution could result in increased inequality and social unrest. Automation has already led to job loss, and technology could increasingly become a substitute rather than a complement to human productivity. Hoarding of technologies could limit the extent of adoption and diffusion. Conversely,

Box 1.1: Leapfrogging and the Fourth Industrial Revolution

Schumpeterian economists first proposed the term 'leapfrogging' together with the concept of the 'windows of opportunity.' Perez and Soete proposed that emerging technological paradigms serve as a window of opportunity for the latecomer or developing country that is not locked into the old technological system to grab new opportunities in the emerging or new industries.¹² They further pointed out that during the time of paradigm shift, certain advantages of early entry into these new industries exist, such as low entry barriers and a lack of firmly established market leaders. During the initial stage of any new technological paradigm, the technology performance is unstable. Therefore, if human resources are able to access the sources of knowledge

and create new additional knowledge, entry into emerging technology could be easier than during the later stage of technological evolution. Furthermore, latecomer countries can be said to hold a relatively advantageous position, as they are not locked into existing technologies. In contrast, advanced countries tend to fall into the incumbent trap. They are often locked into current existing technologies due to currently high productivity within those technologies, but remain unsure about the profitability of emerging technologies.

Today a wave of new innovations defines the Fourth Industrial Revolution, which also marks the arrival of a new techno-economic paradigm. How latecomer economies respond to new innovations and technologies will impact their economic fortune. New innovations can be a new window of opportunity or a window of further falling behind to remain stuck in the middle-income trap.¹³

Even if one country or a single company within a country takes the option of leapfrogging, it should be carefully managed because it comes with both possibilities and risks.¹⁴ These risks tend to fall into two categories: 1) making the right or wrong choice among several alternative technological trajectories and standards, and 2) uncertainty as to whether an initial market for the first movers exists. widespread adoption of emerging technologies into high-stakes production environments could have adverse impacts if there are system failures, such as artificial intelligence making costly production related errors in judgement. These risks need to be anticipated, monitored and mitigated.


One of the key defining features of the Fourth Industrial Revolution is uncertainty. Innovation can be hugely disruptive, but the extent of the disruption that will occur or which sectors will be most disrupted, while currently debated and forecasted, is still unknown and can be shaped by countries today. The share of traditional manufacturing compared to advanced manufacturing will shift over time, but to what extent and how rapidly? The Fourth Industrial Revolution will fundamentally transform production systems, but at this nascent stage its full impact has yet to be realized.

That is why, as production systems stand on the brink of another technological revolution, countries need to decide how to best respond. Countries at different stages of development can learn from global approaches as they shape their own unique journey in the future of production. However, there is no one-size-fits-all approach for the future, and countries will need to intentionally choose to be different and make tradeoffs as they form and execute their strategy. Not all countries may prioritize advanced manufacturing as part of their strategy, as some may seek to pursue traditional manufacturing and capture share within current production paradigms in the short term. Countries will also need to decide on the sectors and value chains within production to prioritize based on comparable advantages. Most importantly, countries need to make decisions about production in the context of their national economic strategy. A combination of multiple strategies around production, services and agriculture is likely needed to drive growth, prosperity and innovation in the future.

As in all industrial revolutions, input from all stakeholders is required for successful transformation. Governments, companies, trade unions, academia, civil society and others should collaborate to shape the future of production. Public-private collaboration is particularly critical to facilitate participation in future global value chains. New approaches to public-private collaboration to complement traditional models can also help governments effectively partner with industry to unlock new value for the country.

Preparation today can help countries be agile, competitive and resilient in the future. Put more specifically, 'readiness' represents the extent to which a country has capacity and is well positioned *today* to do the following in the *future:* 1) capitalize on advanced manufacturing opportunities, 2) mitigate risks and challenges, and 3) be resilient to future shocks and the unknown. To enhance readiness and prepare for the future, decision-makers need to assess their current capabilities, identify new capabilities required to benefit from and succeed in a new production paradigm, and develop collaborative and customized solutions to facilitate transformation.

The World Economic Forum Country Readiness project has launched a new diagnostic tool, benchmarking framework and data set to build awareness on the key levers and factors Figure 1.1: Scope of the Readiness Assessment



required to transform production systems; help decisionmakers assess the extent to which their country is ready and positioned to shape and benefit from the changing nature of production; and catalyse public-private sector dialogue and joint actions to transition to future realities. The Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment analyses the degree to which countries today are well positioned to shape and benefit from the changing nature of production in the future. The findings presented in this report are the result of the Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 that was carried out with this tool. The framework, methodology and data will continue to be refined as the future unfolds.

The forward-looking assessment is based on two dimensions: Structure of Production, or the current baseline of production today, and Drivers of Production, or key enablers to capitalize on emerging technologies to transform production systems. Insights and findings from the assessment can inform the development of modern industrial strategies and supporting policies as countries seek to improve their production base and key enablers. Leaders can also use the tool to track progress and monitor results over time.

With the release of the Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018, it is important to draw boundaries on what the tool does and does not do. Since the assessment is designed to be a mid-level analysis focused on production, it does not look at overall national economic strategy across sectors, nor does it look at specific subsectors within production. The tool does not differentiate between sub-regions within a country, but measures average overall readiness. Figure 1.1 identifies the focus of the assessment within this broader context. Rather than current performance, it measures readiness for the future of production where a confluence of technology and trends will reshape the way things are made. Given that the future is uncertain and inherently difficult to measure, the assessment is not a prediction of the future. By design, it does not provide an overall ranking of countries, recognizing that each country has

its own unique development path and production objectives that a linear ranking would not sufficiently capture. Instead, countries are placed into one of four archetypes that provide a new dimension for benchmarking against countries in a similar position. Based on specific research questions, the assessment allows for any desired clusters within or across archetypes to be formed and analysed. Further, it is not prescriptive, but provides elements of analysis in the form of comparable and reliable indicators that must be further interpreted within the context of a given country to inform agenda-setting and decision-making.

The assessment is one of many tools that decisionmakers can use to prepare for the future and enhance readiness. Other ongoing projects under the mandate of the World Economic Forum System Initiative on Shaping the Future of Production provide deeper analysis on key enablers, including technology and innovation, employment and skills and sustainable production. In 2018 and beyond, the Country Readiness project will release additional tools to support treatment of the diagnostic (i.e. how countries address opportunities and challenges identified in the assessment), including a transition framework to help governments design new strategies in collaboration with the private sector, civil society and academia.

Chapter 2

Measuring Readiness for the Future of Production

Definition of Readiness

For the purposes of the Country Readiness Project, "readiness" is the ability to capitalize on future production opportunities, mitigate risks and challenges, and be resilient and agile in responding to unknown future shocks. The assessment measures readiness for the future of production, rather than production performance today. Further, it looks at average readiness of the entire country—the entire country on average, not just the highest performing areas within a country. Results from the quantitative analysis were complemented with qualitative insights from country engagement activities in India, Mexico, Japan, Republic of Korea, Russian Federation and South Africa, and six ASEAN countries.

Methodology and Framework

The inaugural Readiness Assessment was conducted using a framework that was developed through a series of multistakeholder consultations, including with leading experts in government, international organizations and research institutions. The assessment is intended to stimulate discussion and advance further research and will be updated as the future unfolds.

Future of Production Scorecard

While no one can precisely predict the future, we can already see key enablers and factors that are allowing countries to adopt emerging technologies, increase productivity and transform production systems. The framework identifies key levers required to effectively transform production systems in light of rapidly emerging technologies. As shown in **Figure 2.1**, the assessment measures readiness for the future of production across two different components: Structure of Production and Drivers of Production.

Structure of Production

Production is one of several catalysts for growth that countries can pursue to increase the prosperity of people and achieve other objectives. A country's Structure of Production depends on several variables, including the strategic decisions a country makes to prioritize sector development across agriculture, mining, industry and services. This structure reflects the complexity and scale of a country's current production base, as shown in **Figure 2.2.** The scope of the assessment does not include sectoral mix; therefore, this is not measured as part of the Structure of Production. Countries with a large, more complex Structure of Production today are more ready for the future in that they already have a production base to build upon.







Figure 2.2: Structure of Production: Concepts Measured



- **Complexity:** Assesses the mix and uniqueness of products a country can make as a result of the amount of useful knowledge embedded in the economy and the ways in which this knowledge is combined. See **Box 2.1** for more on Ricardo Haussmann and Cesar A. Hidalgo's research on economic complexity.
- Scale: Assesses both the total volume of manufacturing output within a country (Manufacturing Value Added) as well as the significance of manufacturing to the economy (Manufacturing Value Added, % of GDP).

Drivers of Production

The framework's Drivers of Production are key enablers that position a country to capitalize on emerging technologies and opportunities in the future of production. A consultative process was used to identify six main drivers: Technology & Innovation, Human Capital, Global Trade & Investment, Institutional Framework, Sustainable Resources, and Demand Environment. Each has corresponding categories, sub-categories and indicators that measure key concepts, as shown in **Figure 2.3**. Countries that perform well across the Drivers of Production are considered more 'ready' because the mix of enablers will allow for the adoption and diffusion of technology to accelerate transformation of production systems.

- Technology & Innovation: Assesses the extent to which a country has an advanced, secure and connected ICT infrastructure to support the adoption of new technologies in production. Also measures a country's ability to foster innovation and commercialize innovations that have potential application in production.
- Human Capital: Assesses a country's ability to respond to shifts in the production labour market triggered by the Fourth Industrial Revolution by looking at both current labour force capabilities as well as the long-term ability to cultivate the right skills and talent in the future work force.

Box 2.1: A Closer Look at Economic Complexity

What is economic complexity? The Economic Complexity Index (ECI) is a measure of the knowledge embedded in a society expressed by the products it makes. Economies with high economic complexity have been able to amass sophisticated capabilities and knowledge to make a diverse and complex set of products. Increases in ECI are associated with improvements in income levels and economic growth.¹⁵

How is economic complexity calculated?

The economic complexity of a country or region is calculated based on the diversity and ubiquity of the products it makes, or the number of the economies that are able to produce them. Products that require sophisticated know-how and many capabilities tend to be produced by few economies.

How can economies improve economic complexity?


There is ongoing research on policies that can improve a region's economic complexity and the growth that accompanies it. Unlike indices that are derived from a set of pre-conceived conditions, the ECI reflects an outcome. Economies can track it and seek to improve it with policies that encourage diversification and a move towards more complex products. These policies are context specific, based on a country's current product mix, and the capabilities that will help firms 'jump' to new products. Examples of these policies may involve upgrading infrastructure, cold chain logistics and customs efficiency to allow a region to move from exporting canned fruit to fresh produce. The creation of special economic zones has allowed countries to attract more foreign

direct investment that increases the complexity of their exports by creating microcosms of efficiency. Policies that allow for the import of talent and diffusion of know-how in society also contribute to improvements in ECI.

How are economic complexity scores incorporated into the readiness assessment?

The Economic Complexity Index (ECI) publishes a value for each economy annually. The Readiness Assessment 2018 uses values from the Atlas of Economic Complexity 2016 Global Rankings, which can be found at http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/. All scores are normalized to the 0–10 scale used for all indicators included in the assessment.and 2) uncertainty as to whether an initial market for the first movers exists.

Figure 2.3: Drivers of Production: Concepts Measured



- Global Trade & Investment: Assesses a country's participation in international trade to facilitate the exchange of products, knowledge and technology, and to establish global linkages. Also measures the availability of financial resources to invest in production-related development as well as the quality of infrastructure to enable productionrelated activities.
- Institutional Framework: Assesses how effective government institutions, rules and regulations contribute towards shepherding technological development, novel businesses and advanced manufacturing.
- Sustainable Resources: Assesses the impact of production on the environment, including a country's use of natural resources and alternative energy sources.
- **Demand Environment:** Assesses a country's access to foreign and local demand to scale production. Also measures the sophistication of the consumer base, as this can drive diverse industry activity and new products.

For a detailed description of each driver and analysis of the driver significance in relationship to readiness for the future of production, please refer to Chapter 4 of this report.

Indicators

The assessment includes 59 indicators that capture pertinent concepts that are fundamental to a country's readiness for the future of production. These indicators are measured by internationally recognized organizations, including the International Energy Agency (IEA), International Labour Organization (ILO), International Telecommunication Union (ITU), Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), United Nations (UN), United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), World Bank (WB), World Trade Organization (WTO), and others. The assessment also includes indicators from the World Economic Forum's Executive Opinion Survey (EOS) that measures the qualitative aspects of various dimensions, or serves as a substitute where a comparable statistical data was not available for a large enough set of countries. See Appendix C for the full indicator list and detailed descriptions.

Global Mapping of Results

One of the key outputs of the assessment is the global mapping of results. The following section describes the methodology used to develop the global mapping. For a visual explanation of how to read the results, please refer to the **How** to **Read the Country Profiles** section.

Box 2.2: Alternative Weighting Schemes Based on Future Scenarios

Given that the assessment is forward looking, the weighting scheme inherently reflects an embedded view of the future. An underlying assumption of the model is that economic complexity is a key measure of readiness, as the ability to make increasingly complex and unique products will be important for future competitiveness in production. Thus, complexity is the core concept at the heart of the weighting scheme used for the assessment. When comparing the relationship between the Drivers of Production and complexity, four drivers stood out for their explanatory power of complexity: Technology & Innovation, Human Capital, Global Trade & Investment, Institutional Framework. These drivers all received the highest weight.

The current weighting scheme reflects one view of the future. Of course, the future is uncertain and hard to predict. Any number of scenarios could unfold and make different drivers more or less important. For example, Sustainable Resources is weighted lower due to its low correlation with Economic Complexity historically. However, sustainable production practices are critical to a sustainable production future and in different scenarios can be weighted much higher. Readers interested in making their own adjustments to weightings given to different drivers can explore the interactive online tool at http://wef.ch/fopreadiness18. For additional perspectives on potential future scenarios for production, please see the World Economic Forum whitepaper Shaping the Future of Production: Four Contrasting Perspectives in 2030 (https://www.weforum.org/whitepapers/shaping-the-future-ofproduction-four-contrasting-perspectives-in-2030).

Country Coverage

This inaugural assessment includes 100 countries and economies covering all regions of the world. Country inclusion is largely driven by data availability and the significance of production in these countries. The 100 countries and economies included in the assessment account for over 96% of the global Manufacturing Value Added (MVA).¹⁶ Seventy-eight countries have 100% data coverage and 90 countries have at least 98% data coverage. Only Hong Kong SAR has less than 95% data coverage. In cases where data was missing, imputed data was used to calculate overall driver scores. See **Appendix C** for a list of imputed data and approach by indicator. The World Economic Forum seeks to expand coverage of the assessment as more data becomes available in future years.

Scale and Normalization

All scores for indicators, sub-categories, categories, Drivers of Production, as well as total driver and structure scores are measured on a 0–10 scale, with a maximum value (10) representing the ideal. Individual indicators are normalized using a min-max approach, which converts values for all indicators into unit-less scores ranging from 0 to 10. These normalized scores can then be combined to produce aggregated scores. In the case where a higher value corresponds to a worse outcome (e.g. emissions), the indicators are still normalized so that 10 always corresponds to the ideal outcome.

For each indicator, the ideal value does not necessarily correspond to actual maximum (or minimum) values in the country sample. The target value corresponds to widely accepted policy targets or aspirations and is aligned with the World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Index in cases where indicators are used for both assessments.¹⁷ The min and max targets will be kept constant in future iterations of the assessment.

Weighting

The assessment is weighted at the driver level with categories and sub-categories receiving equal weighting within each dimension. Complexity has a larger weight than Scale within the Structure of Production component. Drivers are also given different weights, derived from their overall significance in relation to economic complexity. See **Table 2.1** for a summary of the weighting scheme.


Table 2.1: Readiness Assessment Weighting Scheme

| Drivers of Production | Weights |
|---------------------------|---------|
| Technology & Innovation | 20% |
| Human Capital | 20% |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% |
| Institutional Framework | 20% |
| Demand Environment | 15% |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% |
| Structure of Production | Weights |
| Complexity | 60% |
| Scale | 40% |

Archetypes

Recognizing that each country has its own unique goals and strategy for production and development, countries do not receive an overall global ranking. Instead, countries are assigned to one of the four archetypes based on their weighted Structure of Production and weighted Driver of Production scores. The lines to divide the four quadrants are drawn using the average Driver of Production score (5.7) and Structure of Production score (5.7) for the Top 75 countries, based on

Figure 2.4: Country Archetypes



Note: Average performance of the top 75 countries (weighted average driver score, weighted average structure score) is at the intersection of the four quadrants to create the archetype borders.

Structure of Production rankings. Fixed lines allow for additional countries to be added in the future without shifting the lines. See **Figure 2.4** for a visualization of the archetypes. The two different components reflect the need to both invest in the Drivers of Production to increase capacity to take advantage of future opportunities and develop a strategy to convert capacity into an expanded production base in the future.

The archetypes provide a unique perspective for benchmarking against countries with a similar outlook for the future of production:

- Leading: Countries with a strong production base today that exhibit a high level of readiness for the future through strong performance across the Drivers of Production component. These countries also have the most current economic value at stake for future disruptions.
- Legacy: Countries with a strong production base today that are at risk for the future due to weaker performance across the Drivers of Production component.
- High-Potential: Countries with a limited production base today that score well across the Drivers of Production component, indicating that capacity exists to increase production in the future depending on priorities within the national economy.
- Nascent: Countries with a limited production base today that exhibit a low level of readiness for the future through weak performance across the Drivers of Production component.

Each country has its own unique strengths and improvement areas and is therefore assigned to an archetype for a variety of reasons. No two countries within an archetype are the same, but general trends can be observed by archetype. Additional cluster analysis within and across archetypes to answer specific research questions can also be conducted using the dataset.

Measurement challenges

There are several measurement limitations that make this exercise challenging. First, it is inherently difficult to measure or predict uncertainties that come with an unknown future. Furthermore, there is a lack of empirical evidence about the topic, given we are still in the process of understanding the factors and conditions that have the greatest impact on transforming production systems. To address this, the framework will be revisited and updated as the future unfolds.

Secondly, there is a lack of sufficient data for some key concepts. In several cases, the assessment uses proxy indicators where direct measures are not available. For example, more manufacturing specific data would give a better view than national level indicators on topics such as labour force capabilities, emissions and so on. There were also several concepts considered but not included due to lack of data availability for a large set of countries.

Lastly, sectoral strengths and weaknesses in manufacturing are difficult to identify in a holistic assessment. In-depth qualitative analyses have been conducted in select countries to provide a more comprehensive view and complement the quantitative assessment with qualitative insights. However, each country will need to view the assessment in the context of its own sectoral strategy and adapt priorities accordingly.

Chapter 3

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment Results

The Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 evaluates the readiness of 100 countries and economies for the future of production on a scale of 0 (worst score) to 10 (best score) across both the Drivers of Production and Structure of Production components. In this chapter, we present the global results, and then examine the results by archetype, highlighting the performance of selected countries from each of the four archetypes. Please refer to the online reader (http://wef.ch/ fopreadiness18) and the end of this report for the detailed Country Profiles. Results are intended to help catalyse structured dialogue between the public and private sectors in order to inform the development of the next generation of industrial development strategies. Furthermore, since the assessment will be repeated on an annual basis it will equip leaders with the tools to monitor and track issues that are most relevant for the future of production.


Global Results Overview

As stated above, the framework has been applied to conduct an analysis of 100 countries and economies, which represent over 96% of global Manufacturing Value Added (MVA) and over 96% of global Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Countries were then plotted to four archetypes—Leading, Legacy, High-Potential and Nascent countries—based on their weighted Structure of Production and Drivers of Production scores, as shown in **Figure 3.1** and **Table 3.1**.

Of the 100 countries and economies included in the assessment, there are 25 Leading countries, 10 Legacy countries, 7 High-Potential countries/economies and 58 Nascent countries. The assessment reveals that all countries can do more to prepare for and shape future production paradigms. It is important to note, for example, that no Leading country has achieved a perfect score of 10 on either the Drivers of Production or Structure of Production components.

Furthermore, the assessment highlights the potential for widened disparity between countries, as well as the challenge of achieving inclusive growth in the future through production alone. This is most apparent when comparing the varying levels of readiness across geographic regions and economic income groups. The countries with the highest levels of readiness for

Figure 3.1: Global Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018



Note: Average performance of the Top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

the future of production are concentrated in Europe, North America, and East Asia; 20 of the Leading countries are situated in Europe and North America and five are in East Asia. All Leading countries are high-income countries except for China and Malaysia.¹⁸ Since labour is typically a more significant production cost in high-income countries, these countries stand to potentially realize the highest productivity gains from the emerging technologies. Overall, the 25 Leading countries already account for over three quarters of global Manufacturing Value Added today¹⁹ and are poised to do well in the future—which could lead to increased global disparity in production.

Table 3.1: Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment Results, 2018

| | | Structure of Production | | Drivers of Production | |
|---------|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|
| Region | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| Leading | Countries | | | | |
| | Austria | 7.46 | 9 | 6.79 | 18 |
| | Belgium | 6.51 | 24 | 6.80 | 17 |
| | Canada | 5.81 | 33 | 7.54 | 7 |
| | China | 8.25 | 5 | 6.14 | 25 |
| | Czech Republic | 7.94 | 6 | 6.01 | 26 |
| | Denmark | 6.29 | 27 | 7.20 | 10 |
| | Estonia | 5.75 | 34 | 6.00 | 27 |
| | Finland | 7.00 | 14 | 7.16 | 11 |
| | France | 6.87 | 18 | 6.89 | 14 |
| | Germany | 8.68 | 3 | 7.56 | 6 |
| | Ireland | 7.34 | 10 | 6.85 | 15 |
| | Israel | 6.43 | 25 | 6.24 | 23 |
| | Italy | 6.99 | 15 | 5.90 | 30 |
| | Japan | 8.99 | 1 | 6.82 | 16 |
| | Korea, Rep. | 8.85 | 2 | 6.51 | 21 |
| | Malaysia | 6.81 | 20 | 6.51 | 22 |
| | Netherlands | 6.32 | 26 | 7.75 | 5 |
| | Poland | 6.83 | 19 | 5.83 | 31 |
| | Singapore | 7.28 | 11 | 7.96 | 2 |
| | Slovenia | 6.80 | 21 | 5.71 | 32 |
| | Spain | 6.05 | 29 | 6.23 | 24 |
| | Sweden | 7.46 | 8 | 7.40 | 9 |
| | Switzerland | 8.39 | 4 | 7.92 | 3 |
| | United Kingdom | 7.05 | 13 | 7.84 | 4 |
| | United States | 7.78 | 7 | 8.16 | 1 |
| egacy | Countries | | | | |
| | Hungary | 6.96 | 17 | 5.30 | 42 |
| | India | 5.99 | 30 | 5.24 | 44 |
| | Lithuania | 5.92 | 31 | 5.42 | 37 |
| • | Mexico | 6.74 | 22 | 5.04 | 46 |
| | Philippines | 6.12 | 28 | 4.51 | 66 |
| | Romania | 6.61 | 23 | 4.93 | 52 |
| | Russian Federation | 5.71 | 35 | 5.30 | 43 |
| | Slovak Republic | 6.98 | 16 | 5.33 | 40 |
| | Thailand | 7.13 | 12 | 5.45 | 35 |
| | Turkey | 5.87 | 32 | 4.90 | 57 |
| liah-Pa | tential Countries/Econon | | | | |
| • | Australia | 4.26 | 61 | 7.14 | 12 |
| | Hong Kong SAR | 4.52 | 58 | 7.45 | 8 |
| | New Zealand | 4.79 | 53 | 6.73 | 20 |
| | Norway | 5.65 | 36 | 7.07 | 13 |
| | Portugal | 5.36 | 39 | 5.99 | 28 |
| | Qatar | 3.89 | 72 | 5.96 | 29 |
| | United Arab Emirates | 4.53 | 57 | 6.76 | 19 |
| Vascent | t Countries | | | 0.10 | 10 |
| | Albania | 2.73 | 91 | 4.07 | 78 |
| | Algeria | 2.83 | 90 | 3.70 | 87 |
| - | Argentina | 4.91 | 90 50 | 4.25 | 75 |
| | | | | | |
| | Armenia | 4.10 | 65 | 4.43 | 70 62 |
| | Azerbaijan | 2.16 | 95 | 4.69 | 62 |

| | | Structure of Production | | Drivers of Production | |
|-------|------------------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| egion | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| | Bangladesh | 3.59 | 80 | 3.67 | 89 |
| | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4.66 | 55 | 4.04 | 79 |
| | Botswana | 3.17 | 86 | 4.43 | 69 |
| | Brazil | 5.22 | 41 | 5.03 | 47 |
| | Bulgaria | 5.23 | 40 | 5.02 | 48 |
| | Cambodia | 3.56 | 81 | 3.63 | 91 |
| | Cameroon | 1.84 | 98 | 3.24 | 100 |
| | Chile | 4.18 | 63 | 5.60 | 34 |
| | Colombia | 4.61 | 56 | 4.53 | 65 |
| | Costa Rica | 4.97 | 47 | 4.90 | 56 |
| | Croatia | 5.50 | 37 | 4.93 | 51 |
| | Cyprus | 4.11 | 64 | 5.65 | 33 |
| | Dominican Republic | 3.99 | 71 | 4.02 | 80 |
| | Ecuador | 2.85 | 89 | 3.66 | 90 |
| | Egypt | 4.99 | 46 | 4.46 | 68 |
| | El Salvador | 4.81 | 52 | 3.55 | 94 |
| | Ethiopia | 2.01 | 96 | 3.29 | 98 |
| | Georgia | 3.61 | 79 | 4.92 | 54 |
| | Ghana | 1.96 | 97 | 4.14 | 77 |
| | Greece | 4.44 | 60 | 4.96 | 50 |
| | Guatemala | 4.05 | 67 | 3.71 | 86 |
| | Honduras | 3.43 | 83 | 3.61 | 92 |
| | Indonesia | 5.41 | 38 | 4.89 | 59 |
| | Jordan | 4.00 | 69 | 4.91 | 55 |
| | Kazakhstan | 4.19 | 62 | 4.74 | 61 |
| | Kenya | 2.97 | 88 | 3.83 | 83 |
| | Kuwait | 3.56 | 82 | 4.65 | 63 |
| | Kyrgyz Republic | 3.73 | 76 | 3.43 | 96 |
| | Latvia | 4.91 | 49 | 5.39 | 38 |
| | Lebanon | 4.02 | 68 | 4.43 | 71 |
| | Mauritius | 3.84 | 73 | 5.37 | 39 |
| | Moldova | 3.36 | 84 | 4.02 | 81 |
| | Mongolia | 1.81 | 99 | 3.82 | 84 |
| | Morocco | 3.67 | 77 | 4.35 | 73 |
| | Nigeria | 1.66 | 100 | 3.68 | 88 |
| | Oman | 4.00 | 70 | 5.13 | 45 |
| | Pakistan | 3.82 | 74 | 3.60 | 93 |
| | Panama | 3.82 | 75 | 4.89 | 58 |
| | Paraguay | 3.24 | 85 | 3.84 | 82 |
| | Peru | 3.67 | 78 | 4.18 | 76 |
| | Saudi Arabia | 5.16 | 44 | 5.44 | 36 |
| | Senegal | 3.11 | 87 | 3.74 | 85 |
| | Serbia | 5.18 | 42 | 4.59 | 64 |
| | South Africa | 5.03 | 45 | 5.02 | 49 |
| | Sri Lanka | 4.10 | 66 | 4.26 | 74 |
| | Tanzania | 2.39 | 93 | 3.28 | 99 |
| | Tunisia | 4.83 | 51 | 4.41 | 72 |
| | Uganda | 2.25 | 94 | 3.31 | 97 |
| | Ukraine | 5.17 | 43 | 4.47 | 67 |
| | Uruguay | 4.52 | 59 | 4.75 | 60 |
| | Viet Nam | 4.96 | 48 | 4.93 | 53 |
| _ | Zambia | 2.39 | 92 | 3.54 | 95 |

East Asia and the Pacific • Eurasia • Europe • Latin America and the Caribbean • Middle East and North Africa • North America • South Asia • Sub-Saharan Africa

Box 3.1: G20 Readiness for the Future of Production

G20 countries are responsible for over 80% of global Manufacturing Value Added. On average, G20 countries display greater levels of readiness for the future of production than other groups, with the average Structure of Production score of 6.5 out of 10, and average Drivers of Production score 6.1 out of 10. The G20 countries displaying the highest levels of readiness for the future of production-or the highest combined Structure of Production and Drivers of Production scores-are Germany, Japan and the United States. The G20 countries displaying the lowest levels of readiness are Argentina, Brazil and South Africa. Japan has the strongest Structure of Production among G20 countries and ranks first among all 100 countries and economies included in the assessment. Australia has the weakest Structure of Production among G20 countries and ranks 61st globally. The United States performs the best across all Drivers of Production and ranks first among all 100 countries and economies included in the assessment, whereas Argentina scores the lowest and ranks 75th globally.

Overall, the majority of countries in the assessment exhibit a low level of readiness for the future of production, as 58 of the 100 countries in the assessment fall within the Nascent archetype. Approximately 90% of countries from Latin America, Middle East and North Africa, Sub-Saharan Africa and Eurasia are classified as Nascent countries. As Nascent countries only account for one-tenth of global MVA, significant investments in these countries will be required to prepare for and capitalize on opportunities in the future of production. For additional analysis of results of specific country groupings, see **Box 3.1** and **Box 3.2**.

Box 3.2: The Future of Production in ASEAN

ASEAN, a regional bloc of 10 Southeast Asian countries— Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao PDR, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, Thailand and Viet Nam is the fifth-largest manufacturing economy in the world when combined. It accounts for 5% of global manufacturing activity, measured in terms of global MVA, and 60% of its activity is concentrated in just five sectors: food and beverage, chemicals and chemical products, electronics, motor vehicles, and rubber and plastic products. In four of these key sectors, the region holds at least the fifth spot in terms of global manufacturing outputs.

The seven ASEAN countries included in the assessment are spread across three different archetypes: Leading— Malaysia and Singapore; Legacy—Philippines and Thailand; and Nascent— Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam. The range of positions across the three archetypes reflects the reality of the heterogeneous region. Member states have varying degrees of economic development and readiness for the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Singapore, the only high-income ASEAN country included in the assessment, ranks the highest in the region across all Drivers of Production.

To keep pace with developments in other countries, there are many opportunities for ASEAN member states to collaborate and use regional cooperation to accelerate readiness. For more on this topic, please see the Shaping the Future of Production in ASEAN project (https://www.weforum. org/projects/the-future-of-production-in-asean). A study on the Future of Production in ASEAN will be launched at the upcoming World Economic Forum on ASEAN event in the fall of 2018.

Archetype Analysis and Select Country Highlights

As noted above, countries were plotted to archetypes based on their weighted Structure of Production and Drivers of Production scores. Given the evolving nature of readiness for the future of production, archetypes will likely resonate more strongly with countries at the extremes of the various archetypes. Similarly, countries that are positioned near the borders of other archetypes may have attributes of more than one archetype. Based on specific research questions, the assessment allows for any desired clusters within or across archetypes to be formed and analysed. See **Box 3.3** for more information on potential cluster analysis.

The aspirations of specific countries are not incorporated into the analysis, and a country's trajectory in the future depends partially on how much production figures into their national economic strategy going forward. To advance readiness, countries should seek to improve performance across all Drivers of Production (or shift up, as shown in **Figure 3.1**) and expand their Structure of Production (shift right, as shown in **Figure 3.1**). Thus, each archetype has its own best/worst case scenario.

The best case for Leading countries is to push towards the frontiers of their archetype and convert readiness into transformation by adopting and fully harnessing the potential of emerging technologies. The worst case is for Leading countries to rely too much on current success and not create a burning platform for transforming production practices, potentially resulting in a shrinking production base in the future as other countries leapfrog.

The best case for Legacy countries is to improve performance across the Drivers of Production so that they have the right factors in place to transform current production systems and maintain and grow their Structure of Production. The worst case for Legacy countries is to underinvest across key drivers and have this result in a shrinking production base.

The best case for High-Potential countries is to use their strong Drivers of Production to expand, both in scale and

Box 3.3: Cluster Analysis

Additional cluster analysis of different economies within and across archetypes can reveal new insights. For example, within the Leading archetype there are several different sub-clusters. Japan, Germany and Republic of Korea have the strongest Structure of Production and have excelled in production over the past several decades as other Leading countries have trended towards services. However, these countries are not guite as high-performing across the Drivers of Production, and additional investment will be required to transition to the new production paradigm. Another cluster-Australia, Canada, New Zealand, United Kingdom and the United States-includes both Leading and High-Potential countries. This is an under-leveraged cluster, as the countries perform very well across the Drivers of Production and exhibit high readiness, but underperform in terms of their Structure of Production. As production shifts to new paradigms, it will be important for these countries to understand the missing link and convert readiness into output. Lastly, the Nordic cluster-Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden-represents another group that displays a high level of readiness for the future of production, as all countries perform well across the Drivers of Production.

complexity, their Structure of Production, particularly in areas of advanced manufacturing. However, not all countries in this archetype may want to pursue manufacturing as part of their economic strategy, as services or other opportunities may be more attractive given comparable advantages.

The target movement for Nascent countries is to first invest in drivers to create the right conditions in their environment and then develop and execute a strategy to expand their Structure of Production.


Leading Country Results

As shown in **Figure 3.2**, the Leading archetype consists of 25 countries from Europe, North America, and East Asia and the Pacific that are responsible for over 75% of global MVA today.

Leading countries are leaders in manufacturing today that are also well positioned for the future of production. They have the most complex economies in the world and account for the majority of global MVA. Leading countries are top performers across all Drivers of Production. The key opportunity for Leading countries is to achieve a 'first mover' advantage. Those that most effectively push the frontier and convert readiness into actual transformation can reap tremendous benefits. True transformation is still nascent, but Leading countries are at the forefront of designing, testing and pioneering emerging technologies. Many have developed government-led strategies to capitalize on the Fourth Industrial Revolution. As global manufacturing output is highly concentrated among Leading

Figure 3.2: Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018, Leading Countries

Drivers of production score (0-10)



Notes: Axes are on a 10-point scale but have been truncated to show variances between countries. Average performance of the top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

countries, these countries have the most current economic value at stake.

Furthermore, Leading countries are best positioned to facilitate global cooperation to usher in the next production paradigm. Readiness requires global, not just national, solutions given the interconnectedness of global production systems. It will be important for Leading countries to work together to establish standards and norms, promote interoperability, facilitate data flows and prevent other potential bottlenecks that could slow progress.

Lastly, one key challenge for Leading countries will be to accelerate sustainable production practices to counteract environmental damage from previous industrialization, as Leading countries are the world's largest contributors of carbon emissions today.

China

After surpassing the United States in 2010, China's manufacturing sector is the largest in the world, with a total global MVA of nearly US\$ 3 trillion in 2016²⁰, representing approximately one-quarter of global Manufacturing Value Added. While China performs very well on the scale of its production base, it still can improve on the Complexity component, as it is the 26th most complex economy in the world.²¹ Over the last two decades China has evolved its capabilities from producing low-cost goods to more advanced products. However, due to its size, the levels of modernization within its manufacturing sector vary greatly,

with striking differences between pockets of excellence and less sophisticated manufacturers, thus reducing its average readiness. Across the Drivers of Production, China performs particularly well on the Demand Environment and Global Trade & Investment drivers. China ranks in the top third for both Technology & Innovation and Human Capital, but will need to continue to strengthen the capabilities of its labour force to develop the skills required in the future and improve the levels of innovation within companies. China's greatest challenges are the Institutional Framework and Sustainable Resources drivers. Though China is the world's largest contributor of carbon emissions, it has stated a commitment to become more energy-efficient and sustainable in the future. Adopting emerging technologies can help accelerate this goal. In 2015, the government launched "Made in China 2025" to upgrade the country's manufacturing sector and fund manufacturing innovation.22

France

France's manufacturing sector is the 8th-largest in the world, with a total Manufacturing Value Added of over US\$ 280 billion in 2016.²³ Like other developed countries, the relative contribution of France's manufacturing sector to GDP has declined, to approximately 10% today, half of its contribution in 1970.²⁴ France performs well across all Drivers of Production—ranking in the top quartile of all countries for every driver—and performs particularly well on the Global Trade & Investment, Demand Environment and Sustainable Resources drivers. The main challenge for France is to convert readiness and capacity into a strengthened Structure of Production. With the launch of The New Face of Industry initiative in 2015, France has followed European peers by launching a new strategy to accelerate an industrial renaissance.²⁵

Germany

Germany has the 4th-largest manufacturing sector in the world—with a total MVA of nearly US\$ 775 billion in 2016²⁶ and the third most complex economy.²⁷ With over half of Germany's manufacturing output being exported, Germany's history of manufacturing excellence is globally renowned. Germany ranks in the top guartile across all Drivers of Production and in the top ten for the Technology & Innovation, Human Capital, Global Trade and Investment and Demand Environment drivers. Germany stands out for strong education outcomes, advanced technical training programs, a highly capable current workforce and a proven ability to innovate. With the launch of Industrie 4.0 in 2011, Germany was one of the first countries to increase digitization and the interconnection of products, value chains, and business models to drive digital manufacturing forward.²⁸ Germany is widely acknowledged as a pioneer in the Fourth Industrial Revolution and is taking a leading role in building global standards and norms for international adoption.29

Japan

Japan's manufacturing sector is currently the 3rd-largest in the world with a total MVA of over US\$ 1 trillion in 2016, representing nearly 9% of global Manufacturing Value Added.³⁰ Combined, China, the United States and Japan account for nearly half of global MVA. Since 1984, Japan has been ranked as the most complex economy in the world.³¹ Across the Drivers of Production, Japan performs particularly well on Demand Environment, due to a sophisticated consumer base, robust corporate activity and large market size. Japan also ranks in the top 20 on Technology & Innovation and Institutional Framework. In 2016, the government launched Society 5.0, as a strategy to use emerging technology to not only transform production, but all of society.³² In addition, the government added Connected Industries in 2017 to support Japanese industries including manufacturing and other sectors that create new added value through connecting things, people, technologies, organizations and other societal elements. Japan faces challenges related to human capital, with an ageing and shrinking population as well as lower migration than comparable countries. Japan has room for improvement on the Sustainable Resources driver as well.

Republic of Korea

The Republic of Korea has experienced a remarkable economic rise over the past several decades, progressing from a poor agricultural society in the 1960s to one of the premiere production countries today. It now has the 6thlargest manufacturing sector in the world-with a total MVA of over US\$ 380 billion in 2016³³ – and the fourth most complex economy.³⁴ The Republic of Korea performs well across the Drivers of Production with the exception of Sustainable Resources. The country is particularly strong on Technology and Innovation, and ranks in the Top 5 for R&D expenditures and patent applications per million people. Its well-documented ability to innovate has helped to fuel its historic rise, and can be a boon in ushering in the next production paradigm. To improve its readiness for the future of production, the Republic of Korea will need continue to enhance labour force capabilities, particularly in critical thinking skills, digital skills and knowledgeintensive employment. Furthermore, sound, transparent and trusted institutions can help steer the vision for the future and build the trust required for global connectivity.

Singapore

The contribution of Singapore's manufacturing sector to its GDP rose from roughly 11% in 1960 to a high of approximately 28% in 2000; it currently is at 20% today.³⁵ Singapore's manufacturing capabilities have evolved considerably, with strong competencies today in high-value areas of manufacturing such as R&D and product design. The country ranks in the top 20 for economic complexity³⁶ and performs well across all Drivers of Production, except Sustainable Resources. Singapore is a leader on the Global Trade & Investment driver as one of the most open and trade-friendly countries in the world. A strong Institutional Framework propels

Singapore's success in many areas, including the future of production. The government continues to be future-oriented and recently announced the Singapore Smart Industry Readiness Index, a tool to help industrial companies harness the full potential of the Fourth Industrial Revolution.³⁷ Within the Sustainable Resources driver, Singapore contributes less emissions than other Leading countries, but has challenges related to baseline water stress and alternative energy sources.

United Kingdom

The UK has a long history of manufacturing dating back to the late 18th century and the beginning of the first Industrial Revolution. However, the share of manufacturing in its economy has declined steadily in recent decades, from over 25% in the 1970s to less than 10% today.³⁸ This trend has been accompanied by a loss of manufacturing facilities, capacity, capabilities and jobs, though the UK's manufacturing sector still employs over 2.7 million people and makes up 45% of UK exports today.³⁹ While the UK's Structure of Production is weaker than other comparable Leading countries, the country performs well across all Drivers of Production. A strong technology platform and ability to innovate has positioned the country well to specialize in high-tech manufacturing industries such as aerospace and pharmaceuticals. The UK performs solidly on overall education outcomes, but could further develop technical training. It has a strong Institutional Framework but, historically, the government has intervened less in directing industrial development. However, at the end of 2017, the government launched a new industrial strategy developed through public-private collaboration.40

United States


The United States' manufacturing sector is the 2nd-largest in the world, with an MVA of nearly US\$ 2 trillion in 2016, representing close to 16% of global Manufacturing Value Added and 12% of US GDP.41 The United States has the world's eighth most complex economy.⁴² Over the last two decades, however, the competitiveness of locally manufactured products and the attractiveness of the United States as a manufacturing location have been strongly challenged. The country is well positioned for the future, holding the top score on the weighted Drivers of Production component and scoring in the Top 5 across all drivers except Sustainable Resources and Institutional Framework. The United States is globally renowned for its ability to innovate and is currently at the forefront of major developments surrounding the emerging technologies of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Furthermore, its ability to develop, attract and retain advanced human capital capabilities is supported by strong higher education institutions. Notably, the United States is making efforts to reinvigorate its manufacturing sector. Tax reform at the end of 2017 cut the corporate tax rate to 21% from 35%, making it more attractive for companies to shift some of their production to the United States. However, policy and regulatory uncertainties, relating to immigration and free trade agreements, for example, still remain. As one of the world's largest contributors of carbon emissions, improvements in the efficiency and sustainability of its energy sources should be prioritized.

Legacy Country Results

As shown in **Figure 3.3**, the Legacy archetype consists of 10 countries from Europe, Eurasia, East Asia and the Pacific, Latin America, Middle East and North Africa, and South Asia. They are responsible for approximately 10% of global MVA today.

Legacy countries currently have a strong Structure of Production, but display a low level of readiness for the future of production, characterized by weak performance across the Drivers of Production. Historically, many Legacy countries benefited from globalization as more developed economies outsourced lower pieces of the value chain to places with lower labour costs. As a result, Legacy countries received foreign direct investment, increased market access and developed a strong Structure of Production. Whereas Leading countries score very well on Complexity, Legacy countries' strength within the Structure of Production tends to be on Scale. With rising production costs, Legacy countries risk losing traditional manufacturing share to Nascent countries that can offer even cheaper labour. By underinvesting across drivers, Legacy countries risk not being as prepared as Leading countries to capture advanced manufacturing share in the future. Combined, these risks could lead to premature deindustrialization if they are not managed effectively.

Figure 3.3: Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018, Legacy Countries



Notes: Axes are on a 10-point scale but have been truncated to show variances between countries. Average performance of the top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

To avoid being squeezed between Leading and Nascent countries, Legacy countries need to carve out a strategy for the future. Legacy countries underperform across all Drivers of Production, on average, and their three most pressing challenges are Institutional Framework, Human Capital, and Technology & Innovation. Legacy countries have a solid production base today, but need to reskill and upskill workers, upgrade their technology platform, seek frugal innovations and ensure the fundamental building block of good governance is in place to perform well in the future of production.

India

India is the 5th-largest manufacturer in the world-with a total Manufacturing Value Added of over US\$ 420 billion in 201643and ranks 45th in economic complexity.44 Over the last three decades, India's manufacturing sector has grown by more than 7% per year, on average, while accounting for between 16% to 20% of India's GDP. Home to the second-largest population in the world and one of the fastest growing economies, the demand for Indian manufactured products is rising. India has room for improvement across the Drivers of Production, except for Demand Environment where is ranks in the Top 5. Two key challenges for India are Human Capital and Sustainable Resources. India needs to continue to raise the capabilities of its relatively young and fast-growing labour force. This entails upgrading education curricula, revamping vocational training programs and improving digital skills. Furthermore, India should continue to diversify its energy sources and reduce emissions as its manufacturing sector continues to expand. In 2014, the government launched the "Make in India" initiative, with the primary goal of making India a global manufacturing hub.⁴⁵ The government has made a significant push to improve key enablers and move towards a more connected economy, most recently announcing a US\$ 59 billion investment in infrastructure in 2017.46

Mexico

Mexico has the 12th-largest manufacturing sector in the world⁴⁷ and ranks 24th in economic complexity.⁴⁸ Manufacturing productivity in Mexico varies dramatically across sectors, geographies and company size. There is room for improvement across several Drivers of Production, most notably Technology & Innovation, Human Capital and Institutional Framework. A stronger technology platform is needed to boost connectivity, and increased industry and research activity can spur innovation. Human capital is one of Mexico's most pressing challenges, as the education curriculum needs to be adapted to develop future skills and the current labour force needs to be retrained on skills that will be critical for the future of production. Increased transparency, effectiveness and accountability of institutions will help Mexico to further enhance readiness. In addition, investments in Mexico's infrastructure, targeted support for SMEs to promote their integration to global value chains and multi-sector collaboration schemes should also be a priority. Mexico is a top destination for greenfield investments and should seek to leverage its global linkages to continue to facilitate knowledge and technology transfer.

Russian Federation

The Russian Federation's manufacturing sector is the 13thlargest in the world⁴⁹, but manufacturing's share of national GDP has declined over the last decade. This has constrained both the Scale and Complexity of the Structure of Production. The country's performance across the all drivers is mixed, with Human Capital and Demand Environment as its greatest strengths. It has a highly educated workforce and its education system places a premium on STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) subjects. However, soft and creative skills should be further developed within the labour force. Transforming these strengths into long-term benefits will require sustained measures to improve the country's ability to innovate through higher levels of competition; enhanced collaboration between government, industry and education spheres; and development of regional innovation and R&D hubs.

High-Potential Countries


As shown in **Figure 3.4**, the High-Potential archetype consists of seven countries and economies from Europe, East Asia and the Pacific, and the Middle East and North Africa. This group is responsible for less than 2% of global Manufacturing Value Added today.

High-Potential countries and economies have a limited production base today but score well across the Drivers of

Figure 3.4: Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018, High-Potential Countries

Drivers of production score (0–10)

9



Notes: Axes are on a 10-point scale but have been truncated to show variances between countries. Average performance of the top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

Production—indicating a promising future. This group contains high-income economies that are less diversified than those in other archetypes; several are resource-rich while others are primarily focused on the services sector. The key opportunity for High-Potential countries is to convert capacity across the Drivers of Production into an advanced manufacturing base. The challenge for these countries and economies is to first determine their appetite at the national level for developing industry, and to then identify the right set of opportunities and establish an effective strategy to capture these opportunities.

The future of production presents opportunities for High-Potential countries to leapfrog and expand their production bases in shorter timeframes than were historically possible. One potential advantage for High-Potential countries is they have lower levels of capital locked into legacy production systems. This allows late adopters to quickly bypass old approaches and directly adopt new technologies, given a minimum level of capability.

Australia

Australia has a significantly weaker structure of production compared to most other high-income economies, as its economy focuses primarily on the services sector and extraction. The services sector accounts for over 70% of Australia's GDP⁵⁰, and Australia is a significant exporter of natural resources, energy and food.⁵¹ Australia's manufacturing sector contributes less than 7% to its GDP, and about 0.8% to global MVA. Australia is the 65th most complex economy in the world⁵² and is in a strong position to potentially improve its production base in the future, as it performs well across all 31 drivers of production. It ranks among the top 15 economies on Technology & Innovation, Human Capital, Global Trade & Investment, and Institutional Framework. Embracing digitalization is a key policy agenda in Australia. In 2017, the Prime Minister's Industry 4.0 signed a cooperation agreement with Germany's Plattform Industrie 4.0. Building on Taskforce recommendations, and the government's Testlabs for Australia Initiative will establish five new Industry 4.0 testlabs at selected educational institutions. In 2018 Australia will release its Digital Economy Strategy, a forward-looking plan to maximize the potential of digital technologies to improve productivity and competitiveness.53

United Arab Emirates

The United Arab Emirates has a limited Structure of Production today, but the country has continued to diversify its economy beyond oil and gas and is aiming to increase manufacturing share of GDP to 25% by 2025.⁵⁴ The UAE is positioned well for the future as it ranks in the top quartile of countries across all Drivers of Production, with the exception of Sustainable Resources. The country should focus on improving sustainability practices and continue to invest across all Drivers of Production. Given that the UAE has relatively few

workers employed in manufacturing today, it will be particularly important to develop the right set of labour force capabilities to capitalize on the transformation occurring within production.

Nascent Countries


As shown in **Figure 3.5**, the Nascent archetype consists of 58 countries from all regions except North America. This group is responsible for less than 10% of global Manufacturing Value Added today.

This is the largest group of countries in the assessment, and all display a low level of readiness for the future of production, as evidenced by weaker performance across the Drivers of Production as well as a limited Structure of Production. There are several different clusters that can be analysed within the Nascent archetype. One key distinction that can be made across countries in this archetype is their current level of industry and ambitions related to industrialization. Nascent countries have an array of production bases, ranging from a significant production base that is either shrinking or at risk of shrinking, a small base that is growing, or a small base due to limited industrialization.

Depending on a country's position, competitive advantages and aspirations, various growth paths may be pursued. Countries with larger production bases today may be more likely to pursue opportunities to expand into advanced manufacturing; whereas countries with limited production bases may initially try to capture traditional industrialization

Figure 3.5: Map of Readiness Assessment Results 2018, Nascent Countries

Drivers of production score (0-10)



Notes: Axes are on a 10-point scale but have been truncated to show variances between countries. Average performance of the top 75 countries is at the intersection of the four quadrants.

opportunities to develop greater levels of scale and capabilities. Given that some Nascent countries are emerging as attractive low-cost manufacturing locations, another potential growth path may be to capture existing opportunities in traditional manufacturing in the short term. However, this strategy has risks, as it is unclear the extent of opportunities that will exist in traditional manufacturing and for how long.

Many countries that have yet to industrialize are underprepared for traditional manufacturing, let alone advanced manufacturing. Regardless of the strategy each individual country pursues, Nascent countries will benefit from improving performance across all Drivers of Production. The most pressing area for these countries to address is their Institutional Framework—the bedrock for future economic growth—followed by Human Capital. An attractive and skilled labour force is critical for accelerating growth in both traditional and advanced manufacturing. Further, increased global trade and investment and the attraction of multinational companies can accelerate development through transfer of knowledge, capabilities and technology.

Indonesia

Indonesia's manufacturing sector is currently the 11th largest in the world and accounts for over 20% of national GDP.55 With mining and agricultural products contributing a large share of Indonesia's exports, the country's Structure of Production is relatively low in complexity. Indonesia has room for improvement across the Drivers of Production, with the exception of Demand Environment where it ranks in the Top 20. Fueled by a population of over 260 million, the domestic and foreign markets for Indonesian manufactured products are vast. Yet human capital is both a strength and challenge for Indonesia. One of the country's biggest challenges is developing the right skill sets within its current workforce as production shifts from a labour-intensive to a knowledgeintensive environment. To further stimulate the adoption of emerging technologies into its production systems, targeted measures to improve Indonesia's ability to innovate through increased spending on R&D, broader access to the internet and enhanced cybersecurity protection should be prioritized. Indonesia benefits from relatively high levels of foreign direct investment, but infrastructure gaps and lack of openness to trade may impair its rate of transformation. Furthermore, a strengthened institutional framework and governance will need to be a crucial enabler.

Brazil

Brazil's manufacturing sector is the 9th-largest in the world and accounts for approximately 10% of national GDP⁵⁶, almost a third of its contribution of over 30% in the 1980s.⁵⁷ Brazil's Structure of Production is relatively low in complexity. The country's performance across the Drivers of Production is mixed. Sustainable Resources and Demand Environment are its two highest-ranked drivers. Brazil is a top destination for foreign direct investment and greenfield investments and should seek to leverage global linkages to facilitate knowledge and technology transfer. One of Brazil's main challenges is its Institutional Framework, and regulatory efficiency and future oriented governance should be a priority. With the fifthlargest population in the world, Brazil has a wealth of human resources, but current labour force capabilities lag in digital skills, engineering, critical thinking and other key areas that are critical for success in the future. In 2017, a new labour law was passed seeking to create a more flexible system and increase the rule of law.

Saudi Arabia

Over the last several decades, Saudi Arabia's manufacturing share of GDP increased from less than 5% to approximately 12%, as of 2016.⁵⁸ As the largest exporter of petroleum in the world, Saudi Arabia's economy is highly concentrated, with oil and gas contributing over 50% of Saudi Arabia's GDP.⁵⁹ Growing the manufacturing and industrial sectors within its economy will be important for the country to successfully diversify its economy away from petroleum production. Saudi performs strongly on the Demand Environment driver, but has room for improvement across the other Drivers of Production. The country has a strategic opportunity to improve its performance to be competitive in the future of production. Several reforms aimed at improving key enablers for the economy are currently underway as part of Saudi Vision 2030 and the National Transformation Program.⁶⁰

South Africa

South Africa's manufacturing share of GDP has decreased since the early 1990s to approximately 12% today as its services sector has expanded.⁶¹ Nevertheless, the country has the strongest Structure of Production within Africa. Across the Drivers of Production component, South Africa's performance is mixed. On the one hand, the ability to innovate is one of South Africa's greatest strengths, as the country has a strong innovation culture, and entrepreneurial activity is supported by a sophisticated financial sector. On the other hand, human capital remains the most pressing challenge in preparing for the future of production, as there is a shortage of engineers and scientists as well as digital skills. It will also be critical for South Africa to improve its Institutional Framework to effectively respond to change, offer a stable policy environment and direct innovation.

Chapter 4

Drivers of Production Analysis

The six Drivers of Production represent factors and conditions necessary to capitalize on emerging technologies and transform production systems. The following section describes the concepts covered in each driver and their importance to improving or achieving readiness for the future of production.



OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

- How advanced, secure and connected is an economy's ICT infrastructure used for adopting technologies in production
- The ability to foster and commercialize innovations that have potential application in production

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

Technology Platform

- Availability of ICT
- Use of ICT
- Digital Security & Data

Ability to Innovate

- Industry Activity
- Research Intensity
- Available Financing


Technology & Innovation is a key enabler for the future of production, as the adoption and diffusion of emerging technologies is the crux of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. In order to do this, countries need an advanced, connected and secure technology platform. They need to continually upgrade technology infrastructure to ensure their platform is advanced enough to fully operate emerging technologies. For example, the availability and speed of the internet matters when using the Internet of Things on the factory floor. Widespread breakthroughs for the future of production require a fully connected global ecosystem, which is only possible through interoperability across systems. Recognizing the need for international cooperation, Germany is working with other countries to develop and adopt global standards and norms that promote interoperability and data flows.⁶²

Digital security and data privacy enabled through a strong cybersecurity policy, enforcement and other measures is critical for facilitating the adoption of technology. Connected production systems bring new cybersecurity challenges, and it is critical for people to trust the technology. If knowledge and data stored in the cloud is compromised, for example, not only dœs this jeopardize operations, but it also lowers trust and potentially future adoption. This can be especially challenging for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) that have limited resources to protect themselves against cybersecurity threats. Cooperation between governments and companies of all sizes is needed to increase awareness of threats and protect themselves against these threats.

Innovation is the animating force behind the future of production. Technologies driving the Fourth Industrial Revolution were largely created from significant investments in research and development; new and innovative applications of these technologies in production are creating further value. There are different types of innovation that are critical to the future of production, such as generating continual, incremental improvements; adapting technologies developed abroad to fit location production systems and developing "greenfield" investments for production systems. Elite technical innovation, coupled with high R&D spend to secure patents, is concentrated among a small set of mainly advanced countries. Fifteen countries shown in **Figure 4.1** are responsible for nearly 80% of all IP5 patents filed worldwide, with China, Germany, Japan, Republic of Korea and the United States accounting for 50%.

Countries need to consider their position as either a technical innovator or as a technology adopter and frugal innovator. The availability of funding, talent and other factors will likely influence the levels and type of innovation that a country pursues within the future of production. The most attainable type of innovation for most countries is frugal innovation. Business models, prototypes and other areas in production that are not

Figure 4.1: Leaders in Technical Innovation



Notes: Y-axis refers to the total number of patent families filed in at least two of the major 5 (IP5) offices in the world, divided by population (in millions). Bubble size represents the total number of patent families filed in at least two of the major 5 (IP5) offices in the world (average between 2012 and 2014). The larger the bubble the greater number of patent families. Sources: OECD, World Bank.

usually patented are ripe for disruption and offer tremendous potential for both emerging and developed countries.

A country can help generate innovation through several enablers. Geographic clusters, government procurement of advanced technologies and collaboration across academia, government and industry can all foster innovation. A strong financial system and available risk capital is also necessary to back promising developments occurring within borders. Innovation also requires softer elements that are harder to measure. A risk-taking culture and strong leadership to shepherd directed innovation, for example, can also help unlock human potential to foster new innovations.



Driver 2 Human Capital

OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

- The ability to respond to shifts in the labour market (supply and demand) that are triggered by the Fourth Industrial Revolution
- Current labour force capabilities to adopt and use emerging technologies in production systems
- The ability to cultivate the right skills and talent in the future workforce through education outcomes, talent attraction and retention, and inclusion

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

Current Labour Force

• Labour Force Capabilities

Future Labour Force

- Migration
- Education Outcomes
- Agility & Adaptability


People are at the forefront of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Human Capital is critical to the transformation of production systems, as production facilities cannot evolve unless employees evolve too. Of course, the changing nature of production has significant implications for manufacturing employment worldwide. A combination of new production technologies and macro trends are changing the skills required in production, altering the number and nature of jobs across production ecosystems and geographies. For more insight and analysis on the geographies and types of workers in production who are most likely to be impacted from the Fourth Industrial Revolution, please refer to the World Economic Forum white paper *Building the Workforce for the Future of Production*, scheduled for publication in early 2018.

While there is significant concern about automation leading to job loss, technology can make the remaining jobs more productive and even create new jobs. Human ingenuity and creativity may become more, not less, important in the future of production. **Figure 4.2** shows a clear relationships between performance on Human Capital and Technology & Innovation, as the top 10 countries and economies on these drivers also perform well on Institutional Framework.

The Fourth Industrial Revolution will result in a further shift from labour-intensive production to knowledge and skills intensive production. Countries will need an adequate pool of available digital, technical, commercial and management expertise to propel the immediate adoption and use of emerging technologies. The Fourth Industrial Revolution will also alter labour demand patterns and result in job losses and

Figure 4.2: Relationship between Institutional Framework, Human Capital and Technology & Innovation Drivers

Driver rank



gains. Countries need to be agile and adaptable to make sure they have the right mix of human capital and are dynamic in matching labour demand and labour supply in the future of production.

The immediate near-term need, particularly for countries with a large production workforce today, is to train and retrain current employees to address skills gaps created by job changes. As jobs disappear, particularly at the mid-skill level, and new jobs appear, particularly at the high-skill level, it will be important for companies, with the support of government and academia, to reskill and upskill employees. Technical training programs, apprenticeship models, on-the-job training and other approaches can all help employees develop new capabilities required. It will also be critical that employees adopt a mentality of life-long learning, as reskilling will likely not be a one-time event, but rather something that occurs continually throughout a career.

Over the long term, countries need to develop their production workforce of the future. This requires new approaches for technical and vocational training. Education curricula and targets will need to be revised to produce different outcomes, such as new courses for teaching digital skills and more STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) graduates. Migration of skilled labour to the country offers an additional channel for countries to acquire skills need in the future workforce. Given the speed and scale of change is increasing, flexible approaches will be required to continually reshape the workforce.

Driver 3 Global Trade & Investment

OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

- Participation in international trade to facilitate the exchange of products, knowledge and technology, and to establish global linkages
- Availability of financial resources to invest in production-related development
- Quality of infrastructure to enable production-related activities

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

Trade

- Trade Openness
- Trade Facilitation and Market Access

Investment

Investment and Financing

Infrastructure

Transportation and Electricity

Trade has historically underpinned manufacturing, as exportbased models have fueled growth. While the advantages and viability of an export-based model for manufacturing may diminish, the importance of international trade as a determinant of growth for countries will remain relevant, for global connectivity is at the heart of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. Countries with high participation rates in international trade will continue to reap the benefits of increasing knowledge and specialization, gaining technology transfer, promoting competition and yielding economies of scale. The full benefits of global trade and investment require other countries to be open. However, there has been a recent trend of nationalism and protectionism sweeping several countries that may blunt the benefits some countries may be able to receive through this enabler.

Capital investments will be required to upgrade and connect technology platforms, train employees, adopt technologies and transform production systems. Countries will need to be attractive investment destinations in order to have access to the necessary capital. A strong domestic financial system, coupled with foreign direct investment, enables a country to properly finance the investments required for transformation. Advanced infrastructure is also necessary to remain on par with the standards expected from global value chains.



Driver 4 Institutional Framework

OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

• Effectiveness of government institutions, rules and regulations in contributing to shepherding technological development, novel businesses and advanced manufacturing

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

Government

- Efficiency & Effectiveness
- Rule of Law

Countries best positioned for the future of production traditionally offer a good institutional framework in the form of, but not limited to, regulatory efficiency, strong legal systems, rule of law, IP protection and data security. In addition, good governance fosters an environment that can promote performance across all other Drivers of Production. For example, reducing the red tape required to register and start a company can encourage industry activity; strong IP protection may incentivize more investment in research and development; changing education curricula can stimulate the development of digital skills; and so on. Conversely, poor governance can negatively impact performance across other drivers. For example, a high incidence of corruption can deter foreign investment.

A strong institutional framework is thus critical to a country's success, yet current legal frameworks, regulations, standards, strategies and institutions are not keeping up with the pace of change and innovation.⁶³ Legal frameworks and regulations for new technologies at the global level are needed to reduce uncertainty and establish norms and standards. Regulators have a complicated role to play in shaping the ecosystem for emerging technologies.⁶⁴ Uncertainty about technologies can cause regulators to not act, which can cause companies not to act because of uncertain regulations. Without proper regulations in place, the default may be to not use technologies to their full potential. Thus, it should not be a race to the bottom when it comes to regulation, as lax regulation is not necessarily the best. Doing nothing is a policy option that has its own set of implications and consequences.

Regulatory agencies also play a key role in establishing the 'rules of the game' for emerging technologies used across production in different sector and stages of the value chain. Governments need to set a level playing field and establish overall parameters. This will require balancing the need to protect consumers and citizens with encouraging companies to invest in and use new technologies. Furthermore, international coordination is required to establish global standards for both products and process. Sector-specific guidance at the global level may be most appropriate in many cases, as adapting to a wide range of country-specific regulations can cause inefficiencies and bottlenecks in global value chains.

There are varying views on industrial strategy—some countries believe it should be driven by the government while others put industry and companies in the lead. Regardless of the different roles, it is clear that governments can play an important part in ushering in the future. They can help facilitate dialogue between industry, academia and other stakeholders through initiatives such as Germany's Plattform Industrie 4.0. Governments can also develop tools to guide the participation of in the Fourth Industrial Revolution, such as Singapore's Smart Industry Readiness Index. Governments can also boost innovation through offering of tax incentives, such as those found in Italy's Enterprise 4.0 national plan.⁶⁵

Oriver 5 Sustainable Resources

OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

• The impact of production on the environment, including the efficient use of natural resources and alternative energy sources

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

Sustainability

- Energy and Emissions
- Water

The Fourth Industrial Revolution offers an opportunity for countries to usher in a more sustainable production future and counteract damage done by earlier industrialization. Manufacturing has adversely impacted the environment, as evidenced by the over-exploitation of natural resources, the pollution/destruction of ecosystems and reduction in biodiversity. Production systems today are responsible for 35% of all global electricity use, generate 20% of CO₂ emissions and account for a quarter of all extractions of primary resources. Going forward, there is a clear need for sustainable production practices that minimize the use of natural resources and toxic materials and curb the emissions of waste and pollutants so as not to jeopardize the needs of future generations.

Furthermore, resource management is a key component of national economic development planning and must be a primary consideration of industrial strategies. Unless countries closely manage their resources, they may not achieve their development targets. Risks to a country's natural resources—some of the most likely and high-impact risks—need to be monitored, mitigated and managed. Within the assessment, Sustainable Resources has the weakest relationship with other Drivers of Production as well as with the Complexity category within the Structures of Production. While past data shows that some of the largest producers have been the least sustainable, there is a critical need to increase sustainable practices going forward. The way we produce goods needs to change, and the largest producers have the biggest opportunity to change.

Emerging technologies may hold the keys to not only a more productive future, but also a more sustainable future. New technologies, such as short-loop recycling for manufacturing or autonomous disassembly systems for remanufacturing, have the potential to accelerate sustainable production. For more insights on accelerating sustainable production through Fourth Industrial Revolution technologies, refer to the World Economic Forum white paper *Driving the Sustainability of Production Systems with Fourth Industrial Revolution Innovation,* scheduled for publication in early 2018.

It is important to note that technology solutions are not the all-encompassing answer to sustainable production. Actors within production systems have to play a critical role in tackling this market challenge and advancing meaningful change. Companies need to unlock new value in sustainable solutions, and governments need to safeguard their own people and future growth with responsible and sustainable management of the environment. Multistakeholder solutions are required for promoting sustainable production, and emerging technologies offer a new tool to accelerate this change.



Driver 6 Demand Environment

OVERVIEW

This driver assesses:

- Access to foreign and local demand to scale production
- Sophistication of consumer base to drive diverse industry activity and new products

CONCEPTS CAPTURED

DemandMarket Size

Consumer Base

Consumer Sophistication

The supply of goods produced is largely influenced by the demand environment. Countries with access to large domestic and foreign markets can expand production and enjoy the advantages of economies of scale. Capturing domestic or regional demand alone may not be sufficient, but can be used as a springboard to compete globally. Consumer sophistication will continue to drive production improvements throughout the Fourth Industrial Revolution, as the most demanding consumers will force companies to respond, react and, ultimately, improve. High consumer sophistication triggers innovation, improved production techniques and enhanced products and services.

Way Forward

This report analyses and presents the results of the first edition of the Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment, which measures how well positioned 100 countries and economies—across all geographies and stages of development—are to shape and benefit from the changing nature of production. It serves as a new benchmarking and diagnostic tool to catalyse multistakeholder dialogue, shape joint actions and inform the development of modern industrial strategies.

Given that the future is uncertain and hard to predict, the framework and methodology will continue to be updated as the future unfolds. The transformation of production systems will be studied as it unfolds, to identify the most important enablers of changes. Future changes to the framework across the Drivers of Production and Structure of Production components of the framework will use evidenced-based research to build on the consultative process. The World Economic Forum will continue to seek and gather additional data sources on the concepts that matter most for the transformation of production systems.

Public-private collaboration will be critical for ushering in new production paradigms. Countries should continually seek to use new approaches to public-private collaboration to tackle some of the biggest challenges and capture opportunities in the future. The World Economic Forum will continue to offer a global, regional and national platform to catalyse multi-stakeholder dialogue and action to transition to future production realities.

Lastly, work has commenced on a new transition framework to help governments design new strategies in collaboration with the private sector, civil society and academia. While this assessment will continue to serve as a diagnostic tool the transition framework will support treatment of the diagnosis. The transition framework will provide guidance on the overall process, lessons learned by archetype, stages of excellence across the Drivers of Production and leading practices from which to learn.

Endnotes

- 1 A technological revolution—characterized by the fusion of technologies blurring the lines between the physical, digital and biological spheres—that will fundamentally alter the way we live, work and relate to one another. For more information on the Fourth Industrial Revolution see: https://www. weforum.org/agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-itmeans-and-how-to-respond/.
- 2 Ross, Alec, The Industries of the Future, Simon Schuster, 2015.
- 3 Germany Trade and Invest, Federal Republic of Germany, Industrie 4.0: Smart Manufacturing for the Future, https://www.gtai.de/GTAI/Content/EN/ Invest/_SharedDocs/Downloads/GTAI/Brochures/Industries/industrie4.0smart-manufacturing-for-the-future-en.pdf.
- 4 Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry of Japan, Society 5.0 Connected Industries, 2017, http://www.meti.go.jp/committee/sankoushin/shin_ sangyoukouzou/pdf/015_05_00.pdf.
- 5 International Labour Organization (ILO), *ILOStat* database, "Employment by sector-ILO estimates by sector, May 2017", http://www.ilo.org/ilostat/ faces/oracle/webcenter/portalapp/pagehierarchy/Page3.jspx?MBI_ ID=33&_afrLoop=17253709312153&_afrWindowMode=0&_afrWindow Id=ski7n9msm_1#!%40%40%3F_afrWindowId%3Dski7n9msm_1%26_ afrLoop%3D17253709312153%26MBI_ID%3D33%26_ afrWindowMode%3D0%26 adf.ctrl-state%3Dski7n9msm 45.
- 6 Manufacturers Alliance for Productivity and Innovation (MAPI), How Important is U.S. Manufacturing Today, 2016, https://www.mapi.net/ forecasts-data/how-important-us-manufacturing-today (Manufacturers Alliance for Productivity and Innovation (MAPI)).
- 7 Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD), Research and Development Statistics (RDS) 2017 database, http://www. oecd.org/innovation/inno/researchanddevelopmentstatisticsrds.htm.
- 8 Schwab, Klaus, The Fourth Industrial Revolution: what it means, how to respond, World Economic Forum, 2016, https://www.weforum.org/ agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-it-means-and-howto-respond/.
- 9 World Bank, Trouble in the Making? The Future of Manufacturing-Led Development, 2017, http://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/competitiveness/ publication/trouble-in-the-making-the-future-of-manufacturing-leddevelopment.
- 10 World Economic Forum, *The Global Risks Report 2017 12th Edition*, 2017, http://www3.weforum.org/docs/GRR17_Report_web.pdf.
- 11 Peterson, Erik R.; Paul A. Laudicina, and Rudolph Lohmeyer, *The Centrality of Governance: Global Trends 2017–2022*, Global Business Policy Council (GBPC)Global Business Policy Council (GBPC)/Research Report, AT Kearney, 2017, https://www.atkearney.com/web/global-business-policy-council/the-centrality-of-governance.
- 12 Perez, Carlota and Luc Soete, "Catching-up in technology: entry barriers and windows of opportunity", in *Technical Change and Economic Theory*, edited by G. Dosi, C. Freeman, R. Nelson, G. Silverberg and L. Soete, 458-479, Pinter Publishers, 1988.
- 13 World Bank, Exploring the Middle-Income-Trap, East Asia Pacific Economic Update: Robust Recovery, Rising Risks, vol. 2. Washington, DC, 2010.
- 14 Lee, Keun, Schumpeterian Analysis of Economic Catch-up: Knowledge, Path-creation, and the Middle-income Trap, Cambridge University Press, 2013.

- 15 Hausmann, Ricardo, Cesar A. Hidalgo, Sebastian Bustos, Michele Coscia, Alexander Simoes, and Muhammed A. Yildirim, *The Atlas of Economic Complexity: Mapping Paths to Prosperity*, Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Center for International Development, Harvard University, 2013.
- 16 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, https://stat.unido.org/.
- 17 World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report 2017-2018, 2017, https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-global-competitivenessreport-2017-2018.
- 18 World Bank, How Does the World Bank Classify Countries?, https:// datahelpdesk.worldbank.org/knowledgebase/articles/378834-how-doesthe-world-bank-classify-countries.
- United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, 2016 Manufacturing Value-Added data, 2016.
- 20 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, China, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/CHN.
- 21 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 22 The State Council of the People's Republic of China, Made in China 2025, http://english.gov.cn/2016special/madeinchina2025/.
- 23 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *France*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/FRA.
- 24 Ibid.
- 25 Government of the Republic of France, Ministry for Economic Regeneration, *The New Face of Industry in France*, https://www.economie. gouv.fr/files/nouvelle_france_industrielle_english.pdf.
- 26 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Germany*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/DEU.
- 27 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 28 Germany Trade and Invest, Federal Republic of Germany, Industrie 4.0: Smart Manufacturing for the Future, https://www.gtai.de/GTAI/Content/EN/ Invest/_SharedDocs/Downloads/GTAI/Brochures/Industries/industrie4.0smart-manufacturing-for-the-future-en.pdf.
- 29 Kagermann, Henning, Reiner Anderl, Jürgen Gausemeier, Günther Schuh, and Wolfgang Wahlster, Industrie 4.0 in a Global Context: Strategies for Cooperating with International Partners, (acatech STUDY), Munich: Herbert Utz Verlag 2016, http://www.acatech.de/fileadmin/ user_upload/Baumstruktur_nach_Website/Acatech/root/de/Publikationen/ Projektberichte/acatech_eng_STUDIE_Industrie40_global_Web.pdf.
- 30 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Japan*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/JPN.
- 31 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), *Country Complexity Rankings*, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.

- 32 Ministry of Economy, Trade, and Industry of Japan, Society 5.0 Connected Industries, 2017, http://www.meti.go.jp/committee/sankoushin/shin_ sangyoukouzou/pdf/015_05_00.pdf.
- 33 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Republic of Korea*, https://stat.unido.org/countryprofile/economics/KOR.
- 34 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), *Country Complexity Rankings*, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 35 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Singapore*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/SGP.
- 36 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 37 Singapore Economic Development Board (EDB), The Singapore Smart Industry Readiness Index, 2017, https://www.edb.gov.sg/content/edb/en/ news-and-events/news/2017-news/advanced-manufacturing-release.html.
- 38 Industrial Strategy: Building a Britain Fit for the Future, Government of the United Kingdom Industrial Strategy White Paper, https://www.gov. uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/662508/ industrial-strategy-white-paper.pdf.
- 39 The Manufacturer, "UK Manufacturing Statistics", 2017, https://www. themanufacturer.com/uk-manufacturing-statistics/.
- 40 University of Cambridge Centre for Science, Technology & Innovation Policy (CSTI), Manufacturing Policy Portal (beta), United Kingdom Overview, 2017, https://www.manufacturing-policy.eng.cam.ac.uk/country-profiles/ United_Kingdom.
- 41 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, United States, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/USA.
- 42 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 43 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *India*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/IND.
- 44 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 45 Government of India, Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Department of Industrial Policy and Promotion, *Make in India*, http://www.makeinindia. com/home.
- 46 Kotok, Anurag, "Modi to Spend \$59 Billion to Upgrade India's Infrastructure", *Bloomberg*, 1 February 2017, https://www.bloomberg. com/news/articles/2017-02-01/modi-plans-59-billion-rail-road-push-asbombardier-ge-invest.
- 47 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Mexico*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/MEX.
- 48 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), Country Complexity Rankings, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 49 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Russian Federation*, https://stat.unido.org/countryprofile/economics/RUS.
- 50 World Bank, Data Catalog, Services, etc., value added (% of GDP), Australia, https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.SRV.TETC. ZS?locations=AU.
- 51 Australia Government Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Australia's Top 10 Goods & Services Exports and Imports, http://dfat.gov.au/trade/ resources/trade-at-a-glance/pages/top-goods-services.aspx.
- 52 Atlas of Economic Complexity, Economic Complexity Index (ECI), *Country Complexity Rankings*, http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu/rankings/?country=.
- 53 Australia Government Department of Industry, Innovation and Science, Prime Minister's Industry 4.0 Taskforce Cooperation agreement with Plattform Industrie 4.0 (Germany), https://industry.gov.au/industry/ Industry-4-0/Pages/PMs-Industry-4-0-Taskforce.aspx.
- 54 United Arab Emirates Vision 2021, https://www.vision2021.ae/en.
- 55 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Indonesia*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/economics/IDN.

- 56 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, *Brazil*, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/BRA.
- 57 World Bank, Data Catalog, Services, etc., *Manufacturing value added* (% of *GDP*), https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.IND.MANF.ZS.

58 Ibid

- 59 Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC), *Annual Statistics Bulletin 2017*, "Saudi Arabia Facts and Figures", 2017, http://www.opec.org/opec_web/en/about_us/169.htm.
- 60 Vision 2030, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia, http://vision2030.gov.sa/en/ntp.
- 61 United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), UNIDO Statistics Data Portal, South Africa, https://stat.unido.org/country-profile/ economics/ZAF.
- 62 Kagermann, Henning, Reiner Anderl, Jürgen Gausemeier, Günther Schuh, and Wolfgang Wahlster, Industrie 4.0 in a Global Context: Strategies for Cooperating with International Partners, (acatech STUDY), Munich: Herbert Utz Verlag 2016, http://www.acatech.de/fileadmin/ user_upload/Baumstruktur_nach_Website/Acatech/root/de/Publikationen/ Projektberichte/acatech_eng_STUDIE_Industrie40_global_Web.pdf
- 63 Friedman, Thomas, *Thank You for Being Late: An Optimist's Guide to Thriving in the Age of Accelerations*, Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2016, http://www.thomaslfriedman.com/thank-you-for-being-late/.
- 64 Schwab, Klaus, The Fourth Industrial Revolution: what it means, how to respond, 2016, World Economic Forum, https://www.weforum.org/ agenda/2016/01/the-fourth-industrial-revolution-what-it-means-and-howto-respond.
- 65 Ministry of Economic Development of the Government of Italy, "Industria 4.0" National Plan: A Strategy to Seize the New Industrial Revolution Opportunities, 2016, http://www.amblondra.esteri.it/Ambasciata_Londra/ resource/doc/2016/11/industria_4.0_national_plan_-_strategy.pdf.

Acknowledgements

The Country Readiness project team collaborated closely with members of the World Economic Forum's Future of Production community—which consists of over 50 companies, 26 governments and nearly 30 academics—to develop this report. Preliminary findings from the readiness assessment were presented at the Annual Meeting of the New Champions 2017, India Economic Summit, World Manufacturing Forum, Systems Initiatives Workshop in Tokyo, The Fourth Industrial Revolution Forum in Ulsan, and several other regional and country workshops.

We would like to thank members of the Country Readiness for the Future of Production project steering committee and members of the Global Future Council on Production for their contributions. We would like to specifically thank the following individuals:

Richard Baldwin

Professor of International Economics, Graduate Institute, Geneva, Switzerland

Marcela Escobari

Visiting Fellow – Global Economy and Development, Brookings Institution, and Senior Advisor to the Mastercard Center for Inclusive Growth, United States

Kamau Gachigi

Founding Executive Director, Gearbox, Kenya

Keun Lee

Professor of Economics, Seoul National University, Republic of Korea

Justin Lin

Director, Center for New Structural Economics, Peking University, China

Carlos Lopez Gomez

Head of Knowledge Transfer, POLICY LINKS, Centre for Science, Technology and Innovation Policy (CSTI), Institute for Manufacturing (IfM), University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

We would also like to thank the many institutions that shared data for analysis:

Harvard University International Energy Agency (IEA) International Labour Organization (ILO) International Telecommunication Union (ITU) Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) PitchBook Quacquarelli Symonds The Heritage Foundation Transparency International United Nations (UN) United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD)

Jun Ni

Professor, Mechanical Engineering; Director, S.M. Wu Manufacturing Research Center, University of Michigan, United States

Lisa Schroeter

Global Director, Trade and Investment Policy, The Dow Chemical Company, United States

Jagjit Singh Srai

Head, Centre for International Manufacturing, Institute for Manufacturing (IfM), University of Cambridge, United Kingdom

Krystyn Van Vliet

Associate Provost, Professor of Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), United States

United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) World Bank (WB) World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) World Resources Institute (WRI) World Trade Organizations (WTO) Yale University

Appendix A

Regional and Income Group Classifications, 2018

Table A1: Regional Classifications

The following regional classifications were used for analysis in the report.

| EAST ASIA AND THE PACIFIC | EURASIA | EUROPE | LATIN AMERICA AND THE CARIBBEAN | MIDDLE EAST AND NORTH AFRICA | NORTH AMERICA | SOUTH ASIA | SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Australia | Armenia | Albania | Argentina | Algeria | Canada | Bangladesh | Botswana |
| Cambodia | Azerbaijan | Austria | Brazil | Bahrain | United States | India | Cameroon |
| China | Georgia | Belgium | Chile | Egypt | | Pakistan | Ethiopia |
| Hong Kong SAR | Kazakhstan | Bosnia and Herzegovina | Colombia | Jordan | | Sri Lanka | Ghana |
| Indonesia | Kyrgyz Republic | Bulgaria | Costa Rica | Kuwait | | | Kenya |
| Japan | Moldova | Croatia | Dominican Republic | Lebanon | | | Mauritius |
| Korea, Rep. | Russian Federation | Cyprus | Ecuador | Morocco | | | Nigeria |
| Malaysia | Ukraine | Czech Republic | El Salvador | Oman | | | Senegal |
| Mongolia | | Denmark | Guatemala | Qatar | | | South Africa |
| New Zealand | | Estonia | Honduras | Saudi Arabia | | | Tanzania |
| Philippines | | Finland | Mexico | Tunisia | | | Uganda |
| Singapore | | France | Panama | Turkey | | | Zambia |
| Thailand | | Germany | Paraguay | United Arab Emirates | | | |
| Viet Nam | | Greece | Peru | Emilatos | | | |
| | | Hungary | Uruguay | | | | |
| | | Ireland | | | | | |
| | | Israel | | | | | |
| | | Italy | | | | | |
| | | Latvia | | | | | |
| | | Lithuania | | | | | |
| | | Netherlands | | | | | |
| | | Norway | | | | | |
| | | Poland | | | | | |
| | | Portugal | | | | | |
| | | Romania | | | | | |
| | | Serbia | | | | | |
| | | Slovak Republic | | | | | |
| | | Slovenia | | | | | |
| | | Spain | | | | | |
| | | Sweden | | | | | |
| | | Switzerland | | | | | |
| | | United Kingdom | | | | | |

Table A2: Income Group Classifications

The following income group classifications were used for analysis in the report.

| LOW INCOME (US\$ 1,005 OR LESS) | LOWER-MIDDLE INCOME (US\$ 1,006–3,955) | UPPER-MIDDLE INCOME (US\$ 3,956–12,235) | HIGH INCOME (US\$ 12,236 OR MORE) |
|------------------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Ethiopia | Armenia | Argentina | Australia |
| Senegal | Bangladesh | Albania | Austria |
| Tanzania | Cambodia | Algeria | Bahrain |
| Uganda | Cameroon | Azerbaijan | Belgium |
| | Egypt | Bosnia and Herzegovina | Canada |
| | El Salvador | Brazil | Chile |
| | Georgia | Botswana | Cyprus |
| | Ghana | Bulgaria | Czech Republic |
| | Guatemala | China | Denmark |
| | Honduras | Colombia | Estonia |
| | India | Costa Rica | Finland |
| | Indonesia | Croatia | France |
| | Jordan | Dominican Republic | Germany |
| | Kenya | Ecuador | Greece |
| | Kyrgyz Republic | Kazakhstan | Hong Kong SAR |
| | Moldova | Lebanon | Hungary |
| | Mongolia | Malaysia | Ireland |
| | Morocco | Mauritius | Israel |
| | Nigeria | Mexico | Italy |
| | Pakistan | Panama | Japan |
| | Philippines | Paraguay | Korea, Rep. |
| | Sri Lanka | Peru | Kuwait |
| | Tunisia | Romania | Latvia |
| | Ukraine | Russian Federation | Lithuania |
| | Viet Nam | Serbia | Netherlands |
| | Zambia | South Africa | New Zealand |
| | | Thailand | Norway |
| | | Turkey | Oman |
| | | | Poland |
| | | | Portugal |
| | | | Qatar |
| | | | Saudi Arabia |
| | | | Singapore |
| | | | Slovak Republic |
| | | | Slovenia |
| | | | Spain |
| | | | Sweden |
| | | | Switzerland |
| | | | United Arab Emirates |
| | | | United Kingdom |
| | | | United States |
| | | | Uruguay |

Note: Income group categories are taken from the World Bank, which classifies economies into four income categories based on GNI per capita (current US\$): high income, upper-middle income, lower-middle income and low income. Classification as of July 2017.

Appendix B

Detailed Results by Archetype

The following pages share the scores and global rankings on the Structure of Production and Drivers of Production components for the four different archetypes. Detailed scorecards for all countries are available online at http://wef.ch/fopreadiness18.

Structure of Production

Table B1: Leading Countries

| | | Structure of | Production | Com | plexity | Sc | ale |
|--------|----------------|--------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| Region | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| | Japan | 8.99 | 1 | 10.00 | 1 | 7.47 | 5 |
| | Korea, Rep. | 8.85 | 2 | 8.96 | 4 | 8.69 | 2 |
| | Germany | 8.68 | 3 | 9.40 | 3 | 7.59 | 4 |
| | Switzerland | 8.39 | 4 | 9.82 | 2 | 6.25 | 12 |
| | China | 8.25 | 5 | 7.08 | 27 | 10.00 | 1 |
| | Czech Republic | 7.94 | 6 | 8.74 | 5 | 6.76 | 8 |
| | United States | 7.78 | 7 | 8.58 | 8 | 6.59 | 10 |
| | Sweden | 7.46 | 8 | 8.74 | 5 | 5.55 | 23 |
| | Austria | 7.46 | 9 | 8.69 | 7 | 5.62 | 21 |
| | Ireland | 7.34 | 10 | 8.16 | 13 | 6.11 | 14 |
| | Singapore | 7.28 | 11 | 8.40 | 11 | 5.59 | 22 |
| | United Kingdom | 7.05 | 13 | 8.58 | 8 | 4.74 | 37 |
| | Finland | 7.00 | 14 | 8.43 | 10 | 4.85 | 33 |
| | Italy | 6.99 | 15 | 7.74 | 18 | 5.87 | 16 |
| | France | 6.87 | 18 | 8.00 | 15 | 5.18 | 28 |
| | Poland | 6.83 | 19 | 7.47 | 21 | 5.88 | 15 |
| | Malaysia | 6.81 | 20 | 6.80 | 30 | 6.82 | 7 |
| | Slovenia | 6.80 | 21 | 8.27 | 12 | 4.60 | 39 |
| | Belgium | 6.51 | 24 | 7.61 | 19 | 4.88 | 32 |
| | Israel | 6.43 | 25 | 7.87 | 16 | 4.27 | 48 |
| | Netherlands | 6.32 | 26 | 7.43 | 22 | 4.65 | 38 |
| | Denmark | 6.29 | 27 | 7.61 | 19 | 4.31 | 46 |
| | Spain | 6.05 | 29 | 6.70 | 32 | 5.06 | 30 |
| | Canada | 5.81 | 33 | 6.50 | 34 | 4.77 | 34 |
| | Estonia | 5.75 | 34 | 7.36 | 23 | 3.34 | 70 |

East Asia and the Pacific Europe North America

Structure of Production (continued)

Table B2: Legacy Countries

| | Structure of | Production | Comp | olexity | Sc | ale |
|--------------------|--------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|------|
| n Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| Thailand | 7.13 | 12 | 6.64 | 33 | 7.86 | 3 |
| Slovak Republic | 6.98 | 16 | 7.87 | 16 | 5.65 | 20 |
| Hungary | 6.96 | 17 | 8.05 | 14 | 5.33 | 26 |
| Mexico | 6.74 | 22 | 7.16 | 25 | 6.11 | 13 |
| Romania | 6.61 | 23 | 7.25 | 24 | 5.65 | 19 |
| Philippines | 6.12 | 28 | 5.91 | 43 | 6.44 | 11 |
| India | 5.99 | 30 | 5.57 | 48 | 6.61 | 9 |
| Lithuania | 5.92 | 31 | 6.84 | 29 | 4.53 | 41 |
| Turkey | 5.87 | 32 | 5.93 | 42 | 5.77 | 18 |
| Russian Federation | 5.71 | 35 | 5.90 | 44 | 5.42 | 25 |

Table B3: High-Potential Countries/Economies

| | | Structure of | Production | Com | plexity | Scale | | |
|--------|----------------------|--------------|------------|-------|---------|-------|------|--|
| Region | Country/Economy | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | |
| | Norway | 5.65 | 36 | 7.09 | 26 | 3.48 | 67 | |
| | Portugal | 5.36 | 39 | 6.23 | 39 | 4.07 | 52 | |
| | New Zealand | 4.79 | 53 | 5.67 | 45 | 3.48 | 68 | |
| | United Arab Emirates | 4.53 | 57 | 5.05 | 58 | 3.76 | 59 | |
| | Hong Kong SAR | 4.52 | 58 | 6.80 | 31 | 1.10 | 97 | |
| | Australia | 4.26 | 61 | 4.47 | 68 | 3.96 | 54 | |
| | Qatar | 3.89 | 72 | 4.28 | 74 | 3.30 | 71 | |

East Asia and the Pacific
 Europe
 Middle East and North Africa

Structure of Production (continued)

Table B4: Nascent Countries

| | Structure of Production | Complexity | Scale | | Structure of Production | Complexity | Scale |
|------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------|-----------|
| gion Country | Score Rank | Score Rank | Score Rank | Region Country | Score Rank | Score Rank | Score Ran |
| Croatia | 5.50 37 | 6.97 28 | 3.29 72 | Dominican Republic | 3.99 71 | 4.03 77 | 3.95 55 |
| lndonesia | 5.41 38 | 4.31 73 | 7.06 6 | Mauritius | 3.84 73 | 4.51 66 | 2.84 77 |
| Bulgaria | 5.23 40 | 6.26 38 | 3.68 61 | Pakistan | 3.82 74 | 3.46 83 | 4.37 44 |
| Brazil | 5.22 41 | 5.33 54 | 5.05 31 | e Panama | 3.82 75 | 5.27 56 | 1.64 92 |
| Serbia | 5.18 42 | 6.28 37 | 3.53 63 | Kyrgyz Republic | 3.73 76 | 4.54 65 | 2.53 81 |
| Ukraine | 5.17 43 | 6.05 41 | 3.85 57 | Morocco | 3.67 77 | 3.27 86 | 4.28 47 |
| Saudi Arabia | 5.16 44 | 5.43 50 | 4.76 35 | eru | 3.67 78 | 3.12 87 | 4.49 43 |
| South Africa | 5.03 45 | 5.35 53 | 4.55 40 | Georgia | 3.61 79 | 4.39 71 | 2.44 85 |
| Egypt | 4.99 46 | 4.90 61 | 5.13 29 | Bangladesh | 3.59 80 | 2.33 97 | 5.48 24 |
| Costa Rica | 4.97 47 | 5.61 47 | 4.01 53 | Cambodia | 3.56 81 | 3.40 84 | 3.79 58 |
| Viet Nam | 4.96 48 | 4.37 72 | 5.83 17 | Kuwait | 3.56 82 | 4.24 75 | 2.53 82 |
| Latvia | 4.91 49 | 6.49 35 | 2.55 79 | Honduras | 3.43 83 | 3.32 85 | 3.59 62 |
| Argentina | 4.91 50 | 4.71 62 | 5.20 27 | Moldova | 3.36 84 | 4.39 70 | 1.81 91 |
| Tunisia | 4.83 51 | 5.29 55 | 4.13 50 | e Paraguay | 3.24 85 | 3.71 80 | 2.54 80 |
| El Salvador | 4.81 52 | 5.10 57 | 4.36 45 | Botswana | 3.17 86 | 4.44 69 | 1.26 96 |
| Bahrain | 4.78 54 | 5.63 46 | 3.51 65 | Senegal | 3.11 87 | 3.57 82 | 2.43 86 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4.66 55 | 6.13 40 | 2.44 84 | Kenya | 2.97 88 | 3.00 89 | 2.91 76 |
| Colombia | 4.61 56 | 4.94 59 | 4.12 51 | Ecuador | 2.85 89 | 2.42 95 | 3.50 66 |
| Uruguay | 4.52 59 | 5.44 49 | 3.14 73 | Algeria | 2.83 90 | 3.09 88 | 2.45 83 |
| Greece | 4.44 60 | 5.43 51 | 2.95 75 | Albania | 2.73 91 | 3.86 78 | 1.04 98 |
| Kazakhstan | 4.19 62 | 4.63 63 | 3.53 64 | Zambia | 2.39 92 | 2.75 90 | 1.85 90 |
| Chile | 4.18 63 | 4.47 67 | 3.76 60 | Tanzania | 2.39 93 | 2.66 91 | 1.98 89 |
| Cyprus | 4.11 64 | 6.32 36 | 0.80 99 | Uganda | 2.25 94 | 2.35 96 | 2.09 88 |
| Armenia | 4.10 65 | 5.41 52 | 2.13 87 | Azerbaijan | 2.16 95 | 2.53 92 | 1.60 93 |
| Sri Lanka | 4.10 66 | 3.66 81 | 4.75 36 | Ethiopia | 2.01 96 | 2.46 94 | 1.32 95 |
| Guatemala | 4.05 67 | 3.75 79 | 4.50 42 | Ghana | 1.96 97 | 2.22 98 | 1.56 94 |
| Lebanon | 4.02 68 | 4.93 60 | 2.65 78 | Cameroon | 1.84 98 | 0.82 99 | 3.38 69 |
| Jordan | 4.00 69 | 4.09 76 | 3.86 56 | Mongolia | 1.81 99 | 2.53 92 | 0.74 10 |
| Oman | 4.00 70 | 4.61 64 | 3.07 74 | Nigeria | 1.66 100 | - 100 | 4.16 49 |

East Asia and the Pacific
 Eurasia
 Europe
 Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa
 South Asia
 Sub-Saharan Africa

Drivers of Production

Table B5: Leading Countries

| | | Drive Produ | | | ology ovation | Hur Cap | | | l Trade stment | Institu Frame | itional ework | Sustai Reso | | | nand onment |
|--------|----------------|----------------|------|-------|------------------|------------|------|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|------|-------|----------------|
| Region | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| | United States | 8.16 | 1 | 8.52 | 1 | 7.91 | 3 | 7.73 | 5 | 8.55 | 9 | 6.69 | 37 | 8.54 | 1 |
| | Singapore | 7.96 | 2 | 7.36 | 6 | 8.00 | 2 | 9.02 | 1 | 9.13 | 1 | 6.10 | 56 | 6.38 | 14 |
| | Switzerland | 7.92 | 3 | 7.87 | 3 | 8.47 | 1 | 7.21 | 10 | 8.83 | 5 | 8.75 | 3 | 6.68 | 7 |
| | United Kingdom | 7.84 | 4 | 8.05 | 2 | 7.48 | 8 | 8.29 | 4 | 8.24 | 13 | 7.42 | 22 | 7.08 | 6 |
| | Netherlands | 7.75 | 5 | 7.73 | 4 | 7.12 | 13 | 8.37 | 3 | 8.69 | 8 | 7.73 | 15 | 6.56 | 9 |
| | Germany | 7.56 | 6 | 7.16 | 8 | 7.49 | 7 | 7.32 | 8 | 8.22 | 14 | 7.78 | 13 | 7.55 | 4 |
| | Canada | 7.54 | 7 | 7.08 | 10 | 7.90 | 4 | 7.49 | 6 | 8.47 | 10 | 7.71 | 16 | 6.42 | 12 |
| | Sweden | 7.40 | 9 | 7.31 | 7 | 7.51 | 6 | 6.77 | 19 | 8.82 | 6 | 8.78 | 2 | 5.88 | 24 |
| | Denmark | 7.20 | 10 | 6.90 | 12 | 7.30 | 12 | 6.79 | 18 | 8.84 | 4 | 8.38 | 8 | 5.41 | 34 |
| | Finland | 7.16 | 11 | 7.45 | 5 | 7.34 | 11 | 6.06 | 29 | 8.89 | 3 | 8.46 | 6 | 5.29 | 37 |
| | France | 6.89 | 14 | 6.82 | 14 | 6.48 | 23 | 6.94 | 14 | 7.31 | 21 | 8.19 | 10 | 6.50 | 10 |
| | Ireland | 6.85 | 15 | 6.57 | 18 | 6.99 | 14 | 6.83 | 16 | 7.92 | 16 | 6.70 | 36 | 5.66 | 30 |
| | Japan | 6.82 | 16 | 6.58 | 16 | 6.03 | 28 | 6.20 | 27 | 7.76 | 17 | 6.67 | 39 | 7.81 | 3 |
| | Belgium | 6.80 | 17 | 6.41 | 19 | 6.91 | 15 | 6.66 | 21 | 7.57 | 18 | 7.12 | 24 | 6.22 | 18 |
| | Austria | 6.79 | 18 | 6.20 | 21 | 6.78 | 18 | 6.54 | 22 | 8.04 | 15 | 8.74 | 4 | 5.63 | 31 |
| | Korea, Rep. | 6.51 | 21 | 6.57 | 17 | 5.90 | 30 | 6.82 | 17 | 6.86 | 25 | 6.49 | 46 | 6.40 | 13 |
| | Malaysia | 6.51 | 22 | 5.85 | 23 | 6.52 | 21 | 7.39 | 7 | 6.56 | 30 | 5.98 | 60 | 6.32 | 17 |
| | Israel | 6.24 | 23 | 6.79 | 15 | 6.83 | 17 | 5.34 | 53 | 7.01 | 23 | 6.03 | 58 | 4.96 | 44 |
| | Spain | 6.23 | 24 | 5.69 | 26 | 5.90 | 31 | 6.85 | 15 | 6.54 | 31 | 6.91 | 29 | 5.93 | 22 |
| | China | 6.14 | 25 | 5.74 | 25 | 5.57 | 40 | 7.21 | 9 | 4.88 | 61 | 5.52 | 66 | 7.93 | 2 |
| | Czech Republic | 6.01 | 26 | 5.07 | 31 | 6.50 | 22 | 6.22 | 26 | 6.66 | 29 | 7.57 | 18 | 4.97 | 43 |
| | Estonia | 6.00 | 27 | 5.80 | 24 | 6.52 | 20 | 5.83 | 35 | 7.33 | 20 | 6.24 | 52 | 3.95 | 74 |
| | Italy | 5.90 | 30 | 5.66 | 27 | 5.89 | 32 | 6.02 | 30 | 5.23 | 48 | 6.92 | 28 | 6.62 | 8 |
| | Poland | 5.83 | 31 | 4.75 | 37 | 5.66 | 36 | 6.41 | 23 | 6.14 | 39 | 7.09 | 25 | 5.90 | 23 |
| | Slovenia | 5.71 | 32 | 4.82 | 35 | 6.03 | 27 | 5.62 | 40 | 6.79 | 27 | 8.56 | 5 | 4.18 | 67 |

East Asia and the Pacific Europe North America

Drivers of Production (continued)

Table B6: Legacy Countries

| | | Drive Produ | | Techn & Inno | ology vation | | nan bital | | Trade stment | Institu Frame | | | inable urces | | nand onment |
|-------|--------------------|----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|------|-------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| egion | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| • | Thailand | 5.45 | 35 | 4.56 | 41 | 5.05 | 53 | 6.74 | 20 | 5.01 | 51 | 6.28 | 49 | 5.77 | 28 |
| | Lithuania | 5.42 | 37 | 4.73 | 38 | 5.87 | 33 | 4.96 | 62 | 6.71 | 28 | 7.43 | 21 | 3.98 | 73 |
| | Slovak Republic | 5.33 | 40 | 4.24 | 51 | 5.32 | 45 | 5.91 | 34 | 5.90 | 42 | 8.29 | 9 | 4.27 | 61 |
| | Hungary | 5.30 | 42 | 4.42 | 49 | 5.47 | 42 | 5.55 | 44 | 5.70 | 44 | 7.95 | 12 | 4.51 | 59 |
| | Russian Federation | 5.30 | 43 | 4.65 | 39 | 6.27 | 25 | 5.45 | 49 | 3.79 | 87 | 6.70 | 35 | 6.19 | 20 |
| • | India | 5.24 | 44 | 4.84 | 34 | 4.66 | 63 | 5.16 | 55 | 4.99 | 54 | 3.97 | 96 | 7.43 | 5 |
| • | Mexico | 5.04 | 46 | 4.51 | 43 | 4.45 | 73 | 6.25 | 25 | 4.15 | 84 | 5.88 | 61 | 5.85 | 25 |
| | Romania | 4.93 | 52 | 3.85 | 67 | 4.93 | 57 | 5.12 | 58 | 5.47 | 46 | 7.29 | 23 | 4.57 | 57 |
| | Turkey | 4.90 | 57 | 4.18 | 54 | 4.45 | 72 | 5.13 | 57 | 4.80 | 64 | 6.25 | 51 | 5.82 | 26 |
| | Philippines | 4.51 | 66 | 4.02 | 59 | 4.59 | 66 | 4.52 | 69 | 4.35 | 76 | 5.45 | 69 | 4.94 | 45 |

East Asia and the Pacific
 Eurasia
 Europe
 Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa
 South Asia

Table B7: High-Potential Countries/Economies

| | | Drive Produ | | Techn & Inno | ology | Hun Cap | | | l Trade stment | Institu Frame | itional ework | | inable urces | | nand onment |
|--------|----------------------|----------------|------|-----------------|-------|------------|------|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| Region | Country/Economy | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| • | Hong Kong SAR | 7.45 | 8 | 7.14 | 9 | 6.86 | 16 | 8.43 | 2 | 8.43 | 11 | 6.54 | 43 | 6.37 | 16 |
| • | Australia | 7.14 | 12 | 6.91 | 11 | 7.41 | 9 | 7.12 | 12 | 8.32 | 12 | 6.47 | 47 | 5.78 | 27 |
| | Norway | 7.07 | 13 | 6.86 | 13 | 7.75 | 5 | 5.67 | 38 | 8.72 | 7 | 8.84 | 1 | 5.55 | 32 |
| | United Arab Emirates | 6.76 | 19 | 6.28 | 20 | 6.62 | 19 | 7.19 | 11 | 7.46 | 19 | 5.44 | 70 | 6.50 | 11 |
| • | New Zealand | 6.73 | 20 | 6.06 | 22 | 7.38 | 10 | 5.55 | 45 | 9.10 | 2 | 7.75 | 14 | 4.85 | 50 |
| | Portugal | 5.99 | 28 | 5.49 | 28 | 6.02 | 29 | 6.13 | 28 | 6.86 | 26 | 6.71 | 34 | 5.01 | 40 |
| | Qatar | 5.96 | 29 | 5.16 | 29 | 6.11 | 26 | 5.78 | 37 | 7.07 | 22 | 5.51 | 67 | 5.76 | 29 |

East Asia and the Pacific
 Europe
 Middle East and North Africa

Drivers of Production (continued)

Table B8: Nascent Countries

| | | Drive Produ | | | ology | Hur Cap | | | l Trade stment | Institu Frame | itional ework | Susta Reso | inable urces | | nand onment |
|--------|--------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------|-------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------|----------------|
| Region | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| | Cyprus | 5.65 | 33 | 5.01 | 33 | 6.44 | 24 | 6.36 | 24 | 6.28 | 35 | 5.33 | 74 | 3.80 | 79 |
| | Chile | 5.60 | 34 | 4.36 | 50 | 5.32 | 46 | 6.02 | 31 | 6.91 | 24 | 6.87 | 31 | 4.89 | 48 |
| | Saudi Arabia | 5.44 | 36 | 4.50 | 44 | 5.21 | 48 | 5.41 | 50 | 6.19 | 38 | 5.00 | 76 | 6.19 | 19 |
| | Latvia | 5.39 | 38 | 4.54 | 42 | 5.63 | 37 | 5.64 | 39 | 6.42 | 33 | 8.44 | 7 | 3.45 | 89 |
| | Mauritius | 5.37 | 39 | 5.08 | 30 | 5.15 | 49 | 5.95 | 33 | 6.48 | 32 | 6.21 | 54 | 3.48 | 87 |
| | Bahrain | 5.31 | 41 | 4.43 | 48 | 5.60 | 38 | 5.96 | 32 | 6.26 | 36 | 4.78 | 81 | 4.16 | 71 |
| | Oman | 5.13 | 45 | 4.62 | 40 | 5.11 | 50 | 5.81 | 36 | 6.07 | 40 | 3.53 | 97 | 4.19 | 66 |
| • | Brazil | 5.03 | 47 | 4.49 | 45 | 4.42 | 74 | 5.27 | 54 | 4.51 | 72 | 7.55 | 19 | 6.10 | 21 |
| | Bulgaria | 5.02 | 48 | 4.76 | 36 | 5.07 | 52 | 5.40 | 51 | 4.97 | 55 | 6.89 | 30 | 4.27 | 60 |
| | South Africa | 5.02 | 49 | 4.49 | 46 | 4.54 | 67 | 5.61 | 41 | 5.03 | 49 | 5.26 | 75 | 5.50 | 33 |
| | Greece | 4.96 | 50 | 4.10 | 57 | 5.33 | 44 | 5.36 | 52 | 4.89 | 59 | 6.61 | 41 | 4.62 | 54 |
| | Croatia | 4.93 | 51 | 4.23 | 52 | 4.92 | 58 | 5.54 | 46 | 5.27 | 47 | 8.15 | 11 | 3.54 | 84 |
| | Viet Nam | 4.93 | 53 | 3.09 | 90 | 4.48 | 70 | 7.00 | 13 | 4.99 | 53 | 4.59 | 87 | 5.22 | 39 |
| | Georgia | 4.92 | 54 | 5.02 | 32 | 4.46 | 71 | 5.48 | 47 | 5.95 | 41 | 4.40 | 92 | 3.47 | 88 |
| | Jordan | 4.91 | 55 | 3.92 | 63 | 5.50 | 41 | 5.15 | 56 | 5.63 | 45 | 4.80 | 80 | 4.17 | 70 |
| • | Costa Rica | 4.90 | 56 | 3.87 | 66 | 5.67 | 35 | 4.19 | 76 | 5.87 | 43 | 6.94 | 26 | 4.23 | 64 |
| • | Panama | 4.89 | 58 | 3.91 | 64 | 4.90 | 60 | 5.60 | 42 | 4.95 | 56 | 7.60 | 17 | 4.26 | 62 |
| • | Indonesia | 4.89 | 59 | 4.00 | 61 | 4.99 | 55 | 5.06 | 61 | 4.59 | 69 | 4.09 | 94 | 6.38 | 15 |
| • | Uruguay | 4.75 | 60 | 4.46 | 47 | 4.84 | 61 | 3.57 | 85 | 6.36 | 34 | 6.68 | 38 | 3.77 | 80 |
| | Kazakhstan | 4.74 | 61 | 4.06 | 58 | 5.42 | 43 | 4.88 | 63 | 4.59 | 68 | 4.44 | 91 | 4.87 | 49 |
| | Azerbaijan | 4.69 | 62 | 4.14 | 55 | 5.23 | 47 | 4.63 | 66 | 4.55 | 70 | 4.86 | 79 | 4.89 | 47 |
| | Kuwait | 4.65 | 63 | 3.36 | 80 | 4.75 | 62 | 5.59 | 43 | 4.95 | 57 | 4.01 | 95 | 4.78 | 51 |
| | Serbia | 4.59 | 64 | 3.77 | 69 | 5.03 | 54 | 5.08 | 60 | 4.88 | 60 | 6.23 | 53 | 3.49 | 85 |
| • | Colombia | 4.53 | 65 | 4.01 | 60 | 4.60 | 65 | 3.89 | 80 | 4.60 | 67 | 7.53 | 20 | 4.91 | 46 |
| | Ukraine | 4.47 | 67 | 3.51 | 74 | 5.79 | 34 | 5.11 | 59 | 3.38 | 94 | 4.59 | 88 | 4.53 | 58 |
| | Egypt | 4.46 | 68 | 4.21 | 53 | 3.93 | 85 | 4.23 | 75 | 4.30 | 78 | 6.43 | 48 | 5.37 | 36 |
| | Botswana | 4.43 | 69 | 3.39 | 79 | 4.40 | 75 | 4.37 | 73 | 6.22 | 37 | 5.49 | 68 | 3.23 | 94 |
| | Armenia | 4.43 | 70 | 3.53 | 72 | 5.07 | 51 | 4.41 | 72 | 4.93 | 58 | 5.85 | 62 | 3.68 | 81 |
| | Lebanon | 4.43 | 71 | 3.28 | 84 | 5.58 | 39 | 5.47 | 48 | 3.30 | 96 | 5.44 | 71 | 4.20 | 65 |

(Table B8 continues on next page)

Drivers of Production (continued)

Table B8: Nascent Countries (continued)

| | | Drive Produ | | | ology | Hur Cap | | | Trade stment | Institu Frame | | Sustai Reso | | | nand onment |
|--------|------------------------|----------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------|-------|-----------------|------------------|------|----------------|------|-------|----------------|
| Region | Country | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank | Score | Rank |
| | Tunisia | 4.41 | 72 | 3.96 | 62 | 4.12 | 80 | 4.56 | 68 | 5.01 | 52 | 5.70 | 64 | 3.93 | 76 |
| | Morocco | 4.35 | 73 | 4.13 | 56 | 3.43 | 94 | 4.69 | 65 | 4.80 | 65 | 4.77 | 82 | 4.70 | 53 |
| | Sri Lanka | 4.26 | 74 | 3.52 | 73 | 4.51 | 69 | 3.82 | 83 | 4.85 | 63 | 4.59 | 89 | 4.61 | 56 |
| | Argentina | 4.25 | 75 | 3.78 | 68 | 4.94 | 56 | 3.15 | 92 | 4.16 | 83 | 5.84 | 63 | 4.99 | 42 |
| | Peru | 4.18 | 76 | 3.34 | 81 | 4.24 | 77 | 3.96 | 78 | 4.32 | 77 | 6.27 | 50 | 4.61 | 55 |
| | Ghana | 4.14 | 77 | 3.31 | 82 | 4.06 | 81 | 3.53 | 86 | 5.03 | 50 | 6.67 | 40 | 4.11 | 72 |
| | Albania | 4.07 | 78 | 3.40 | 78 | 4.62 | 64 | 3.69 | 84 | 4.70 | 66 | 6.19 | 55 | 3.16 | 96 |
| | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 4.04 | 79 | 3.71 | 70 | 4.21 | 78 | 4.77 | 64 | 4.20 | 82 | 4.63 | 86 | 2.87 | 98 |
| • | Dominican Republic | 4.02 | 80 | 3.49 | 76 | 4.29 | 76 | 3.85 | 82 | 4.22 | 81 | 6.00 | 59 | 3.65 | 82 |
| | Moldova | 4.02 | 81 | 3.50 | 75 | 4.91 | 59 | 4.48 | 70 | 3.83 | 86 | 5.36 | 73 | 2.69 | 99 |
| • | Paraguay | 3.84 | 82 | 3.02 | 91 | 3.99 | 84 | 4.46 | 71 | 3.70 | 88 | 6.05 | 57 | 3.37 | 90 |
| | Kenya | 3.83 | 83 | 3.89 | 65 | 3.72 | 88 | 2.52 | 96 | 4.22 | 80 | 6.54 | 42 | 4.18 | 68 |
| | Mongolia | 3.82 | 84 | 2.96 | 93 | 4.53 | 68 | 4.63 | 67 | 4.49 | 73 | 1.86 | 100 | 2.68 | 100 |
| | Senegal | 3.74 | 85 | 3.20 | 85 | 3.50 | 92 | 3.45 | 88 | 4.85 | 62 | 4.75 | 83 | 3.32 | 91 |
| • | Guatemala | 3.71 | 86 | 2.89 | 94 | 4.02 | 82 | 3.53 | 87 | 3.20 | 98 | 6.94 | 27 | 4.24 | 63 |
| | Algeria | 3.70 | 87 | 2.97 | 92 | 3.58 | 91 | 3.28 | 89 | 3.60 | 91 | 5.36 | 72 | 5.00 | 41 |
| | Nigeria | 3.68 | 88 | 3.48 | 77 | 3.67 | 89 | 2.37 | 97 | 3.22 | 97 | 6.80 | 32 | 5.28 | 38 |
| • | Bangladesh | 3.67 | 89 | 3.19 | 86 | 3.38 | 96 | 3.05 | 93 | 4.00 | 85 | 4.73 | 84 | 4.76 | 52 |
| • | Ecuador | 3.66 | 90 | 3.55 | 71 | 4.00 | 83 | 3.16 | 91 | 3.57 | 92 | 4.69 | 85 | 3.80 | 78 |
| | Cambodia | 3.63 | 91 | 3.28 | 83 | 3.75 | 86 | 3.96 | 79 | 3.09 | 100 | 4.51 | 90 | 3.93 | 75 |
| | Honduras | 3.61 | 92 | 2.69 | 96 | 3.73 | 87 | 4.32 | 74 | 3.19 | 99 | 6.76 | 33 | 3.26 | 92 |
| • | Pakistan | 3.60 | 93 | 3.18 | 88 | 3.65 | 90 | 2.72 | 94 | 3.67 | 89 | 2.88 | 99 | 5.37 | 35 |
| | El Salvador | 3.55 | 94 | 2.64 | 98 | 3.45 | 93 | 4.03 | 77 | 3.63 | 90 | 6.53 | 44 | 3.14 | 97 |
| | Zambia | 3.54 | 95 | 2.67 | 97 | 3.14 | 98 | 3.17 | 90 | 4.46 | 74 | 6.49 | 45 | 3.49 | 86 |
| | Kyrgyz Republic | 3.43 | 96 | 2.56 | 100 | 4.15 | 79 | 3.86 | 81 | 3.41 | 93 | 2.89 | 98 | 3.24 | 93 |
| | Uganda | 3.31 | 97 | 3.19 | 87 | 3.01 | 99 | 1.98 | 99 | 4.55 | 71 | 5.70 | 65 | 3.17 | 95 |
| | Ethiopia | 3.29 | 98 | 2.57 | 99 | 3.42 | 95 | 1.97 | 100 | 4.27 | 79 | 4.38 | 93 | 4.17 | 69 |
| | Tanzania | 3.28 | 99 | 2.82 | 95 | 2.85 | 100 | 2.18 | 98 | 4.39 | 75 | 4.93 | 78 | 3.88 | 77 |
| | Cameroon | 3.24 | 100 | 3.11 | 89 | 3.18 | 97 | 2.58 | 95 | 3.36 | 95 | 4.97 | 77 | 3.63 | 83 |

East Asia and the Pacific
 Eurasia
 Europe
 Latin America and the Caribbean
 Middle East and North Africa
 South Asia
 Sub-Saharan Africa

Appendix C

Technical Notes and Sources

The data included in the report represents the best available values from various sources at the time the report was prepared. Some data may have been revised or updated by the sources after publication. The following notes provide descriptions and sources for all the indicators listed in the **Country Profiles**.

The authors of this report developed estimates for missing values where country or economy data was not available for an indicator to calculate the Readiness for the Future of Production assessment. Most scores have been imputed by using an incomeregional group approach. This means a score was estimated by taking the average score of the group of countries in both the same region and the same income level (See **Appendix A** for classifications). **Table C1** at the end of this appendix reports the values by indicator and country or economy that corresponds to the imputed score, and the method used for the imputation. Note that in the ranking tables available online at http://wef.ch/fopreadiness18 imputed values are not reported.

Indicators and Data Sources

Structure of Production

Complexity

1.01 Economic complexity

A measure of the knowledge in a society as expressed in the products it makes. The economic complexity of a country is calculated based on the diversity of exports a country produces and their ubiquity, or the number of the countries able to produce them. Countries that are able to sustain a diverse range of productive know-how, including sophisticated, unique know-how, are able to produce a wide diversity of goods, including complex products that few other countries can make.

Additional details available here: http://atlas.cid.harvard.edu.

Unit of measure: (2.5)–2.5 (best) Source: Harvard University, *The Atlas of Economic Complexity*, 2016

Scale

1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy

Manufacturing value added (MVA) as a % of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). MVA of an economy is the total estimate of net-output of all resident manufacturing activity units obtained by adding up outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. The boundary of manufacturing as an economic activity refers to industries belonging to International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) divisions 15-37.

Unit of measure: % GDP

Source: United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), 2016

1.03 Manufacturing value added

Manufacturing value added (MVA) as a logarithm with base of 10. MVA of an economy is the total estimate of net output of all resident manufacturing activity units obtained by adding up outputs and subtracting intermediate inputs. The boundary of manufacturing as an economic activity refers to industries belonging to International Standard Industrial Classification (ISIC) divisions 15-37.

Unit of measure: US\$, logarithm base 10

Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) data, 2016

Drivers of Production

Technology & Innovation

Technology Platform

Availability of ICT

2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions

Number of mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions per 100 people. This includes postpaid subscriptions, active prepaid accounts (i.e. that have been active during the past three months) and all mobile-cellular subscriptions that offer voice communications.

Unit of measure: Number per 100 people Source: International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 2016

2.02 LTE mobile network coverage

Percentage of the population covered by at least an LTE/WiMAX mobile network. Refers to the percentage of inhabitants that live within range of LTE/LTE-Advanced, mobile WiMAX/WirelessMAN or other more advanced mobile-cellular networks, irrespective of whether or not they are subscribers. This is calculated by dividing the number of inhabitants that are covered by the previously mentioned mobile-cellular technologies by the total population and multiplying by 100. It excludes people covered only by HSPA, UMTS, EVDO and previous 3G technologies, and also excludes fixed WiMAX coverage.

Unit of measure: % population Source: International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 2016

2.03 Internet users

Percentage of individuals who used the internet from any location and for any purpose, irrespective of the device and network used, in the last three months.

Unit of measure: % population

Source: International Telecommunication Union (ITU), 2016

2.04 FDI and technology transfer

Executive Opinion Survey: "To what extent does foreign direct investment (FDI) bring new technology into your country? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Use of ICT

2.05 Firm-level technology absorption

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do businesses adopt the latest technologies? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

2.06 ICT-enabled business models

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do ICTs enable new business models? (1=not at all, 7=to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Digital Security & Data Privacy

2.07 Cybersecurity commitment

Score from the 2017 Global Cybersecurity Index, which measures cybersecurity commitment across five pillars:

- Legal: Measured based on the existence of legal institutions and frameworks dealing with cybersecurity and cybercrime.
- **Technical:** Measured based on the existence of technical institutions and frameworks dealing with cybersecurity.
- Organizational: Measured based on the existence of policy coordination institutions and strategies for cybersecurity development at the national level.
- Capacity Building: Measured based on the existence of research and development, education and training programs; certified professionals and public sector agencies fostering capacity building.
- Cooperation: Measured based on the existence of partnerships, cooperative frameworks and information sharing networks.

Additional details available here: https://www.itu.int/dms_pub/itu-d/opb/str/D-STR-GCI.01-2017-R1-PDF-E.pdf.

Unit of measure: 0-1 (best)

Source: International Telecommunication Union (ITU), Global Cybersecurity Index, 2017

Ability to Innovate

Industry Activity

2.08 State of cluster development

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, how widespread are welldeveloped and deep clusters (geographic concentrations of firms, suppliers, producers of related products and services, and specialized institutions in a particular field)? (1 = nonexistent, 7 = widespread in many fields)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

2.09 Company investment in emerging technology

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do companies invest in emerging technologies (e.g. Internet of Things, advanced analytics and artificial intelligence, augmented virtual reality and wearables, advanced robotics, 3D printing)? (1=not at all, 7= to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2017

2.10 Government procurement of advanced technology

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do government purchasing decisions foster innovation? (1=not at all, 7=to a great extent)" Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do companies embrace risky or disruptive business ideas? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

2.12 Multistakeholder collaboration

Average score of the three following Executive Opinion Survey questions: "In your country, to what extent do people collaborate and share ideas within a company? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"; "In your country, to what extent do companies collaborate in sharing ideas and innovating? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"; and "In your country, to what extent do business and universities collaborate on research and development (R&D)? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Research Intensity

2.13 R&D expenditures

Expenditure on research and development (R&D) as a percentage of gross domestic product (GDP). Expenditures for research and development are current and capital expenditures (both public and private) on creative work undertaken systematically to increase knowledge, including knowledge of humanity, culture, and society and the use of knowledge for new applications. R&D covers basic research, applied research and experimental development.

Unit of measure: % GDP Source: World Bank, 2015

2.14 Scientific and technical publications

Number of scientific and technical journal articles published per billion PPP\$ GDP. Article counts are from a set of journals covered by the Science Citation Index (SCI) and the Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI). Articles are classified by year of publication and assigned to each country/economy on basis of the institutional address(es) listed in the article. Articles are counted on a count basis (rather than a fractional basis)—that is, for articles with collaborating institutions from multiple countries/economies, each country/economy receives credit on the basis of its participating institutions.

Unit of measure: Number per billion PPP\$ GDP

Sources: Special tabulations from Thomson Reuters, Web of Science, Science Citation Index (SCI) and Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI); International Monetary Fund, World Economic Outlook Database; data via World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Global Innovation Index, 2016

2.15 Patent applications

Total number of patent families filed in at least two of the major five (IP5) patent offices in the world per million people. The major five (IP%) offices are: the European Patent Office (EPO), the Japan Patent Office (JPO), the Korean Intellectual Property Office (KIPO), the State Intellectual Property Office of the People's Republic of China (SIPO), and the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO). Data is extracted from the PATSTAT database by earliest filing date and inventor country, using fractional counts. Presented in average number of applications over 2012-2014 and divided by the average population over the same period to get per million population.

Unit of measure: Number per million people

Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) data (patents) and World Bank data (population), 2012–2014 moving average

Available Financing

2.16 Venture capital deal volume

Three-year average value of venture capital deals (US\$). Deal status includes: Completed; Announced; In bidding process; Upcoming; Postponed. Deal date from: 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2016.

Unit of measure: US\$ millions Source: Pitchbook, 2014–2016 moving average

2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy

Three-year average value of venture capital deals divided by the three-year average value of GDP (US\$). Deal status includes: Completed; Announced; In bidding process; Upcoming; Postponed. Deal date from: 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2016. The data are reported per billion PPP\$ GDP.

Unit of measure: US\$/GDP (three-year average)

Sources: World Economic Forum calculation using Pitchbook data (VC deals) and World Bank data (GDP), 2014–2014–2016 moving average

Human Capital

Current Labour Force

Labour Force Capabilities

3.01 Manufacturing employment

The share of manufacturing employment in total employment. Employment is defined as comprising all persons of working age who, during a specified brief period, were in the following categories: paid employment (whether at work or with a job but not at work) or self-employment (whether at work or with an enterprise but not at work). No distinction is made between persons employed full time and those working less than full time. The sectors of economic activity are defined according to the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC), Revision 3 (1990) and Revision 4 (2008). Manufacturing refers to industries belonging to the sector D defined by ISIC Revision 3, or C defined by ISIC Revision 4. Figures for updates are obtained from national data and estimates produced by the International Labour Organization (ILO).

Unit of measure: % working population

Sources: United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), International Labour Organization (ILO), 2015

3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment

Sum of people in categories 1 to 3 as a percentage of total people employed, according to the International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO). Categories included are: ISCO-08: 1 Managers, 2 Professionals, and 3 Technicians and associate professionals (years 2007– 15); ISCO-88: 1 Legislators, senior officials and managers, 2 Professionals, 3 Technicians and associate professionals (2007–15); ISCO-68: 1 Professional, technical and related workers (category 0 Armed forces is excluded), 2 Administrative and managerial workers, 3 Clerical and related workers (years 2007–08).

Unit of measure: % working population

Sources: International Labour Organization (ILO; data via World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Global Innovation Index, 2015

3.03 Female participation in labour force

The ratio of the percentage of women aged 15–64 participating in the labour force as workers earning wages and salaries to the percentage of men aged 15–64 participating in the labour force as workers earning wages and salaries.

Unit of measure: Ratio

Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on International Labour Organization (ILO) data, 2016

3.04 Mean years of schooling

Average number of completed years of education of a country's population aged 25 years and older.

Unit of measure: Years

Source: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) Institute for Statistics, 2015

3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent are scientists and engineers available? (1 = not available at all, 7 = widely available)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

3.06 Digital skills among population

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent does the active population possess sufficient digital skills (e.g. computer skills, basic coding, digital reading)? (1= not at all, 7= to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Future Labour Force

Migration

3.07 Migration

The measure of net migration (inflows and outflows) in a country over the period from 2010–2015, in 000s of people (in thousands), per 2015 population size.

Unit of measure: Thousands of migrants/population

Sources: World Economic Forum calculation based on United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF) data (Total net migration 2010–2015 in thousands) and United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) data (population), 2010–2015

3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent

Average score of the two following Executive Opinion Survey questions: "To what extent does your country attract talented people from abroad? (1 = not at all; 7 = to a great extent, the country attracts the best and brightest from around the world)" and "To what extent does your country retain talented people? (1 = not at all, the best and brightest leave to pursue opportunities abroad; 7 = to a great extent, the best and brightest stay and pursue opportunities in the country)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Education Outcomes

3.09 Quality of universities

The number of universities for each country included in QS World University Ranking 2018 out of 972 universities.

Unit of measure: Number Source: Quacquarelli Symonds (QS), 2017

3.10 Quality of math and science education

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, how do you assess the quality of math and science education? (1 = extremely poor, among the worst in the world; 7 = excellent, among the best in the world)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

3.11 Quality of vocational training

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, how do you assess the quality of vocational training? (1 = extremely poor, among the worst in the world; 7 = excellent, among the best in the world)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

3.12 School life expectancy

Total number of years of schooling (primary to tertiary) that a child can expect to receive. Based on the assumption that the probability of his or her being enrolled in school at any particular future age is equal to the current enrollment ratio at that age.

Unit of measure: Years

Source: United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO), 2015

3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education

Average number of pupils per teacher based on the headcounts of both pupils and teachers in a country.

Unit of measure: Ratio Source: World Bank, 2015

3.14 Critical thinking in teaching

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, how do you assess the style of teaching? (1 = frontal, teacher based and focused on memorizing; 7 = encourages creative and critical individual thinking)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Agility & Adaptability

3.15 Active labour policies

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent are unemployed people supported in reskilling and finding new employment? (1=not at all, 7=to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

3.16 On-the-job training

Average score of the two following Executive Opinion Survey questions: 1) "In your country, how available are high-quality, professional training services? (1 = not available at all, 7 = widely available)" and 2) "In your country, to what extent do companies invest in training and employee development? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

3.17 Hiring and firing practices

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do regulations allow flexible hiring and firing of workers? (1 = not at all, 7 = to a great extent)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Global Trade & Investment

Trade

Trade Openness

4.01 Trade

The sum of exports and imports of goods and services measured as a share of GDP.

Unit of measure: % GDP Source: World Bank, 2016

Trade Facilitation and Market Access

4.02 Trade tariffs

Trade-weighted average tariff rate. An applied tariff is a customs duty that is levied on imports of merchandise goods. This indicator is calculated as a weighted average of all the applied tariff rates, including preferential rates that a country applies to the rest of the world. The weights are the trade patterns of the importing country's reference group.

Unit of measure: % duty Source: International Trade Centre, Trade Competitiveness Map Data, 2016

4.03 Prevalence of trade barriers

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, to what extent do nontariff barriers (e.g. health and product standards, technical and labeling requirements, etc.) limit the ability of imported goods to compete in the domestic market? (1 = strongly limit, 7 = do not limit at all)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

4.04 Logistics performance

Average score of five components from the International Logistics Performance Index:

- Customs: the efficiency of customs and border management clearance
- Ease of arranging shipments: the ease of arranging competitively priced shipments
- Quality of logistics services: the competence and quality of logistics services—trucking, forwarding and customs brokerage
- Tracking and tracing: the ability to track and trace consignments
- Timeliness: the frequency with which shipments reach consignees within scheduled or expected delivery times

Unit of measure: 1-5 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on World Bank data, International Logistics Performance Index, 2016

Investment

Investment and Financing

4.05 Greenfield investments

Five-year average value of announced greenfield FDI projects, by destination, in US\$ (millions). A greenfield investment is a form of foreign direct investment where a parent company builds its operations in a foreign country from the ground up, organically.

Unit of measure: US\$ millions

Source: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 2012–16 moving average

4.06 FDI inflows

Five-year average net FDI flows of country or economy. FDI inflows and outflows comprise capital provided (either directly or through other related enterprises) by a foreign direct investor to a FDI enterprise, or capital received by a foreign direct investor from a FDI enterprise. Data on FDI flows are presented on net bases (capital transactions' credits less debits between direct investors and their foreign affiliates).

Unit of measure: US\$ millions

Source: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 2012–16 moving average

4.07 Domestic credit to private sector

Financial resources provided to the private sector by financial corporations as a percentage of GDP. Financial resources are loans, purchases of non-equity securities, and trade credits and other accounts receivable, that establish a claim for repayment.

Unit of measure: % GDP

Source: World Bank, Bank for International Settlements, 2016

Infrastructure

Transportation and Electricity

4.08 Transport Infrastructure

This indicator is calculated by the World Economic Forum by aggregating eight indicators that measure roads, railroads, air transport and water transport infrastructure. For more information, write to gcp@weforum.org.

Unit of measure: 0–100 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, 2017

4.09 Electricity Infrastructure

This indicator is calculated by the World Economic Forum by aggregating two indicators that measure the electrification rate and electric power transmission and distribution losses. For more information, write to gcp@ weforum.org.

Unit of measure: 0–100 (best) Source: World Economic Forum, 2017

Institutional Framework

Government

Efficiency & Effectiveness

5.01 Regulatory efficiency

- Average of score of three components from the Index of Economic Freedom:
- Business Freedom: the extent to which the regulatory and infrastructure environments constrain the efficient operation of businesses.
- Labour Freedom: considers various aspects of the legal and regulatory framework of a country's labour market, including regulations concerning minimum wages, laws inhibiting layoffs, severance requirements, and measurable regulatory restraints on hiring and hours worked, plus the labour force participation rate as an indicative measure of employment opportunities in the labour market.
- Monetary Freedom: combines a measure of price stability with an assessment of price controls.

Unit of measure: 0–100 (best) Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on The Heritage Foundation data, Index of Economic Freedom, 2017

5.02 Corruption Perceptions Index

Overall score from the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI). The CPI scores/ ranks countries/territories based on their perceived level of corruption in the country's public sector. It is a composite index: a combination of surveys and assessments of corruption, collected by a variety of reputable institutions. Unit of measure: 0–100 (best)

Source: Transparency International, Corruption Perception Index, 2016

5.03 Future orientation of government

Average score of the following four Executive Opinion Survey questions: 1) "In your country, how fast is the legal framework of your country in adapting to digital business models (e.g. e-commerce, sharing economy, fintech, etc.)? (1 = not fast at all, 7 = very fast)"; 2) "In your country, to what extent does the government ensure a stable policy environment for doing business?"; 3) "In your country, to what extent does the government respond effectively to change (e.g. technological changes, societal and demographic trends, security and economic challenges)?"; 4) "In your country, to what extent does the government have a long-term vision in place?" For the last three questions, the answer ranges from 1 (not at all) to 7 (to a great extent). Unit of measure: 1–7 (best)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2017

Rule of Law

5.04 Rule of Law

Score for the Rule of Law dimension in the *Worldwide Governance Indicators* report issued by the World Bank. Rule of law captures perceptions of the extent to which agents have confidence in and abide by the rules of society, and in particular the quality of contract enforcement, property rights, the police and the courts, as well as the likelihood of crime and violence.

For more information on the concepts measured, visit http://info.worldbank. org/governance/wgi/#doc.

Unit of measure: (2.5)–2.0 (best) Source: World Bank, Worldwide Governance Indicators, 2016

Sustainable Resources

Sustainability

Energy

6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use

Alternative energy includes hydropower and nuclear, geothermal, biomass and solar power, among others. Calculated as a % based on Total Primary Energy Supply.

Unit of measure: % total energy use Source: International Energy Agency, 2014

Emissions

6.02 CO2 intensity level

Total CO_2 (carbon dioxide) emissions in a given country, as a ratio of GDP (US\$ billions).

Unit of measure: CO₂ emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on World Resources Institute data (Total CO₂) and World Bank data (GDP), 2014

6.03 CH₄ intensity level

Total \mbox{CH}_4 (methane) emissions in a given country, as a ratio of GDP (US\$ billions).

Unit of measure: CH₄ emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on World Resources Institute data (Total CH₄) and World Bank data (GDP), 2014

6.04 N₂O intensity level

Total $N_{\rm 2}O$ (nitrous oxide) emissions in a given country, as a ratio of GDP (US\$ billions).

Unit of measure: N₂O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions)

Source: World Economic Forum calculation based on World Resources Institute data (Total $N_2 O)$ and World Bank data (GDP), 2014

Water

6.05 Baseline Water Stress

Score for Baseline Water Stress from the World Resources Institute report. Baseline water stress measures total annual water withdrawals (municipal, industrial and agricultural) expressed as a percentage of the total annual available blue water. Higher values indicate more competition among users. Countries were sorted into 5 respective categories based on their respective scores, low <10% (score from 0–1), low to medium 10-20% (score from 1–2), medium to high (score from 2–3), high 40–80% (score from 3–4), and extremely high >80% (4–5). For more information, visit http://www.wri.org/sites/default/files/aqueduct_coutnry_rankings_010914.pdf.

Unit of measure: 0–5 (worst) Source: World Resources Institute, 2013

6.06 Wastewater treatment

Score for Wastewater Treatment from the Yale EPI. The indicator measures the proportion of wastewater collected and produced by households, municipalities, and industry that is treated, weighted by the population covered by the sewage network.

Unit of measure: 0–100 (worst) Source: Yale University, Environmental Performance Index, 2016

Demand Environment

Foreign and Domestic Demand

Market Size

7.01 Market size

This indicator is calculated by the World Economic Forum as an aggregate measure that reflects Gross Domestic Product (GDP) valued at purchasing power parity in billions of international dollars and the imports of goods and services as a percentage of GDP. The score corresponds to the natural logarithm of the sum of GDP and imports, valued at purchasing power parity (PPP). Valuation of imports at PPP is estimated by multiplying the share of exports by the value of GDP. For more information, write to gcp@weforum. orq.

Unit of measure: 0–100 (worst) Source: World Economic Forum, 2017

Consumer Base

Consumer Sophistication

7.02 Buyer sophistication

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, on what basis do buyers make purchasing decisions? (1 = based solely on the lowest price, 7 = based on sophisticated performance attributes)"

Unit of measure: 1–7 (worst)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

7.03 Extent of market dominance

Executive Opinion Survey: "In your country, how do you characterize corporate activity? (1 = dominated by a few business groups, 7 = spread among many firms)"

Unit of measure: 1-7 (worst)

Source: World Economic Forum, Executive Opinion Survey, 2016–17 weighted average

Table C1: Imputation Methods and Imputed Values

| Indicat | or | Imputation Method | Country/Economy | Imputed Value |
|---------|------------------------------------|--|------------------------|---------------|
| 2.02 | LTE mobile network | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Egypt | 70.50 |
| | coverage | | Bosnia and Herzegovina | 80.60 |
| 2.07 | Cybersecurity commitment | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 0.81 |
| 2.09 | Company investment | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Bahrain | 4.79 |
| | in emerging technology | | Oman | 4.79 |
| | | | Turkey | 3.14 |
| .13 | R&D expenditures | Regression on PCT patent. Mean years of schooling, log GDP per | Bangladesh | 0.32 |
| | | capita and GDP growth of over 5 years to develop estimates | Cameroon | 0.33 |
| | | | Lebanon | 0.59 |
| .14 | Scientific and | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Ghana | 7.50 |
| | technical publications | | Hong Kong SAR | 34.00 |
| .01 | Manufacturing | 2015 value for "Employment Distribution $-$ ILO modelled esitmates (%) $-$ | Cameroon | 5.60 |
| | employment | Manufacturing ISIC rev.4 C" from the International Labour Organization (ILO) | China | 10.20 |
| | | | Kenya | 4.00 |
| | | | Lebanon | 7.70 |
| .02 | Knowledge-intensive | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Cameroon | 7.28 |
| | employment | | China | 19.65 |
| | | | Ghana | 7.28 |
| | | | India | 18.80 |
| | | | Jordan | 20.88 |
| | | | Kenya | 7.28 |
| | | | Kuwait | 29.75 |
| | | | Nigeria | 7.28 |
| | | | Oman | 29.75 |
| | | | Senegal | 3.77 |
| .06 | Digital skills among | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Bahrain | 5.18 |
| | population | | Oman | 5.18 |
| | | | Turkey | 4.10 |
| .04 | Logistics | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Azerbaijan | 2.58 |
| | performance | | Mauritius | 3.42 |
| | | | Sri Lanka | 3.03 |
| .01 | Alternative and nuclear energy use | Average score of countries in the same region and economic group | Uganda | 0.75 |
| .02 | CO ₂ intensity level | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 0.25 |
| .03 | CH ₄ intensity level | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 0.05 |
| 6.04 | N ₂ O intensity level | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 0.03 |
| .05 | Baseline water stress | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 3.29 |
| | | | Mauritius | 2.20 |
| 6.06 | Wastewater treatment | Average score of countries/economies in the same region and economic group | Hong Kong SAR | 93.70 |

How to Read the Country Profiles

The Country Profiles section presents a profile for each of the 100 countries and economies covered in the *Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018.*

Key Indicators

This section presents a selection of key economic indicators and key production indicators. All data in this section is from 2016. The economic indicators are sourced from the April 2017 edition of the International Monetary Fund (IMF)'s World Economic Outlook (WEO) Database. The production indicators are sourced from the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) *Statistical Country Briefs* database.

2 Overall Assessment


This section summarizes the performance of a country across the Drivers of Production and Structure of Production components. The table to the left of this section shows the overall Drivers of Production and Structure of Production scores as well as individual scores, ranking and weighting for the six Drivers of Production and the two components of the Structure of Production for that country. The chart on the right of this section shows the country position within the global mapping of results and archetypes. A country or economy is positioned within one of four archetypes based on its weighted Structure of Production score (x axis) and Driver of Production score (y axis) on a 0–10 scale.

3 Future of Production Scorecard

This section details the country's performance for each of the 59 indicators included in the composition of the Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment. Indicators are organized by sub-category and category under the Drivers of Production and Structure of Production. All values are presented in the corresponding unit of measure displayed next to the indicator name.

Online Resources

Interactive profiles with an adjustable weighting scheme and a downloadable dataset are available at http://wef.ch/ fopreadiness18.





List of Countries

Page

Country/Economy Albania

| Albania54 |
|--------------------------|
| Algeria56 |
| Argentina58 |
| Armenia60 |
| Australia62 |
| Austria64 |
| Azerbaijan66 |
| Bahrain68 |
| Bangladesh70 |
| Belgium72 |
| Bosnia and Herzegovina74 |
| Botswana76 |
| Brazil |
| Bulgaria80 |
| Cambodia82 |
| Cameroon |
| Canada86 |
| Chile |
| China90 |
| Colombia92 |
| Costa Rica94 |
| Croatia96 |
| Cyprus |
| Czech Republic100 |
| Denmark102 |
| Dominican Republic104 |
| Ecuador106 |
| Egypt108 |
| El Salvador110 |
| Estonia112 |
| Ethiopia114 |
| Finland116 |
| France |
| Georgia120 |
| |

| Country/Economy | Page |
|-----------------|------|
| Germany | 122 |
| Ghana | 124 |
| Greece | 126 |
| Guatemala | 128 |
| Honduras | 130 |
| Hong Kong SAR | 132 |
| Hungary | 134 |
| India | 136 |
| Indonesia | 138 |
| Ireland | 140 |
| Israel | 142 |
| Italy | 144 |
| Japan | 146 |
| Jordan | 148 |
| Kazakhstan | 150 |
| Kenya | 152 |
| Korea, Rep | 154 |
| Kuwait | 156 |
| Kyrgyz Republic | 158 |
| Latvia | 160 |
| Lebanon | 162 |
| Lithuania | 164 |
| Malaysia | 166 |
| Mauritius | 168 |
| Mexico | 170 |
| Moldova | 172 |
| Mongolia | 174 |
| Morocco | 176 |
| Netherlands | 178 |
| New Zealand | 180 |
| Nigeria | 182 |
| Norway | 184 |
| Oman | 186 |
| Pakistan | 188 |
| | |

| Panama. 190 Paraguay 192 Peru 194 Philippines 196 Poland. 198 Portugal. 200 Qatar 202 Romania 204 Russian Federation 206 Saudi Arabia 208 Senegal 210 Serbia 212 Singapore 214 Slovenia 218 South Africa 220 Spain 222 Sri Lanka 224 Sweden 226 Switzerland 228 Tanzania 230 Thailand 232 Turkey 236 Uganda 238 Ukraine 240 United Arab Emirates 242 | Country/Economy | Page |
|--|----------------------|------|
| Peru 194 Philippines 196 Poland 198 Portugal 200 Qatar 202 Romania 204 Russian Federation 206 Saudi Arabia 208 Senegal 210 Serbia 212 Singapore 214 Slovak Republic 216 Slovenia 218 South Africa 220 Spain 222 Sri Lanka 224 Sweden 226 Switzerland 228 Tanzania 230 Thailand 232 Turkey 236 Uganda 238 Ukraine 240 | Panama | 190 |
| Philippines 196 Poland 198 Portugal 200 Qatar 202 Romania 204 Russian Federation 206 Saudi Arabia 208 Senegal 210 Serbia 212 Singapore 214 Slovak Republic 216 Slovenia 220 Spain 222 Sri Lanka 224 Sweden 226 Switzerland 228 Tanzania 230 Thailand 232 Turkey 236 Uganda 238 Ukraine 240 | Paraguay | 192 |
| Poland. 198 Portugal. 200 Qatar 202 Romania 204 Russian Federation 206 Saudi Arabia 208 Senegal 210 Serbia 212 Singapore 214 Slovenia 216 Slovenia 218 South Africa 220 Spain 222 Sri Lanka 224 Sweden 226 Switzerland 228 Tanzania 230 Thailand 232 Turkey 236 Uganda 238 Ukraine 240 | Peru | 194 |
| Portugal. .200 Qatar .202 Romania .204 Russian Federation .206 Saudi Arabia .208 Senegal .210 Serbia .212 Singapore .214 Slovenia .216 Slovenia .218 South Africa .220 Spain .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand .232 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Philippines | 196 |
| Qatar 202 Romania 204 Russian Federation 206 Saudi Arabia 208 Senegal 210 Serbia 212 Singapore 214 Slovak Republic 216 Slovenia 218 South Africa 220 Spain 222 Sri Lanka 224 Sweden 226 Switzerland 228 Tanzania 230 Thailand 232 Turkey 236 Uganda 238 Ukraine 240 | Poland | 198 |
| Romania .204 Russian Federation .206 Saudi Arabia .208 Senegal .210 Serbia .212 Singapore .214 Slovak Republic .216 Slovenia .218 South Africa .220 Spain .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand .232 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Portugal | 200 |
| Russian Federation .206 Saudi Arabia .208 Senegal .210 Serbia .212 Singapore .214 Slovak Republic .216 Slovenia .218 South Africa .220 Spain .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand .232 Turkey | Qatar | 202 |
| Saudi Arabia | Romania | 204 |
| Senegal | Russian Federation | 206 |
| Serbia. .212 Singapore. .214 Slovak Republic. .216 Slovenia. .218 South Africa. .220 Spain. .222 Sri Lanka. .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland. .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand. .232 Turisia. .234 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine. .240 | Saudi Arabia | 208 |
| Singapore .214 Slovak Republic .216 Slovenia .218 South Africa .220 Spain .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand .232 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Senegal | 210 |
| Slovak Republic | Serbia | 212 |
| Slovenia. .218 South Africa. .220 Spain. .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland. .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand. .232 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Singapore | 214 |
| South Africa. .220 Spain. .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden. .226 Switzerland. .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand. .232 Tunisia .234 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Slovak Republic | 216 |
| Spain .222 Sri Lanka .224 Sweden .226 Switzerland .228 Tanzania .230 Thailand .232 Tunisia .234 Turkey .236 Uganda .238 Ukraine .240 | Slovenia | 218 |
| Sri Lanka | South Africa | 220 |
| Sweden | Spain | 222 |
| Switzerland | Sri Lanka | 224 |
| Tanzania.230Thailand.232Tunisia.234Turkey.236Uganda.238Ukraine.240 | Sweden | 226 |
| Thailand232Tunisia.234Turkey.236Uganda.238Ukraine.240 | Switzerland | 228 |
| Tunisia | Tanzania | 230 |
| Turkey | Thailand | 232 |
| Uganda | Tunisia | 234 |
| Ukraine | Turkey | 236 |
| | Uganda | 238 |
| United Arab Emirates242 | Ukraine | 240 |
| | United Arab Emirates | 242 |
| United Kingdom244 | United Kingdom | 244 |
| United States246 | United States | 246 |
| Uruguay248 | Uruguay | 248 |
| Viet Nam250 | Viet Nam | 250 |
| Zambia252 | Zambia | 252 |

54 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Albania

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 2.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 4,203.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 12.1 | Unemployment rate % | 15.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 823.3 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.0 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 6.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 9.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.1 |


4.1

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.4 20% 78th Innovation Human 20% 4.6 64th Capital **Global Trade** 3.7 20% 84th & Investment Institutional 4.7 20% 66th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.2 5% 55th Resources Demand 3.2 96th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 2.7 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 3.9 Complexity 60% 78th Scale 1.0 40% 98th

Archetype







Nascent

Albania

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 78 | -0.5 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 98 | 1.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 92 | 6.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 98 | 823.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 76 | 105.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 54 | 80.2 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 51 | 66.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 84 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 82 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 93 | 1.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 83 | 3.0 3.9 |
| (best) | 97 | |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 48 | 2.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 88 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ | | |
| GDP | 78 | 5.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 77 | 0.16 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 94 | 64.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 78 | 5.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 57 | 5.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 75 | 9.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 75 | 16.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 54 | 0.74 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 50 | 10.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 66 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 96 | -17.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 64 34 | 3.8 15.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 58 | 18.6 |
| 3.13 Publi-to-teacher ratio in primary education Hatto | 24 | 4.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 86 | 4.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.9 |
| and an inclusion of the second s | | 0.0 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 55 | 74.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 36 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 62 | 4.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 90 | 2.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 91 | 0.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 100 | 121.1 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 77 | 1,071.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ensuremath{\%\/}{\mbox{GDP}}$ | 76 | 34.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 90 | 4.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 87 | 29.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 90 | 53.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.7 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 50 | 70.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 61 | 39.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 74 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 55 | 6.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 55 | 6.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 32 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 57 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 65 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 73 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \mbox{blue water}$ blue water | 50 | 1.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 70 | 60.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 95 | 26.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.1 |
| | | |



Country Profiles

Algeria

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 40.8 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,944.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 160.8 | Unemployment rate % | 10.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 10,698.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 9.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |


3.7

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| | | | 011 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 92nd | 3.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 91st | 3.6 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 2000/ | 89th | 3.3 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 91st | 3.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 72nd | 5.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 41st | 5.0 |
| Structure of P | roduction | | 2.8 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 88th | 3.1 |
| Scale | 40% | 83rd | 2.4 |

Archetype







Nascent

Algeria

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|--|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.1 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 88 | -0.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 83 | 2.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 94 | 5.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 57 | 10,698.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 90 | 4.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 58 | 117.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 97 | 3.6 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 78 | 42.9 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 67 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 94 | 1.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 99 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 76 | 5.4 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 85 | 0.05 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 54 | 2,342.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 58 | 13.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 91 | 4.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 53 | 11.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 82 | 10.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 93 | 0.23 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 78 | 7.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 88 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 56 | -1.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.8 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 56 | 14.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 76 | 23.8 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 73 | 2.9 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.1 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 69 | 58. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 97 | 0.14 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 82 | 4.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 70 | 2.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 84 | 0.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 48 | 3,055.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 74 | 1,131. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 90 | 23.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 83 | 5.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 81 | 32. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 81 | 67.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 87 | 59. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 75 | 34. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 93 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 94 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 80 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 69 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 42 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 76 | 3. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 42 | 82. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 34 | 59. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3. |
| | | |



Country Profiles

Argentina

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 43.6 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 2,502.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 545.1 | Unemployment rate % | 8.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 77,416.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -4.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 26.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Production 4.2 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 68th | 3.8 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 56th | 4.9 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 92nd | 3.1 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 83rd | 4.2 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 63rd | 5.8 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 42nd | 5.0 | | |
| Structure of Pro | | 4.9 | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 62nd | 4.7 | | |

40%

27th

5.2

Archetype



Nascent

Scale

Nascent

Argentina

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 Value | |
|---|-----------------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 62 | -0.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 27 | 5.2 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 36 | 14.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 25 | 77,416.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 68 | 3.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 64 | 5.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 13 | 150.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 51 | 85.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 45 | 70.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 85 | 4.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 89 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 62 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 84 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 68 | 3.4 |
| (best) | 78 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 54 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 58 | 9.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 53 | 1.23 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 53 | 2,421.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 84 | 4.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 56 | 4.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 35 | 13.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 53 | 23.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 52 | 0.75 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 54 | 9.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 56 | 3.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 65 | -2.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 15 | 16.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 15 | 17.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 49 67 | 17.2 |
| 3.14 Childran trimking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 72 | 2.9 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.3 |
| | 49 99 | 2.3 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 97 | 26.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 91 | 0.12 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.7 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 61 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 71 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 34 | 5,893.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 30 | 9,522.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ensuremath{\%\/}{\mbox{GDP}}$ | 98 | 14.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 74 | 5.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 68 | 40.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 76 | 72.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 100 | 51.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 69 | 36.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 73 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 63 | 5.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 63 | 5.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 71 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 55 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 64 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 72 | 0.1 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 55 | 2.5 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 65 | 66.9 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.0 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 33 | 61.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.3 |
| | | |




Country Profiles

Armenia


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 3.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,510.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 10.5 | Unemployment rate % | 18.8 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,281.0 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 3.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 8.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |

Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype







Armenia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 52 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 87 | 2.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 65 | 10.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 94 | 1,281.0 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 72 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 61 | 114.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 73 | 52.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 55 | 62.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 74 | 4.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 65 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 76 | 4.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 93 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 46 | 2.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 30 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 77 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 25 | 29.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 43 | 3.05 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 79 | 253.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 41 | 23.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 43 | 6.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 81 | 8.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 40 | 31.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 56 | 0.74 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 30 | 11.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 59 | 3.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 95 | -16.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 68 | 13.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 63 | 19.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.9 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 58 | 6.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 54 | 76.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 46 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 95 | 2.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 79 | 1.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 91 | 419.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 94 | 359.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 62 | 48.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 68 | 6.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 71 | 39.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 62 | 79.6 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 29 | 74.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 78 | 33.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 61 | -0.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 62 | 5.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 62 | 5.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 39 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 66 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 63 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 63 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}\ \mbox{Annual withdrawals, $\%$ of annual available blue water }$ | 65 | 3.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 63 | 70.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.7 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 98 | 23.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.3 |



Australia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 24.3 | GDP per capita US\$ 5 | 1,850.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,259.0 | Unemployment rate % | 5.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 100,108.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 28.2 |


Readiness Overall Assessment

Manufacturing employment % working population

| Drivers of Prod | 7.1 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 11th | 6.9 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 9th | 7.4 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 12th | 7.1 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 12th | 8.3 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 47th | 6.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 27th | 5.8 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.3 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 68th | 4.5 |
| Scale | 40% | 54th | 4.0 |

Archetype

7.6 CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD



High Potential

ECONOMIC FORUM

0.3

High Potential 💾

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

Australia

| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------|
| | 68 | 4.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 68 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 54 | 4.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 89 | 6.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 20 | 100,108.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 14 | 7.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 71 | 109.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 15 | 88.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 25 | 5.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 22 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 29 | 5.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 7 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 12 | 5.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 29 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 16 | 2.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 8 | 48.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 20 | 46.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 7 | 99,210.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 12 | 74.2 |
| Provide the second seco | 9 | 7.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 84 | 7.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 11 | 44.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 24 | 0.92 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 22 | 12.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 7 | 7.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 9 | 31.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 7 | 37.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 1 | 20.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | - |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.7 |
| | | 4.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 20 | |
| | 20 19 78 | 4.3 5.3 3.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 12 | 7.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 74 | 4.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 84 | 40.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 35 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 18 | 3.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 10 | 17,031. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 10 | 45,205. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 14 | 142.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 27 | 59. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 13 | 96. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 5 | 86. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 12 | 79. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 11 | 1. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 81 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 37 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 40 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 53 | 0. |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ $ | 78 | 3. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 6 | 97. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 27 | 5.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 22 | 6.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 22 | 66. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 33 | 5.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4. |



Austria


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 8.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 14,498.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 386.8 | Unemployment rate % | 6.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 71,962.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 17.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 45.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 21st | 6.2 | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 18th | 6.8 | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 22nd | 6.5 | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 15th | 8.0 | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 4th | 8.7 | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 31st | 5.6 | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 7.5 | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | |
| Complexity | 60% | 7th | 8.7 | |
| Scale | 40% | 21st | 5.6 | |

Archetype







Leading

Austria

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 7 | 1.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 21 | 5.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 25 | 17.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 26 | 71,962.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 21 | 6.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 26 | 7.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 7 | 166.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 21 | 84.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 27 | 5.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 33 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 21 | 5.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 7 | 3.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 17 | 36.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 7 | 201.92 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 36 | 7,125.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 50 | 17.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 18 | 6.8 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 21 | 16.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 21 | 40.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 22 | 0.93 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 34 | 11.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 18 | 5.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 16 | 17.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 29 | 16.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 7 | 10.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|---|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 22 | 6.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 33 | 100.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 15 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 6 | 4.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 46 | 2.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 62 | 1,678.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 49 | 3,356.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 33 | 84.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 14 | 71.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 15 | 94.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 27 | 76.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 15 | 75.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 10 | 1.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 30 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 9 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 8 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 10 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 13 | 0.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 8 | 97.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 41 | 56.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 22 | 5.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.0 |

WØRLD ECONOMI FORUM

Azerbaijan


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 9.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,956.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 37.6 | Unemployment rate % | 6.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,860.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -4.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5.0 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 13.7 |

4.9


Readiness Overall Assessment

Manufacturing employment % working population



Archetype

CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD



Nascent

0.7

Azerbaijan

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 92 | 2.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 92 | -1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 93 | 1.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 97 | 5.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 83 | 2,860.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 55 | 4.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 75 | 106.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 79 | 41.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 31 | 78.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 27 | 5.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 39 | 5.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 51 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 66 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 11 | 4.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 80 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 85 | 3.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 78 | 0.15 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 96 | 42.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 97 | 0.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 92 | 4.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 54 | 23.4 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 59 | 0.70 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 44 | 10.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 41 | 4.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 46 | 0.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 78 | 12.7 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 30 | 13.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.2 |
| | 29 | 4.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|---|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 42 | 90.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 77 | 0.08 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | | |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 89 | 0.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 77 | 845.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 48 | 3,522.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 89 | 26.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.5 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 37 | 53.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 71 | 75.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 32 | 73.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 84 | 30.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 85 | -0.6 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 90 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 64 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 86 | 0.5 |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 49 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 74 | 3.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 64 | 69.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 47 | 4.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 60 | 44.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 24 | 5.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.2 |

68 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Bahrain

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 1.3 | GDP per capita US\$ 2 | 4,182.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 31.9 | Unemployment rate % | 3.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 4,561.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 24.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.5 |


5.3

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.4 20% 48th Innovation Human 5.6 20% 38th Capital **Global Trade** 6.0 20% 32nd & Investment Institutional 6.3 20% 36th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.8 5% 81st Resources Demand 4.2 71st 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 4.8 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 5.6 46th Scale 3.5 40% 65th

Archetype





ECON

WORLE ECONON FORUM

Bahrain

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 46 | 0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 65 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 39 | 14.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 74 | 4,561.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 48 | 4.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 2 | 216.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 1 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 1 | 98.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 30 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 35 | 5.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 64 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 64 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | | - |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 95 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 87 | 2.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 55 | 1.17 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 76 | 313.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 68 | 9.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 38 | 5.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 68 | 5.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 48 | 12.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 63 | 20.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 91 | 0.24 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 59 | 9.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | | - |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 21 | 5.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 18 | 16.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 32 | 16.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 18 | 11.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.9 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 21 | 8.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 20 | 116.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 47 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 38 | 3.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 56 | 2.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 57 | 1,900. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 75 | 1,122.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 39 | 73. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 26 | 7.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 39 | 53.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 6 | 97.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 23 | 76.3 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 51 | 43. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 42 | 0.5 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 96 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 89 | 0.9 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 52 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 1 | 0.0 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 94 | 5.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 22 | 91. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 85 | 33. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 32 | 5.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 35 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.3 |

Bangladesh

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| 161.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,411.0 |
|----------|--|---|
| 227.9 | | |
| | | |
| 32,262.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 9.8 |
| 19.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 9.5 |
| 12.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |
| | 227.9 32,262.1 19.3 | 227.9 32,262.1 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 3.7 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 86th | 3.2 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 96th | 3.4 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 93rd | 3.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 85th | 4.0 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 84th | 4.7 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 52nd | 4.8 |
| Structure of Pr | oduction | | 3.6 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 97th | 2.3 |

40%

24th

5.5

Archetype



Nascent

Scale

Bangladesh

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 97 | -1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 24 | 5.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14 | 19.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 43 | 32,262.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 94 | 77.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 67 | 65.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 97 | 18.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 81 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 55 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 97 | 1.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 73 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 86 | 2.9 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 87 | 0.04 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 93 | 72.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 99 | 0.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 92 | 4.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 44 | 12.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 65 | 20.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 92 | 0.23 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 94 | 5.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 90 | -12.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 74 | 2.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 95 | 9.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 91 | 36.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 86 | 2.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.3 |

| Trade 0-10 (best) 93 3. 4.01 Trade % GDP 87 38. 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 96 0.11 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 30 4.1 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 76 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1. 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 49 2,918. 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 64 1,802. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.3 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.3 dovernment 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 85 4.0 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 71 63.3 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 33. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.2 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ | ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|--|-----------|---------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 87 38.4 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 96 0.13 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 30 4.4 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 76 22 Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1.4 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 49 2,918.1 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 64 1,802.3 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 31.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 633 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 264 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 96 264 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 6.02 OD intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 80 0.0 6.03 CAL intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.0 | Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 96 0.13 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 30 4.1 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 76 2.2 Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1.4 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 49 2,918.1 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 64 1,802.2 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.3 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Soul Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 86 -0.0 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 86 -0.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 32 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.0 6.03 CH4 | Trade 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.1 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 30 4.1 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 76 2.2 Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1.4 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 49 2,918.1 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 64 1,802.2 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.3 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 86 -0.0 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 86 -0.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 32 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.2 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 | 4.01 Trade % GDP | 87 | 38.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 - 5 (best) 76 2: Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1.4 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 49 2,918.1 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 64 1,802.2 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 83 31.4 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.3 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 71 63.4 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N20 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 | 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 96 | 0.13 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 73 1.4 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 49 2,918.1 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 64 1,802.3 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.3 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 31.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 71 63.1 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.4 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 33 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 ۞ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.3 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue | 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 49 2,918. 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 64 1,802. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 83 31.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.5 ▲ 0.9 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.5 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 O Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level CO4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 | 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 76 | 2.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 64 1,802.3 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44.4 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.3 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.4 m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.7 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 84 4.7 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 billions) 85 0.1 6.0 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of ann | Investment 0-10 (best) | 73 | 1.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 70 44. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.1 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 31.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.1 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.2 billions) 83 0.1 6.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water </td <td>4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions</td> <td>49</td> <td>2,918.0</td> | 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 49 | 2,918.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 87 4.1 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 31.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.1 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 60 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.2 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - | 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 64 | 1,802.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 31.1 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 ⑦ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.2 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0 | 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 70 | 44.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 83 61.1 m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 71 63.1 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.1 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.1 billitions) 60 0.1 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 10 (best) 37 5.5 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.1 | Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 87 | 4.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.0 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.0 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 60 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 83 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.1 </td <td>4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best)</td> <td>83</td> <td>31.8</td> | 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 83 | 31.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) 85 4.0 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.3 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.4 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 10 (best) 52 4.4 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0 - 10 (best) 37 | 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 83 | 61.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 63.1 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.3 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.5 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0.4 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 52 4.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.7 | Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 96 26.1 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3.3 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.3 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.4 billions) 60 0.4 60 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 billions) 85 0.5 60 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.5 6.05 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophis | Government 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 49 3. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 86 -0.1 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.1 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.2 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.2 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.1 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.1 | 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 71 | 63. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 86 -0.1 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.1 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.5 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 81 3.1 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 37 | 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 96 | 26. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 84 4.7 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 84 4.7 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.7 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.7 billions) 60 0.7 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.7 billions) 85 0.7 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.7 billions) 85 0.7 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.7 | 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best)844.16.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use460.36.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$600.4billions)600.46.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$830.46.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$850.4billions)6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available220.46.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920.1 6 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)524.8Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)375.87.01 Market size 0-100 (best)813.17.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)583.4 | 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 86 | -0. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 46 0.3 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.3 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 billions) 83 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.5 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 81 3.3 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.4 | C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.7 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 60 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 83 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 85 0.5 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 6.07 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 52 4.8 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.8 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 81 3.1 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.4 | Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.7 |
| billions)BUBU6.03 CH4 intensity levelCH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$830.46.04 N2O intensity levelN2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$850.46.05 Baseline water stressAnnual withdrawals, % of annual available220.46.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920.4 6 Oriver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)524.8Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)375.87.01 Market size 0-100 (best)3758.47.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)583.4 | 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 46 | 0.3 |
| billions)I830.16.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$850.6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water220.16.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920.1 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)524.8Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)375.87.01 Market size 0-100 (best)3758.17.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)583.1 | | 60 | 0.4 |
| billions)830.6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water220.6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920. Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)524.1Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)375.57.01 Market size 0-100 (best)3758.Consumer Base 0-10 (best)813.7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)583. | | 83 | 0. |
| blue water 22 0.1 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0.1 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 52 4.4 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.5 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 58. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 81 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3. | | 85 | 0. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 52 4.0 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.0 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 58.0 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 81 3.1 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3.1 | | 22 | 0. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 37 5.5 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 58. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 81 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3. | 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 37 58. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 81 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3. | Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 52 | 4.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 81 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3. | Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 37 | 5.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 58 3. | 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 37 | 58. |
| | Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) 93 3. | 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.4 |
| | 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3. |



Technology & 6.4 20% 19th Innovation Human 6.9 20% 15th Capital **Global Trade** 6.7 20% 21st & Investment Institutional 7.6 20% 18th Ш Framework Sustainable 7.1 5% 24th Resources Demand 1 50/ 1 0+h 6 2

Weighting

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver

| Environment | 15% | 18th | 6.2 |
|------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.5 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 19th | 7.6 |
| Scale | 40% | 32nd | 4.9 |


Archetype

10 High-Potential

Nascent

Small / basic

0 0



Structure of Production



Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 11.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 41,283.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 467.0 | Unemployment rate % | 7.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 68,049.0 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 49.5 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

6.8

Score /10

Rank

Country Profiles





Leading

C

Legacy 🦲

Large / complex

10

Leading

Belgium

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 19 | 1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 32 | 4.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 48 | 13.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 27 | 68,049.0 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 19 | 6.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 67 | 111.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 1 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 19 | 86.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 23 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 30 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 17 | 5.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.8 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 33 | 3.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 12 | 2.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 15 | 40.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 16 | 92.23 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 27 | 16,279.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 28 | 33.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 15 | 6.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 17 | 8.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 39 | 12.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 9 | 45.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 20 | 0.94 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 27 | 11.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 20 | 5.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 23 | 13.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 7 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 14 | 20.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 12 | 20.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Hatto 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.5 |
| | 0 | 0.0 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Valu |
|---|----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 21 | 6.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 8 | 166. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 30 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 5 | 4. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 40 | 2.9 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 39 | 3,876. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 20 | 15,125. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 45 | 64. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 15 | 70. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 16 | 94. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 26 | 76. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 13 | 77. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 18 | 1. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 48 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 18 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 6 | 0. |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 22 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 66 | 3. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 13 | 95. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 18 | 6. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 31 | 62. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5. |



Bosnia and Herzegovina

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

Nascent

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 3.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 4,308.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 16.6 | Unemployment rate % | 25.4 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,080.9 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.0 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 17.5 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.0 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 4.0 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 70th | 3.7 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 78th | 4.2 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 64th | 4.8 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 82nd | 4.2 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 86th | 4.6 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 98th | 2.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.7 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 40th | 6.1 |
| | | | |

40%

84th

2.4

Archetype



Scale

ECONOMIC FORUM

Bosnia and Herzegovina

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.1 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 40 | 0.5 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 84 | 2.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 64 | 11.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 90 | 2,080.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 70 | 3.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 93 | 4.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 86 | 89.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | | - |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 47 | 69.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 77 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 96 | 0.1 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 34 | 3.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 81 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 56 | 10.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 67 | 0.31 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 25 | 18,509.7 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 1 | 1,083.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 58 | 5.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 21 | 16.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 52 | 24.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 62 | 0.67 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 64 | 9.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 57 | -1.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 100 | 1.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 57 | 14.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 48 | 17.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.6 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.3 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 45 | 7.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 41 | 90.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 63 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 83 | 2.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 74 | 1.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 65 | 1,455.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 96 | 346.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ensuremath{\%\/}{\mbox{GDP}}$ | 58 | 53.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 67 | 6.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 85 | 31.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 38 | 88.2 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 72 | 63.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 61 | 39.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 70 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 86 | 4.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 86 | 4.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 49 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 95 | 1.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 70 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 77 | 0.1 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 4 | 0.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 90 | 29.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.2 |
| | | |

Botswana


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 2.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 6,972.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions 15.0 | |) | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,068.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 16.5 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 2.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 4.4 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 79th | 3.4 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 75th | 4.4 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 73rd | 4.4 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 37th | 6.2 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 68th | 5.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 94th | 3.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 3.2 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 69th | 4.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 96th | 1.3 |

Archetype



Nascent

ECC

Botswana

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 69 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 96 | 1.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 91 | 6.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 95 | 1,068.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 74 | 5.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 11 | 158.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 78 | 42.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 79 | 39.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 75 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 83 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 86 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 68 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 86 | 1.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 72 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 23 | 3.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 76 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 61 | 8.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 99 | 0.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 90 | 88.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 75 | 5.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 100 | 2.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 71 | 17.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 36 | 0.87 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 60 | 9.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 48 | 4.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 33 | 8.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.8 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 79 | 12.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 71 | 22.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.1 |
| | 57 | 4.1 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| C Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 34 | 7.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 28 | 105.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 71 | 0.07 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 54 | 3.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 94 | 0.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 94 | 311.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 93 | 361.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 83 | 31.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.9 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 73 | 38.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 84 | 58.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 42 | 71.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 29 | 60.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 38 | 0.5 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 68 | 5.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 68 | 5.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 53 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 63 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 71 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 86 | 0.2 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 41 | 1.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 84 | 41.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 94 | 27.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 77 | 3.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.2 |
| | | |



Brazil


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 206.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 8,726.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,798.6 | Unemployment rate % | 11.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 226,520.3 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -9.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 35.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype







Brazil

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 54 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 74 | 10.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 9 | 226,520.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 45 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 54 | 118.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 72 | 56.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 59 | 59.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 40 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 37 | 2.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 32 | 1.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 47 | 13.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 49 | 1.60 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 17 | 41,425.7 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 44 | 20.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 74 | 4.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 59 | 5.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 45 | 12.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 58 | 21.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 43 | 0.82 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 80 | 7.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 76 | 3.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 52 | -0.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 13 | 22.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 37 | 15.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 69 | 20.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 84 | 2.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Valu |
|--|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.1 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 98 | 24.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 92 | 0.12 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 99 | 3.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 53 | 3.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 8 | 20,682.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 5 | 65,114. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % ${\tt GDP}$ | 47 | 62.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 75 | 5.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 65 | 41.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 78 | 70.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) | 85 | 60.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 58 | 40.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.0 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 60 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 24 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 24 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 56 | 0. |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 65 | 0. |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 26 | 0.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 54 | 76.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 21 | 6.1 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 10 | 7.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 10 | 76.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 45 | 4.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.0 |

WØRLD ECØNOMIC FØRUM

Bulgaria

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 7.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 7,368.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 52.4 | Unemployment rate % | 7.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 7,293.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 30.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 19.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.5 |
| | | | |


5.0

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.8 20% 36th Innovation Human 5.1 20% 52nd Capital Global Trade 5.4 20% 51st & Investment Institutional 5.0 20% 55th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.9 5% 30th Resources Demand 4.3 60th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 5.2 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 6.3 Complexity 60% 38th Scale 3.7 40% 61st

Archetype







Bulgaria

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 38 | 0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 61 | 3.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 45 | 13.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 66 | 7,293.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 36 | 4.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 44 | 6.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 41 | 127.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 50 | 86.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 58 | 59.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 45 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 35 | 3.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 45 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 39 | 1.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 43 | 15.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 40 | 3.86 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 47 | 3,171.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 18 | 59.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 5 | 19.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 37 | 32.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 19 | 0.94 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 41 | 10.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 72 | 3.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 82 | -7.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 49 | 14.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 54 | 17.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.0 |
| a 15 Active leber policies 17 them | 52 | 3.4 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 23 | 8.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 17 | 124.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 17 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 68 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 66 | 2.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 72 | 1.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 61 | 1,698.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 68 | 1,572.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 59 | 52.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 62 | 44.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 41 | 87.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 37 | 72.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 54 | 41.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 58 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 31 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 86 | 0.8 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 58 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 74 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}\ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water$ | 36 | 1.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 29 | 88.6 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 61 | 44.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.8 |

Cambodia


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 15.8 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,229.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 19.4 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,994.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 11.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 17.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 3.6 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 83rd | 3.3 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 86th | 3.7 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 79th | 4.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 100th | 3.1 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 90th | 4.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 75th | 3.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 3.6 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 84th | 3.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 58th | 3.8 |

Archetype



WORLD ECONOM FORUM

Nascent

Cambodia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 84 | -0.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 58 | 3.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 24 | 17.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 82 | 2,994.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.3 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 47 | 124.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 76 | 50.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 91 | 25.6 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 75 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 66 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 86 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 75 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 92 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 79 | 5.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 88 | 0.02 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 74 | 372.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 45 | 20.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 87 | 4.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 62 | 10.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 87 | 4.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 53 | 0.75 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 98 | 4.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 89 | -11.2 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 92 | 10.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 95 | 45.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.0 |
| | 86 | 3.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 44 | 7.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 15 | 127.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 79 | 0.09 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 76 | 4.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 67 | 2.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 51 | 2.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 43 | 3,577.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 62 | 1,809.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 42 | 69.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 95 | 26.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 99 | 20.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 100 | 3.1 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 100 | 3.1 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 92 | 57.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 100 | 21.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 99 | -1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 90 | 4.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 90 | 4.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 9 | 0.7 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 59 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 98 | 1.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 91 | 0.3 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 18 | 0.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 80 | 34.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 49 | 4.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.0 |




Cameroon


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 23.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,238.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 29.3 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 4,427.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 7.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.3 | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype



Nascent



Cameroon

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|----------------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 99 | 0.8 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 99 | -1.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 69 | 3.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 47 | 13.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 75 | 4,427.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 98 | 68.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 68 | 64.1 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 94 | 25.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 94 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 77 | 4.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 72 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 90 | 1.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 71 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 54 | 10.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 91 | 0.02 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 85 | 146.7 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 80 | 5.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 90 | 5.6 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 86 | 0.37 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 91 | 6.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 89 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 62 | -2.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 82 | 2.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 83 | 12.2 |
| | 93 | 41.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | ~ 4 | 3.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 64 | |
| | 64 85 59 | 2.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 76 | 51.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 99 | 0.16 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 97 | 2.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 99 | 0.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 84 | 600.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 88 | 521.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 95 | 15.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 89 | 4.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 100 | 22.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 82 | 65.5 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 93 | 57.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 96 | 26.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 95 | -1.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 77 | 5.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 77 | 5.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 8 | 0.7 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 34 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 80 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 99 | 2.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 8 | 0.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 81 | 34.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 76 | 3.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.9 |



Canada

Country Profiles

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 36.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,210.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,529.2 | Unemployment rate % | 7.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 170,959.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.7 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 30.6 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 9.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |


7.5

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 7.1 20% 10th Innovation Human 7.9 20% 4th Capital **Global Trade** 7.5 20% 6th & Investment Institutional 8.5 20% 10th Ш Framework Sustainable 7.7 5% 16th Resources Demand 6.4 12th 15% iii. Environment **Structure of Production** 5.8 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 6.5 34th Scale 4.8 40% 34th

Archetype



Leading



Leading

Canada

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|--|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 34 | 0.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 34 | 4.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 80 | 9.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 14 | 170,959.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 10 | 7.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 15 | 7.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 90 | 84.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 28 | 97.4 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 11 | 89.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 24 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 10 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 8 | 6.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 21 | 4.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 26 | 1.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 16 | 37.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 14 | 95.73 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 5 | 116,857.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 14 | 71.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 73 | 9.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 16 | 43.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 13 | 0.98 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 5 | 13.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 10 | 30.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 10 | 26.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 23 | 16.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 51 | 17.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 16 15 | 4.7 5.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 00 Value |
|--|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 6 | 7.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 55 | 6.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 60 | 64.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 38 | 0.03 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 14 | 3.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 13 | 14,224.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 8 | 51,146.8 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 2 | 218.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 25 | 7.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 18 | 65.6 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 42 | 86.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 16 | 77.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 9 | 82.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 8 | 1.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 41 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 49 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US $\$ billions) | 32 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 37 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals, $\%$ of annual available blue water}$ | 34 | 1.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 27 | 89.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 16 | 71.4 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 21 | 5.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.4 |

Chile


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 18.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 13,576.0 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 247.0 | Unemployment rate % | 6.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 26,603.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 13.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 5.6 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 50th | 4.4 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 46th | 5.3 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 31st | 6.0 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 24th | 6.9 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 31st | 6.9 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 48th | 4.9 | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.2 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 67th | 4.5 | | |
| Scale | 40% | 60th | 3.8 | | |

Archetype



Nascent



Chile

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 67 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 60 | 3.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 76 | 9.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 48 | 26,603.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 50 | 4.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 54 | 6.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 43 | 127.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 56 | 79.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 53 | 66.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 33 | 5.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 26 | 5.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 74 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 42 | 2.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 82 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 68 | 0.4 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 36 | 18.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 44 | 2.93 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 31 | 10,963.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 23 | 43.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 45 | 6.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 60 | 11.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 46 | 25.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 60 | 0.68 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 49 | 10.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 46 | 4.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 44 | 1.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 18 | 11.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 21 | 16.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 65 | 19.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.1 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Valu |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 67 | 5.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 73 | 56. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 41 | 0.03 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 41 | 3.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 18 | 5.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 24 | 8,822.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 17 | 19,105. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 20 | 112. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 37 | 7.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 51 | 48. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 29 | 92.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 24 | 6.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 24 | 6.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 36 | 72.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 22 | 66. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 23 | 1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 45 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 44 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 23 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 31 | 0.0 |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, $\%$ of annual available blue water | 68 | 3.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 15 | 94.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 48 | 4.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 44 | 55. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 56 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 29 | 3.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.2 |

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

China


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| 1,382.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 8,113.3 |
|-------------|--|--|
| 11,218.3 | Unemployment rate % | 4.0 |
| | | |
| 2,999,885.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 41.4 |
| 32.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.1 |
| 6.5 | | |
| | 11,218.3 2,999,885.2 32.1 | 11,218.3 Unemployment rate % 2,999,885.2 Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added 32.1 CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 6.1 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 5.7 20% 25th Innovation Human 5.6 20% 40th Capital **Global Trade** 7.2 20% 9th & Investment Institutional 4.9 20% 61st Ш Framework Sustainable 5.5 5% 66th Resources Demand 7.9 15% 2nd iii. Environment **Structure of Production** 8.2 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 7.1 Complexity 60% 27th Scale 10.0 40% 1st

Archetype



Leading



Leading

China

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 27 | 7.1 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 27 | 0.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 1 | 32.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 1 2,99 | 9,885.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 25 | 5.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 43 | 6.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 82 | 96.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 30 | 97.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 70 | 53.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 35 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 20 | 5.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 8 | 4.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 18 | 2.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Number}\xspace$ per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 46 | 14.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 28 | 10.77 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 3 21 | 2,728.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 47 | 19.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 40 | 5.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 48 | 6.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 69 | 10.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | I | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 55 | 0.74 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 79 | 7.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 55 | -1.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 6 | 39.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 58 | 14.0 |
| | 40 | 16.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 20 | |
| | 20 21 36 | 4.4 4.5 4.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Valu |
|--|---------|----------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 9 | 7.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3. |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 90 | 37. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 90 | 0.1 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 27 | 3. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 1 | 73,665. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 2 | 128,560. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 9 | 156. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 16 | 8. |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 17 | 68. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 17 | 94. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 73 | 63. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 58 | 40. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 67 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 66 | 5. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 66 | 5.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 68 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 91 | 1. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 41 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 45 | 0. |
| $6.05~\mbox{Baseline}$ water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 60 | 2. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 51 | 78. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 2 | 7. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 1 | 100. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 15 | 5.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4. |

WØRLD ECØNOMI FØRUM

Colombia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 48.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,792.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 282.4 | Unemployment rate % | 9.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 39,313.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 20.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.8 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Produ | 4.5 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 60th | 4.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 65th | 4.6 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 80th | 3.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 67th | 4.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 20th | 7.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 46th | 4.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.6 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 59th | 4.9 |
| | | | |

40%

51st

4.1

Archetype



Nascent

Scale

Colombia

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 59 | 0.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 51 | 4.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 68 | 10.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 36 | 39,313.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 56 | 117.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 36 | 92.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 64 | 58.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 62 | 4.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 70 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 47 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 83 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 83 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 71 | 6.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 62 | 0.66 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 41 | 4,176.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 57 | 13.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 60 | 5.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 50 | 11.8 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 73 | 16.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 51 | 0.75 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 73 | 8.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 68 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 65 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 68 | -2.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 20 | 10.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 55 | 14.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 75 | 23.8 |
| | 44 | 3.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 67 70 | 3.0 3.9 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|----------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 94 | 34.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 70 | 0.06 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 79 | 4.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 82 | 2.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 45 | 2.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 37 | 4,775.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 21 | 14,654.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 65 | 47. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 78 | 34.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 54 | 81.2 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 18 | 77. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 66 | 37.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 72 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 51 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 25 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 59 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 58 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 14 | 0.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 61 | 71.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 46 | 4.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 35 | 5.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 35 | 59. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 72 | 3.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3. |



Costa Rica


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 4.9 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 1,834.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 58.1 | Unemployment rate % | 9.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 7,308.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 15.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 23.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 4.9 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 66th | 3.9 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 35th | 5.7 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 76th | 4.2 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 43rd | 5.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 26th | 6.9 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 64th | 4.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 47th | 5.6 |
| Scale | 40% | 53rd | 4.0 |

Archetype



Costa Rica

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 47 | 0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 53 | 4.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 33 | 15.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 65 | 7,308.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 66 | 3.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 62 | 5.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 9 | 159.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 69 | 64.1 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 52 | 66.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 36 | 5.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 32 | 5.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 78 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 74 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 57 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 63 | 7.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 59 | 0.80 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 78 | 265.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 82 | 4.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 35 | 5.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 62 | 10.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 58 | 21.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 65 | 0.63 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 69 | 8.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 24 | 5.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 29 | 5.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 24 | 13.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 42 | 15.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 25 | 12.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.9 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 62 | 63.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 45 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 75 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 64 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 81 | 787.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 53 | 2,741.8 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 50 | 59.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 76 | 5.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 89 | 29. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 53 | 82. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) | 62 | 67. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 31 | 58. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 41 | 0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 16 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 12 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 38 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 41 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 52 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 74 | 56. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 79 | 35. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 34 | 5.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.2 |



96 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Croatia


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 4.2 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 2,095.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 50.4 | Unemployment rate % | 15.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 7,004.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 29.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 4.9 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 52nd | 4.2 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 58th | 4.9 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 46th | 5.5 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 47th | 5.3 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 11th | 8.2 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 84th | 3.5 | | |
| Structure of Production 5.5 | | | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 60% 28th | | | |
| Scale | 40% | 72nd | 3.3 | | |

Archetype







WORLL ECONON FORUN

Croatia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 28 | 7.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 28 | 0.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 72 | 3.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 56 | 11.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 68 | 7,004.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 52 | 4.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 48 | 6.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 78 | 104.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 32 | 96.9 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 42 | 72.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 76 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 62 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 42 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 56 | 2.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 44 | 0.8 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 18 | 35.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 39 | 4.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 71 | 488.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 69 | 9.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 16 | 16.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 30 | 35.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 26 | 0.92 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 36 | 11.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 87 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 75 | -4.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 97 | 1.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 43 | 15.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 32 | 13.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 70 87 | 3.0 |
| | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 16 | 8.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 35 | 99.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 5 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 47 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 65 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 80 | 793.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 67 | 1,602.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 49 | 61.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 35 | 54.6 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 68 | 77.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 83 | 60.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 40 | 49.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 43 | 0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 47 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 41 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 31 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 44 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 3 | 0.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 40 | 83.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 73 | 3.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 73 | 38.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.1 |

98 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Country Profiles

Cyprus


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 0.8 | GDP per capita US\$ 2 | 3,351.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 19.8 | Unemployment rate % | 13.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 969.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 4.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 22.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 7.8 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 5.7 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 33rd | 5.0 | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 24th | 6.4 | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 24th | 6.4 | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 35th | 6.3 | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 74th | 5.3 | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 79th | 3.8 | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.1 | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | |
| Complexity | 60% | 36th | 6.3 | |
| Scale | 40% | 99th | 0.8 | |

Archetype







WORLE ECONON FORUM

Cyprus

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 36 | 0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 99 | 0.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 99 | 4.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 96 | 969.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 33 | 5.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 56 | 6.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 30 | 134.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 62 | 73.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 37 | 75.9 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 71 | 4.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 60 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 27 | 4.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 64 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Numberper}$ Billion PPP\$ GDP | 14 | 41.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 37 | 5.59 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 51 | 2,628.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 7 | 125.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 24 | 6.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 30 | 7.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 82 | 7.8 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 32 | 35.2 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 40 | 0.85 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 28 | 11.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 25 | 5.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 22 | 5.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 11 | 30.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.0 |
| | 53 | 14.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | | 11.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 19 | |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | 4.0 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 24 | 6.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 19 | 8.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 16 | 124.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 7 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 60 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 35 | 3.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 99 | 175.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 81 | 749.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 1 | 227.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 33 | 7.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 52 | 48.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 7 | 97.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 38 | 72. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 35 | 55. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 33 | 0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 74 | 5.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 74 | 5.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 80 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 38 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 16 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 15 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 94 | 5. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 57 | 73. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 96 | 25. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4. |

Czech Republic

| Readiness for | or the Future of | of Production | Assessment 2018 edition |
|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|
|---------------|------------------|---------------|-------------------------|


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|---------------------|----------|
| Population millions | 10.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 18,286.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 193.0 | Unemployment rate % | 4.0 |
| | | | |

Key production indicators


Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$

56,342.3

Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype



Leading

Leading

Czech Republic

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 5 | 1.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 8 | 6.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 4 | 24.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 30 | 56,342.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 28 | 7.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 59 | 115.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 8 | 99.7 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 33 | 76.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 28 | 5.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 29 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 33 | 5.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 38 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 36 | 3.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 66 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 20 | 34.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 24 | 23.32 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 39 | 5,412.7 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 32 | 27.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 22 | 6.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 25 | 7.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 1 | 27.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 27 | 37.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 39 | 0.86 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 7 | 12.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 25 | 5.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 15 | 19.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.8 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 17 | 16.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 61 | 18.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.4 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 24 | 5.1 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 10 | 153.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 6 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 21 | 3.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 57 | 1.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 45 | 3,365.5 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 39 | 5,018.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 60 | 51.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 20 | 8.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 21 | 63.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 10 | 96.5 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.7 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 21 | 76.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 35 | 55.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 24 | 1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 40 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 67 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 28 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 34 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 32 | 1.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 28 | 89.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.0 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 39 | 5.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 39 | 56.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 54 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.3 |
| | | |

Denmark


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 5.7 | GDP per capita US\$ 5 | 3,744.0 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 306.7 | Unemployment rate % | 6.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 39,348.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 53.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 7.2 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6.9 20% 12th Innovation Human 7.3 20% 12th Capital **Global Trade** 6.8 20% 18th & Investment Institutional 8.8 20% 4th Ш Framework Sustainable 8.4 5% 8th Resources Demand 5.4 34th 15% iii. Environment **Structure of Production** 6.3 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 7.6 Complexity 60% 19th Scale 4.3 40% 46th

Archetype







Leading

Denmark

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 19 | 1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 46 | 4.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 57 | 11.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 35 | 39,348.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 48 | 122.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 1 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 3 | 97.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.7 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 21 | 5.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 37 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 9 | 6.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 30 | 3.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 6 | 3.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 1 | 66.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 8 | 166.16 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 28 | 15,700.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 21 | 49.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 12 | 7.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 49 | 11.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 10 | 45.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 17 | 0.96 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 17 | 12.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 13 | 6.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 25 | 13.2 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 6 | 19.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 8 | 10.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.1 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 18 | 6.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 36 | 99.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 27 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 16 | 3.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 32 | 3.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 71 | 1,229.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 59 | 1,906.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 8 | 170.5 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 18 | 8.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 16 | 68.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 23 | 92.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 4 | 88.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 1 | 90.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 6 | 1.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 34 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 4 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 12 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 18 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 27 | 1.(|
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 12 | 95.7 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 34 | 5.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 53 | 50.9 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 19 | 5.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.1 |



Dominican Republic

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Key economic indicators

| Population millions | 10.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 7,159.5 |
|---|----------|---|---------|
| GDP US\$ billions | 72.2 | Unemployment rate % | 5.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 10,199.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 2.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 7.8 | | |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 4.0 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 76th | 3.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 76th | 4.3 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 82nd | 3.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 81st | 4.2 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 59th | 6.0 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 82nd | 3.7 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 77th | 4.0 |

40%

55th

3.9

Archetype



Scale

Dominican Republic

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 77 | -0.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 55 | 3.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 41 | 14.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 58 | 10,199.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 76 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 93 | 80.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 49 | 86.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 56 | 61.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 69 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 95 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 52 | 2.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 96 | 2.8 |
| (best) | 94 | 2.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.1 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 8 | 3.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 98 | 0.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 76 | 0.16 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 87 | 109.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 94 | 1.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 63 | 5.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 71 | 9.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 70 | 17.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 38 | 0.86 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 77 | 7.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 92 | -13.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 60 | 13.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 57 | 18.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 75 | 54.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 69 | 0.06 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 73 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 79 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 86 | 0.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 68 | 1,298.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 55 | 2,393.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 86 | 28.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 59 | 6.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 60 | 45.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 63 | 79.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 77 | 61.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 82 | 31.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 86 | 2.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 69 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 59 | 6.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 59 | 6.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 65 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 50 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 47 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 56 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 77 | 3.5 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 45 | 80.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.7 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 65 | 43.1 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.6 |



106 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Ecuador

Country Profiles

| Readiness for | the Future | of Production | Assessment 2018 edition |
|---------------|------------|---------------|-------------------------|
| neaumess ior | | | Assessment 2010 Eulion |

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 16.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,929.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 98.0 | Unemployment rate % | 5.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 9,955.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -2.9 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 10.3 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.6 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.5 |


3.7

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| | | | • |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | k 20% | 71st | 3.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 83rd | 4.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 91st | 3.2 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 92nd | 3.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 85th | 4.7 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 78th | 3.8 |
| Structure of P | roduction | | 2.9 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 95th | 2.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 66th | 3.5 |

Archetype





Ecuador

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 95 | -1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 66 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 55 | 11.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 59 | 9,955.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 71 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 89 | 84.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 74 | 51.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 66 | 54.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 79 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 79 | 4.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 65 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 65 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 85 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 22 | 1.9 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 71 | 6.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 81 | 0.09 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 68 | 539.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 76 | 5.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 79 | 5.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 66 | 10.6 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 76 | 14.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 76 | 0.48 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 66 | 8.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 61 | -1.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 44 | 15.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 78 | 24.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.4 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.8 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 86 | 39.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 88 | 0.11 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 68 | 2.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 93 | 0.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 83 | 774.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 80 | 774.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 85 | 29.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 64 | 6.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 58 | 45.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 70 | 76.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 95 | 56.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 82 | 31.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 88 | -0.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 67 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 62 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 46 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 55 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 49 | 1.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 64 | 43.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.0 |
| | | |

WORLD ECONOMI FORUM

Egypt

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 90.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,684.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 332.3 | Unemployment rate % | 12.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 41,659.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 14.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |


4.5

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.2 20% 53rd Innovation Human 3.9 20% 85th Capital **Global Trade** 4.2 75th 20% & Investment Institutional 4.3 20% 78th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.4 5% 48th Resources Demand 5.4 15% 36th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 5.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 4.9 60% 61st Scale 5.1 40% 29th

Archetype







WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Egypt

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 61 | 0.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 29 | 5.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 28 | 16.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 34 | 41,659.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 53 | 4.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 42 | 6.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 64 | 113.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | | |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 80 | 39.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 84 | 4.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 16 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 72 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 74 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 49 | 0.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 57 | 9.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 74 | 0.17 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 46 | 3,248.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 66 | 10.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 78 | 5.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 54 | 11.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 34 | 33.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 95 | 0.20 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 82 | 7.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 66 | -2.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 81 | 2.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 45 | 5.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.8 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 71 | 13.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 72 | 23.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 83 | 2.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 100 | 3.1 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 95 | 30.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 98 | 0.15 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 70 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 45 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 30 | 3.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 9 | 17,738.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 37 | 5,978.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 79 | 34.1 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 54 | 6.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 53 | 48.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 56 | 81.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 74 | 62.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 75 | 34.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 79 | -0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 48 | 6.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 48 | 6.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 84 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 79 | 0.7 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 61 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 57 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 39 | 1.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 50 | 78.5 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 36 | 5.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 23 | 6.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 23 | 65.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.9 |

El Salvador

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 6.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 4,343.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 26.7 | Unemployment rate % | 7.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 4,706.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 19.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 19.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.6 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Production 3.5 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 98th | 2.6 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 93rd | 3.4 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 77th | 4.0 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 90th | 3.6 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 44th | 6.5 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 97th | 3.1 | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.8 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 57th | 5.1 | | |

40%

45th

4.4

Archetype



Scale

El Salvador

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 57 | 5.1 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 57 | 0.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 45 | 4.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13 | 19.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 73 | 4,706.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 25 | 140.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 89 | 15.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 87 | 29.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 91 | 4.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 92 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 96 | 1.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 97 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 96 | 1.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 84 | 0.05 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 67 | 548.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 43 | 21.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 88 | 4.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 27 | 14.6 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 79 | 12.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 69 | 0.58 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 87 | 6.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 100 | -36.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 73 | 12.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 84 | 29.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.4 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 61 | 64.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 56 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 72 | 2.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 80 | 1.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 89 | 505.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 95 | 354.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 68 | 45.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 76 | 35.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 66 | 77.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 70 | 63.7 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 69 | 36.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 89 | -0.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 44 | 6.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 44 | 6.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 15 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 36 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 54 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 60 | 0.1 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 43 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 83 | 42.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.1 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 87 | 31.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.9 |
| | | |



Population millions

GDP US\$ billions

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| - | • •• | |
|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| Roadinoee | ()vorall | Assessment |
| neauness | Overail | ASSESSINCIL |

Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$

Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP

Manufacturing employment % working population


Key economic indicators

Key production indicators



1.3GDP per capita US\$17,632.723.1Unemployment rate %6.83,435.8Manufacturing value added growth Annual %2.514.5Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added28.818.8CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD0.2

Archetype







Leading

Estonia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 23 | 1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 70 | 3.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 38 | 14.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 77 | 3,435.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 24 | 5.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 17 | 148.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 18 | 87.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 5 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 31 | 3.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.1 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 34 | 1.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 9 | 47.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 26 | 21.08 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 77 | 292.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 61 | 12.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 20 | 6.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 8 | 18.8 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 15 | 44.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 7 | 1.00 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 14 | 12.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 35 | 4.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 46 | 0.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 22 | 16.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 14 | 11.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.5 |
| | 13 | 4.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.9 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 35 | 5.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 9 | 155.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 20 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 35 | 3.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 58 | 1.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 86 | 547.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 82 | 739.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 40 | 71.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 36 | 7.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 48 | 49.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 32 | 91.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 33 | 73.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 20 | 70.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 20 | 1.2 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 64 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 81 | 0.7 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 39 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 71 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \mbox{blue water}$ blue water | 57 | 2.8 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 24 | 90.9 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 74 | 3.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 88 | 30.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 37 | 4.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.1 |
| | | |



Ethiopia


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Population millions | 91.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 795.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 72.5 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,084.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 7.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 4.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 16.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.8 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.3 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 2.6 20% 99th Innovation Human 20% 95th 3.4 Capital Global Trade 2.0 20% 100th & Investment Institutional 4.3 20% 79th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.4 5% 93rd Resources Demand 4.2 69th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 2.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 2.5 60% 94th Scale 1.3 40% 95th

Archetype



Nascent



Ethiopia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 94 | -1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 95 | 1.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 98 | 4.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 89 | 2,084.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 100 | 3.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 100 | 50.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 93 | 10.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 99 | 15.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 100 | 3.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 99 | 3.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 89 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 59 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 28 | 1.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 64 | 7.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 93 | 0.01 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 83 | 233.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 86 | 3.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 93 | 4.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 32 | 14.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 89 | 3.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 71 | 0.57 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 100 | 2.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 90 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 50 | -0.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 98 | 8.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 99 | 55.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.4 |
| | 82 | 3.7 |

| Trade 0-10 (best) 95 2. 4.01 Trade % GDP 92 35. 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 95 0.1 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 95 3. 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 92 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 100 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 91 57. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 75 34. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 77 -0. CD Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level C02 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 | ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|--|-----------|---------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 92 35. 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 95 0.1 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 95 3. 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 92 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.06 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. d Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 71 3. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.03 CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ | S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 95 0.1 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 95 3. 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 92 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 46 3.324. 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 61 1.811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 93 27. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 24. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 79 4. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 96 0. 6.03 CH4 inte | Trade 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.6 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 95 3. 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 92 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 46 3,324. 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 79 4. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 77 -0. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. 5.05 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. billions) | 4.01 Trade % GDP | 92 | 35.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 - 5 (best) 92 2. Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 46 3,324. 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 79 4. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 99 1. 6.03 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 2 | 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 95 | 0.13 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 90 0. 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 46 3,324. 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. M Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 97 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 75 34. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level C02 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 90 0. < | 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 46 3,324. 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 75 34. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO4 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 96 0. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water str | 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 92 | 2.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows USS millions 61 1,811. 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 100 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 97 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 0. 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastew | Investment 0-10 (best) | 90 | 0.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 100 10. Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 69 4. Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 62 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) <td>4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions</td> <td>46</td> <td>3,324.6</td> | 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 46 | 3,324.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 98 2. 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. billions) 92 0. 0. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. billions) 92 0. 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 20 </td <td>4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions</td> <td>61</td> <td>1,811.2</td> | 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 61 | 1,811.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 93 27. 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. 〇 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. billions) 26 0. 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. billions) 92 0. 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 100 | 10.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 97 27. m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 79 4. Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0. M Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 62 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 4. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.7 |
| Image: Construction of the set o | 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 93 | 27. |
| Government 0-10 (best) 79 4. 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. billions) 26 0. 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 69 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. <td>4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best)</td> <td>97</td> <td>27.2</td> | 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 97 | 27.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 91 57. 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. billions) 92 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 10 (best) 69 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.3 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 75 34. 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 69 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Government 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.3 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 52 3. 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 10 (best) 69 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 62 44. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 91 | 57. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 77 -0. C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 4 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 69 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 75 | 34. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 93 4. Sustainability 0-10 (best) 93 4. 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. billions) 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 92 0. Consumer Base 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best)934.6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use10.6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$260.billions)260.6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$991.6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$960.billions)6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available210.6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920. 4 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)694.7.01 Market size 0-100 (best)6244.Consumer Base 0-10 (best)713.7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)743. | 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 77 | -0.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 1 0. 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) 69 4. Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 93 | 4.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 26 0. 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 99 1. 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 96 0. 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. 6 .06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 10 (best) 69 4. Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 93 | 4.4 |
| billions)260.6.03 CH4 intensity levelCH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$991.6.04 N2O intensity levelN2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$960.6.05 Baseline water stressAnnual withdrawals, % of annual available210.6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920. Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)694.7.01 Market size 0-100 (best)6244.Consumer Base 0-10 (best)713.7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)743. | 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 1 | 0. |
| billions)991.6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$960.6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water210.6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920. Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)694.Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)6244.7.01 Market size 0-100 (best)6244.Consumer Base 0-10 (best)713.7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)743. | | 26 | 0.3 |
| billions)900.6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water210.6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)920. Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)694.Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)624.7.01 Market size 0-100 (best)6244.Consumer Base 0-10 (best)713.7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)743. | | 99 | 1.3 |
| blue water 21 0. 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 92 0. Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 69 4. Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 62 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | | 96 | 0. |
| Image: Construction of the second s | | 21 | 0. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 62 4. 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 62 44. Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 71 3. 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 74 3. | 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 62 | 44. |
| | Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 71 | 3.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best)693. | 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3. |
| | 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3. |



Finland

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 5.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 13,169.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 236.9 | Unemployment rate % | 8.8 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 37,661.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 15.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 44.5 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |


7.2

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 7.4 20% 5th Innovation Human 7.3 20% 11th Capital **Global Trade** 6.1 20% 29th & Investment Institutional 8.9 20% 3rd Ш Framework Sustainable 8.5 5% 6th Resources Demand 5.3 37th 15% iii. Environment **Structure of Production** 7.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 8.4 Complexity 60% 10th Scale 4.9 40% 33rd

Archetype



Leading



Leading

ECONOA FORUA

Finland

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|--|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 10 | 1.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 33 | 4.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 35 | 15.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 38 | 37,661.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 31 | 134.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 7 | 99.9 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 16 | 87.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 2 | 6.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 18 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 5 | 6.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 5 | 3.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 4 | 55.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 5 | 242.78 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 26 | 18,310.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 13 | 74.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 11 | 7.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 33 | 13.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 8 | 46.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 5 | 1.04 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 36 | 11.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 17 | 5.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 32 | 9.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 20 | 10.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 2 | 6.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 5 | 19.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 29 | 13.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 12 | 4.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 43 | 7.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 57 | 71.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 9 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.7 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 13 | 3.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 38 | 3.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 50 | 2,676.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 38 | 5,923.8 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 29 | 94.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 28 | 59.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 8 | 97.6 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 3 | 8.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 3 | 8.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 24 | 76.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 3 | 89.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 3 | 2.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 14 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 16 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 9 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 38 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ $ | 28 | 1.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 17 | 93.5 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 37 | 5.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 58 | 48.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 18 | 5.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 10 | 4.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.3 |

France


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 64.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 38,127.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 2,463.2 | Unemployment rate % | 10.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 283,278.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 49.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 6.9 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 14th | 6.8 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 23rd | 6.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 14th | 6.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 21st | 7.3 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 10th | 8.2 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 10th | 6.5 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.9 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 15th | 8.0 |
| Scale | 40% | 28th | 5.2 |

Archetype







Leading

France

| ndex Component | Rank | /100 Value |
|---|------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 15 | 1.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 28 | 5.2 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 75 | 10.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 8 | 283,278.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 14 | 6.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 80 | 103.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 39 | 90.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 20 | 85.6 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 26 | 5.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 27 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 8 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 14 | 5.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 32 | 3.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 13 | 2.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 29 | 25.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 12 | 115.84 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 6 | 108,406.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 25 | 42.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 23 | 6.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 46 | 12.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 12 | 44.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 8 | 0.99 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 33 | 11.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 29 | 9.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 4 | 44.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 24 | 16.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 56 | 18.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 22 | |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 00 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 14 | 6.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 59 | 6.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 67 | 60.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 18 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 67 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 15 | 3.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 13 | 5.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 15 | 10,922.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 14 | 29,259.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 27 | 97.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 5 | 84.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 26 | 92.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 60 | 67.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 21 | 69.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 17 | 1.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 11 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 5 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 15 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 24 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 48 | 1.8 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 20 | 92.4 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 10 | 6.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 8 | 77. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 26 | 5.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |



120 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Scale

Georgia

Population millions

GDP US\$ billions

Key economic indicators

Key production indicators

. .

Country Profiles

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

. .

2.4

| Manufacturing angeloument | a. 11 1.1 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Manufacturing employment | % working population |

Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP

Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$

| Readiness | Overall | Assessment |
|-----------|---------|------------|
| | | |


. .


| Drivers of Proc | 4.9 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology 8 Innovation | 20% | 32nd | 5.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 71st | 4.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 47th | 5.5 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 41st | 5.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 92nd | 4.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 88th | 3.5 |
| Structure of Pr | oduction | | 3.6 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 71st | 4.4 |

40%

85th

Archetype





Nascent



Georgia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 71 | -0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 85 | 2.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 61 | 11.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 92 | 1,761.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 32 | 5.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 38 | 129.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 37 | 92.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 74 | 50.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 78 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 90 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 87 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 8 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 33 | 3.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 84 57 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 23 | 1.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 40 | 16.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 65 | 0.50 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 61 | 978.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 15 | 65.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 65 | 5.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 92 | 4.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 57 | 22.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 41 | 0.84 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 13 | 12.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 69 | 3.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 99 | -33.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 76 | 2.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 79 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 79 98 | 3.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 35 | 15.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 35 | 9.0 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 82 | 2.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 99 | 3.3 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 22 | 8.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 30 | 102.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 4 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 93 | 2.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 66 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 82 | 774.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 70 | 1,324.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 48 | 61.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 45 | 6.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 70 | 40.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 18 | 93.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 11 | 80.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 33 | 57.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 45 | 0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 92 | 4.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 92 | 4.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 42 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 69 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 79 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 80 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 45 | 1.5 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 92 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 91 | 2.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 91 | 28.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.7 |



Population millions

Germany

Key economic indicators

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| • | | |
|---|-----------|--|
| GDP US\$ billions | 3,466.6 | Unemployment rate % |
| Key production indicators | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 774,149.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 20.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 19.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD |
| | | |

7.6


Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 7.2 20% 8th Innovation Human 7.5 20% 7th Capital Global Trade 7.3 20% 8th & Investment Institutional 8.2 20% 14th Ш Framework Sustainable 7.8 13th 5% Resources Demand 7.5 4th 15% Environment **Structure of Production** 8.7 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 9.4 Complexity 60% 3rd Scale 7.6 40% 4th

Archetype

82.7 GDP per capita US\$



Leading



41,902.3

4.2

1.7

61.4 0.1

Leading

Germany

| ndex Component | Rank / | '100 Value |
|--|--------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 3 | 9.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 3 | 2.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10 | 20.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 4 | 774,149.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 63 | 114.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 34 | 95.7 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 12 | 89.6 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.7 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 27 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 6 | 6.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 6 | 4.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 6 | 4.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 20 | 1.9 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 28 | 25.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 4 | 257.50 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 4 | 148,885.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 26 | 41.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 7 | 7.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 6 | 19.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 14 | 44.2 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 25 | 0.92 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 1 | 14.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 37 | 6.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 3 | 47.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 14 | 17.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 23 | 12.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.1 |
| | | 5.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.5 |

| index Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|--|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 26 | 8.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 45 | 84.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 11 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 2 | 4.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 22 | 4.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 14 | 12,411.5 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 18 | 16,395.8 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 36 | 77.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 4 | 85.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 5 | 98.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 43 | 71.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 10 | 81.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 15 | 1.6 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 13 | 7.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 13 | 7.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 54 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 22 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 7 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 17 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 51 | 1.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 4 | 98.6 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 5 | 82.1 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 4 | 6.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 7 | 4.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.5 |



Ghana


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 27.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,569.0 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 43.3 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,524.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.7 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 0.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 4.1 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.3 20% 82nd Innovation Human 4.1 20% 81st Capital **Global Trade** 3.5 20% 86th & Investment Institutional 5.0 20% 50th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.7 5% 40th Resources Demand 4.1 15% 72nd iii Environment **Structure of Production** 2.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 2.2 Complexity 60% 98th Scale 1.6 40% 94th

Archetype



Nascent



WORLL ECONON FORUM

Ghana

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.2 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 98 | -1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 94 | 1.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 96 | 5.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 85 | 2,524.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.3 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 28 | 139.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 85 | 34.9 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 81 | 34.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 63 | 4.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 82 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 69 | 4.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 79 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 76 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 27 | 3.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 72 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | | - |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 90 | 0.02 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 88 | 106.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 87 | 2.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 65 | 10.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 81 | 0.42 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 84 | 6.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 63 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 58 | 3.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 72 | -3.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.8 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 87 | 11.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 86 | 31.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.3 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.5 |
| | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 44 | 88.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 86 | 0.11 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 77 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 88 | 0.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 55 | 2,164.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 50 | 3,310.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 92 | 19.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 86 | 30.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 94 | 41. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 50 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 50 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 84 | 60.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 51 | 43.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 56 | 0.0 |
| O Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 18 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 56 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 75 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 82 | 0.1 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 9 | 0.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 82 | 43.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.1 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 69 | 41. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.(|

Greece


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 10.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 7,900.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 194.2 | Unemployment rate % | 23.6 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 16,749.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 20.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 9.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 5.0 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.1 20% 57th Innovation Human 5.3 20% 44th Capital **Global Trade** 5.4 20% 52nd & Investment Institutional 4.9 20% 59th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.6 5% 41st Resources Demand 4.6 54th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 4.4 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 5.4 51st Scale 3.0 40% 75th

Archetype







Greece

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| x Component | | 00 Value |
|--|----|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 51 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 88 | 6.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 53 | 16,749.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 63 | 5.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 66 | 112.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 35 | 93.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 48 | 69.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 81 | 4.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 63 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 50 | 2.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.1 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 42 | 0.8 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 21 | 33.4 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 32 | 7.77 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 45 | 3,390.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 51 | 16.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 35 | 7.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 75 | 9.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 41 | 29.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 46 | 0.81 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 43 | 10.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 55 | 3.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 39 | 4.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 11 | 17.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 4 | 9.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 85 | 2.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 79 | 2.8 |
| | 75 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 60 | 6.1 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 65 | 61.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 24 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 44 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 39 | 3.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 66 | 1,394.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 60 | 1,812.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 24 | 108.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 40 | 7.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 43 | 51.7 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 39 | 88.1 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 61 | 67.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 50 | 44.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.0 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 49 | 0.2 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 41 | 6.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 41 | 6.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 70 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 42 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 20 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 32 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 70 | 3.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 21 | 92.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 54 | 4.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 55 | 50.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.9 |
| | | |

WORLD ECONOMI FORUM

Guatemala


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 16.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 4,088.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 68.2 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 9,229.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 17.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 22.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.6 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 3.7 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 94th | 2.9 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 82nd | 4.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 87th | 3.5 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 98th | 3.2 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 27th | 6.9 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 63rd | 4.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 79th | 3.7 |
| Scale | 40% | 42nd | 4.5 |

Archetype



ECO

Guatemala

| dex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 79 | -0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 42 | 4.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 22 | 17.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 61 | 9,229.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 91 | 4.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 60 | 115.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 80 | 40.4 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 82 | 34.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 97 | 0.1 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 95 | 1.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 100 | 0.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 94 | 1.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 96 | 0.01 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 86 | 121.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 92 | 1.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 40 | 12.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 84 | 9.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 77 | 0.46 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 83 | 7.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 68 | 3.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 74 | -4.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 93 | 10.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 68 | 20.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.6 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 79 | 46.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 44 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 88 | 2.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 87 | 0.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 90 | 495.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 71 | 1,263.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 78 | 34.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 79 | 5.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 94 | 27.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 60 | 80.1 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 98 | 3.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 98 | 3.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 75 | 62.1 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 92 | 28.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 96 | -1.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 10 | 0.6 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 46 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 55 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 67 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 30 | 1.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 80 | 46.5 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 70 | 40.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 47 | 4.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.8 |

| К | N | |
|---|---|--|
| ĸ | м | |
| | | |


130 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

| Honduras |
|--|
| Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition |
| Key economic indicators |

| Population millions | 8.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,608.6 |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| GDP US\$ billions | 21.4 | Unemployment rate % | 6.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 3,161.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 7.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.8 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


Drivers of Production



Most future-ready 10 High-Potential Leading C Drivers of Production Honduras 3.4, 3.6 00 Least future-ready Nascent Legacy 0 0 Structure of Production 10 Large / complex

Archetype

Small / basic







Honduras

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| | Rank /100 | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.3 |
| .01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 85 | -0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 62 | 3.6 |
| .02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 31 | 16.2 |
| .03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 80 | 3,161.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 85 | 91.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 71 | 57.7 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 85 | 30.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 63 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 99 | 0.0 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 92 | 1.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 84 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 46 | 0.8 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 95 | 1.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 92 | 0.01 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 99 | 4.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 100 | 0.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 26 | 14.8 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 80 | 12.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 78 | 0.46 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 90 | 6.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 86 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 79 | -6.2 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 89 | 11.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 83 | 29.1 |
| 2.14 Critical thinking in toophing 4.7 (head) | 81 | 3.0 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 93 66 | 2.5 4.0 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 74 | 4.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 35 | 7.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 31 | 100.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 52 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 78 | 4.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 87 | 2.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 75 | 1.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 85 | 556.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 76 | 1,093.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 54 | 56.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 91 | 4.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 77 | 34.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 92 | 44.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 99 | 3.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 99 | 3.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 98 | 55. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 84 | 30.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 100 | -1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 19 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 68 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 84 | 0.4 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 84 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 6 | 0.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 66 | 65.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 89 | 29.9 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 86 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.3 |

WØRLD ECONOMI FORUM

Hong Kong SAR

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

High Potential

| 7.4 | GDP per capita US\$ 4 | 3,528.0 |
|---------|--|--|
| 320.7 | Unemployment rate % | 2.7 |
| | | |
| 3,434.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -6.6 |
| 1.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 36.1 |
| 3.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 2.1 |
| | 320.7 3,434.2 1.3 | 320.7 Unemployment rate % 3,434.2 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % 1.3 Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 7.5 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 9th | 7.1 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 16th | 6.9 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 2nd | 8.4 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 11th | 8.4 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 43rd | 6.5 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 16th | 6.4 | | |
| Structure of Production 4.5 | | | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| | | | | | |


| е | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Complexity | 60% | 31st | 6.8 |

40%

97th

1.1

Archetype





Scale

High Potential

Hong Kong SAR

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|--|----------|-------------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.8 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 31 | 0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 97 | 1.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 100 | 1.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 78 | 3,434.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 9 | 7.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 1 | 234.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 17 | 87.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 25 | 5.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products $% \left(1-7\right) =0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$ | 23 | 5.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | | - |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 10 | 6.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 10 | 4.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 11 | 2.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | | |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 23 | 43.83 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 16 | 43,097.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 6 | 140.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 16 | 6.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 34 | 7.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 98 | 3.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 23 | 38.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 10 | 0.98 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 25 | 12.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 10 | 6.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 13 | 20.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 35 | 7.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.1 |
| | 33 | 15.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 01 | 13.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 31 | |
| | 33 | 3.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 33 10 | |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 33 | 3.8 4.9 5.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|----------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 1 | 372.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 1 | 0.00 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 7 | 4.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 33 | 6,055.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 3 1 | 08,126.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 3 | 203.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 1 | 88.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 65 | 78.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 3 | 89.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 13 | 77.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 12 | 1.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 43 | 6.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 43 | 6.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 91 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | | - |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | | |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | | |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | | |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | | |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 16 | 6.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 24 | 6.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 24 | 64.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 9 | 6.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.6 |



Hungary


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 9.8 | GDP per capita US\$ | 12,778.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 125.7 | Unemployment rate % | 5.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 27,484.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 58.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 21.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prode | 5.3 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 49th | 4.4 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 42nd | 5.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 44th | 5.6 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 44th | 5.7 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 12th | 8.0 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 59th | 4.5 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 7.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 14th | 8.0 |
| Scale | 40% | 26th | 5.3 |

Archetype



Legacy




Legacy

Hungary

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|---|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 14 | 1.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 26 | 5.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16 | 18.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 45 | 27,484.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 49 | 4.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 45 | 6.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 53 | 119.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 28 | 79.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 91 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 54 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 48 | 2.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 85 81 | 3.0 2.8 |
| (best) | | |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 29 | 1.4 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 29 | 25.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 27 | 15.76 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 62 | 943.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 72 | 7.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 4 | 21.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 33 | 34.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 32 | 0.89 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 21 | 12.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 49 | 4.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 35 | 7.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 86 | 2.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.9 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 39 | 15.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 10 | 11.0 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Valu |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5. |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 20 | 8. |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 7 | 174. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 19 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 75 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 33 | 3. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 76 | 1. |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 47 | 3,085 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 44 | 4,251 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 77 | 34. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 41 | 7. |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 25 | 61 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 64 | 78 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 31 | 73 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 42 | 48 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 39 | 0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 38 | 0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 43 | 0 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 29 | 0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 46 | 0 |
| $6.05~\mbox{Baseline}$ water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 19 | 0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 38 | 84 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 46 | 53. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3. |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3. |

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition



Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | uction | | 5.2 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 34th | 4.8 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 63rd | 4.7 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 55th | 5.2 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 54th | 5.0 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 96th | 4.0 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 5th | 7.4 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 48th | 5.6 |

40%

9th

6.6

Archetype



Legacy

Scale

Legacy

India

| ndex Component | Rank | |
|---|------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 48 | 0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 9 | 6.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 26 | 17.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 5 | 423,721.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 34 | 4.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 59 | 6.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 88 | 87.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 61 | 73.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 86 | 29.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 63 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 72 | 4.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 26 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 29 | 3.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 7 | 4.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 12 | 4.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 43 | 0.8 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 66 | 6.9 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 54 | 1.21 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 8 | 83,342.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 27 | 39.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 52 | 11.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 90 | 0.25 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 88 | 6.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 42 | 4.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 59 | -1.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 14 | 21.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 86 | 12.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 88 | 31.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.6 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 85 | 39.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 94 | 0.13 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 31 | 3.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 4 | 41,209.5 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 11 | 35,134.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 61 | 49.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 23 | 61.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 89 | 53.2 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 96 | 56.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 58 | 40.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 59 | -0.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 96 | 4.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 96 | 4.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 44 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 94 | 1.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 76 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 78 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 81 | 3.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 78 | 48.4 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 3 | 9.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 3 | 89. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 14 | 5.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.6 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.4 |



Indonesia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 258.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,604.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 932.4 | Unemployment rate % | 5.6 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 225,673.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 21.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 35.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | uction | | 4.9 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 61st | 4.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 55th | 5.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 61st | 5.1 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 69th | 4.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 94th | 4.1 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 15th | 6.4 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.4 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 73rd | 4.3 |

40%

6th

7.1

Archetype



Nascent

Scale

Indonesia

| ndex Component | Rank | '100 Value |
|---|------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 73 | -0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 6 | 7.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 7 | 21.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 11 | 225,673.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 15 | 149.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 82 | 37.9 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 93 | 25.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 35 | 5.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 69 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 44 | 2.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 10 | 4.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 96 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 97 | 0.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 83 | 0.08 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 22 | 23,809.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 34 | 26.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 33 | 13.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 83 | 9.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 73 | 0.54 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 75 | 7.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 40 | 4.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 69 | -2.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 23 | 9.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 75 | 12.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 43 | 16.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.1 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 61 | 5.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 89 | 37.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 60 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 65 | 4.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 55 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 20 | 4.9 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 6 | 22,814.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 19 | 15,597.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 73 | 39.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 56 | 6.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 50 | 49. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 67 | 77. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 94 | 57.3 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 66 | 37. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 75 | -0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 94 | 4.1 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 94 | 4.1 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 29 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 71 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 74 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 76 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 69 | 3.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 91 | 12. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 15 | 6.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 9 | 7.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 9 | 76.9 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 29 | 5.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 31 | 3.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.2 |



140 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Ireland

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 4.7 | GDP per capita US\$ 6 | 2,562.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 293.6 | Unemployment rate % | 7.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 63,103.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 19.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 61.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |


6.8

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6.6 20% 18th Innovation Human 7.0 20% 14th Capital **Global Trade** 6.8 20% 16th & Investment Institutional 7.9 20% 16th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.7 5% 36th Resources Demand 5.7 15% 30th iii. Environment **Structure of Production** 7.3 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 8.2 Complexity 60% 13th Scale 6.1 40% 14th

Archetype



Leading



Leading

Ireland

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.2 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 13 | 1.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 14 | 6.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11 | 20.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 29 | 63,103.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 18 | 6.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 79 | 103.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 39 | 90.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 22 | 82.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 29 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 15 | 5.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 27 | 1.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 33 | 23.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 18 | 63.60 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 15 | 46,995.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 3 | 169.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 14 | 7.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 54 | 11.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 22 | 40.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 11 | 0.98 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 20 | 12.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 22 | 5.1 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 19 | 5.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 27 | 10.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.8 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 3 | 19.7 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 38 | 16.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.1 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.3 |

| index Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 16 | 6.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 3 | 216.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 25 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 17 | 3.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 23 | 4.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 35 | 5,721.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 9 | 48,827.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 63 | 47.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 35 | 7.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 42 | 52.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 37 | 89.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 10 | 80.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 17 | 73.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 16 | 1.5 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 78 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 7 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 30 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 40 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}\ \mbox{Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water}$ | 59 | 2.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 37 | 85.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.7 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 42 | 56.1 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 20 | 5.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.7 |
| | | |



Israel


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 8.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 37,262.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 318.4 | Unemployment rate % | 4.8 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 33,962.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 12.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 42.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prode | 6.2 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 15th | 6.8 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 17th | 6.8 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 53rd | 5.3 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 23rd | 7.0 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 58th | 6.0 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 44th | 5.0 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.4 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 16th | 7.9 |
| Scale | 40% | 48th | 4.3 |

Archetype







Leading


Israel

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|--|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 16 | 1.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 48 | 4.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 53 | 12.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 41 | 33,962.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 15 | 6.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 33 | 131.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 64 | 70.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 27 | 79.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 23 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 13 | 5.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 9 | 4.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 14 | 2.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 10 | 45.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 9 | 164.44 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 29 | 14,182.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 22 | 45.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 17 | 6.8 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 51 | 11.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 5 | 48.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 18 | 0.95 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 6 | 13.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 32 | 4.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 85 | -9.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 35 | 7.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.5 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 31 | 16.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 22 | 12.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.4 |
| | 28 | 4.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 23 | 5.1 |


| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Valu |
|--|----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 64 | 5.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 71 | 57.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 40 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 25 | 3. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 53 | 2.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 69 | 1,233. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 26 | 10,309. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 44 | 65. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 19 | 8.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 26 | 60. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 1 | 100. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 35 | 73. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 24 | 64. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 27 | 1. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 58 | 6.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 58 | 6.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 83 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 27 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 18 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 6 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}\ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water$ | 90 | 4. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 9 | 96. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 54 | 50. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 35 | 4.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4. |




Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition



Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype



Leading

Leading

Italy

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| idex Component | Rank / | /100 Value |
|---|----------------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 18 | 1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 16 | 5.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 44 | 14.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 7 | 290,305.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 27 | 5.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 27 | 140.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 33 | 96.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 57 | 61.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 79 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 34 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 23 | 4.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 2 | 4.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Numberper}$ Billion PPP\$ GDP | 26 | 27.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 19 | 53.13 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 14 | 54,305.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 31 | 28.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 32 | 5.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 31 | 7.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 11 | 18.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 29 | 35.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 44 | 0.81 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 46 | 10.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 37 | 4.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 21 | 14.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 80 | 2.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 8 | 31.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 25 | 16.2 |
| | 20 | 12.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | 3.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 47 | |
| | 47 74 45 | 2.9 4.4 |

| Index Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|--|----------|----------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 61 | 6.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 72 | 56.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 23 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 20 | 3.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 29 | 3.9 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 36 | 4,776.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 16 | 19,364.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 32 | 85.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 12 | 72.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 35 | 90.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 52 | 69.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 45 | 47.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 48 | 0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 57 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 11 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 11 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 11 | 0.0 |
| 6.05 $\textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 73 | 3.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 19 | 92.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 8 | 6.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 12 | 7.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 12 | 74.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 16 | 5.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 32 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.1 |

WORLD



Japan


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 126.9 | GDP per capita US\$ 3 | 8,917.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 4,938.6 | Unemployment rate % | 3.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,075,547.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 55.3 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment



Archetype





Japan

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 1 | 2.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 17 | 18.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 3 1,07 | 5,547.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 16 | 6.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 37 | 129.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 7 | 92.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 23 | 5.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 25 | 5.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 12 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 19 | 5.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 3 | 3.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 44 | 15.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 1 | 439.04 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 13 5 | 5,758.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$//GDP | 63 | 11.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 29 | 7.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 16 | 16.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 47 | 24.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 49 | 0.78 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 14 | 12.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 34 | 4.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 43 | 2.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 5 | 43.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 40 | 15.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 41 | 16.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 84 | 2.9 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.3 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.3 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.2 |
| | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 93 | 35.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 33 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 11 | 4.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 21 | 4.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 26 | 8,317.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 51 | 3,052.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 5 | 185.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 3 | 9.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 3 | 86.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 9 | 96.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 9 | 80.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 18 | 72.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 19 | 1.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 82 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 35 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 1 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 4 | 0.0 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 64 | 3.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 32 | 87.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 4 | 83.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 2 | 7.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 6 | 4.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.9 |





148 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Jordan

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 7.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,554.0 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 38.7 | Unemployment rate % | 15.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 5,038.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 27.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.5 |


4.9

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.9 20% 63rd Innovation Human 5.5 20% 41st Capital **Global Trade** 5.1 20% 56th & Investment Institutional 5.6 20% 45th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.8 5% 80th Resources Demand 4.2 15% 70th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 4.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 4.1 76th Scale 3.9 40% 56th

Archetype



Nascent



Jordan

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4.1 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 76 | -0.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 56 | 3.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 30 | 16.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 72 | 5,038.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 63 | 3.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 65 | 5.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 4 | 196.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 47 | 87.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 54 | 62.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 87 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 53 | 2.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 67 | 0.4 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 40 | 16.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 61 | 0.69 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 73 | 442.7 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 62 | 11.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 64 | 5.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 30 | 14.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 94 | 0.21 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 47 | 10.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 23 | 5.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 7 | 52.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 76 | 12.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 47 | 16.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.3 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.1 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 56 | 5.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 40 | 91.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 75 | 0.08 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 62 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 49 | 2.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 42 | 3,592.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 66 | 1,628.1 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 38 | 75.1 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 61 | 6.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 67 | 40.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 50 | 82.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 54 | 69.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 42 | 48.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 46 | 0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 89 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 84 | 0.7 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 49 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 30 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 88 | 4.6 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 53 | 76.7 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 75 | 38.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 46 | 4.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.0 |
| | | |



Kazakhstan

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 17.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 7,452.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 133.8 | Unemployment rate % | 5.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 18,294.3 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -3.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 16.6 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 6.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.9 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prode | 4.7 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 58th | 4.1 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 43rd | 5.4 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 63rd | 4.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 68th | 4.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 91st | 4.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 49th | 4.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.2 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 63rd | 4.6 |
| | | | |

40%

64th

3.5

Archetype



ECO

Scale

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Kazakhstan

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|--|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 63 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 64 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 77 | 9.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 50 | 18,294.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 68 | 5.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 14 | 150.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 65 | 69.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 32 | 76.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 77 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 68 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 78 | 4.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 76 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 39 | 2.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 4 | 3.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 91 | 1.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 66 | 0.36 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 52 | 2,426.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 56 | 13.5 |
| ດີ A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 41 | 6.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 89 | 6.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 35 | 33.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 12 | 0.98 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 29 | 11.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 50 | 4.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 46 | 0.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 47 | 15.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 39 | 16.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.9 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Valu |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 64 | 61. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 59 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 73 | 2. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 43 | 2. |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 17 | 10,429. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 33 | 9,030. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 80 | 33. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6. |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 72 | 38. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 31 | 91. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 20 | 77. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 89 | 29. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 80 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 91 | 4.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 91 | 4.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 92 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 93 | 1. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 68 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 47 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 83 | 4. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 55 | 74. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 49 | 4.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 43 | 55. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3. |

Kenya


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 45.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,516.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 68.9 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 5,698.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 13.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.6 |
| Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.3 | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.8 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.9 20% 65th Innovation Human 3.7 20% 88th Capital **Global Trade** 2.5 20% 96th & Investment Institutional 4.2 20% 80th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.5 5% 42nd Resources Demand 4.2 15% 68th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.0 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 3.0 60% 89th Scale 2.9 40% 76th

Archetype







Kenya

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 89 | -0.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 76 | 2.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 73 | 10.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 70 | 5,698.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 65 | 3.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 67 | 5.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 92 | 81.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 88 | 21.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 88 | 26.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 32 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 34 | 5.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 46 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 54 | 2.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 33 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 86 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 53 | 11.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 79 | 0.12 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 64 | 822.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 60 | 12.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 97 | 4.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 83 | 0.40 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 88 | 6.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 53 | 3.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 54 | -1.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 91 | 11.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 85 | 30.7 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.6 |
| | 61 | 3.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 88 | 37.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 81 | 0.10 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 72 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 37 | 3.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 83 | 0.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 58 | 1,882.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 83 | 730.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 82 | 32.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 75 | 36.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 98 | 27. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 76 | 62. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 96 | 26.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 83 | -0.5 |
| O Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 42 | 6.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 42 | 6.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 4 | 0.8 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 33 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 85 | 0. |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 90 | 0.3 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 23 | 0.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 76 | 52.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 67 | 42. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.8 |

WØRLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Korea, Rep.

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 51.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 27,538.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,411.2 | Unemployment rate % | 3.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 381,649.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 29.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 63.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 17.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 6.5 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 17th | 6.6 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 30th | 5.9 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 17th | 6.8 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 25th | 6.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 46th | 6.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 13th | 6.4 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 8.9 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| | | | |


| ture | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Complexity | 60% | 4th | 9.0 |

40%

2nd

8.7

Archetype



Leading

Scale

Korea, Rep.

| ndex Component | Rank | |
|---|------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 4 | 9.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 4 | 1.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 2 | 29.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 6 | 381,649.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 17 | 6.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 49 | 122.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 6 | 92.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 21 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 15 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 18 | 5.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 25 | 3.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 32 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 1 | 4.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 24 | 29.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 2 | 421.49 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 19 | 33,189.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 40 | 23.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 14 | 17.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 60 | 21.4 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 50 | 0.76 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 23 | 12.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 23 | 5.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 33 | 4.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 38 | 5.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 9 | 30.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 19 | 16.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 42 | 16.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 17 | 6.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 53 | 77.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 73 | 0.07 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 69 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 23 | 3.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 16 | 5.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 21 | 9,541.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 32 | 9,480.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 13 | 143.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 13 | 72.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 4 | 99.2 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 25 | 6.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 25 | 6.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 19 | 77.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 38 | 53.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 21 | 1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 59 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 61 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 14 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 21 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 80 | 3.5 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 18 | 93.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 13 | 6.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 13 | 7.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 13 | 73.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 23 | 5.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.3 |



156 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Country Profiles

Kuwait

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 4.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 26,004.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 109.9 | Unemployment rate % | 2.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 9,007.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.8 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 27.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 4.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.6 |


4.6

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.4 20% 80th Innovation Human 4.7 20% 62nd Capital Global Trade 5.6 20% 43rd & Investment Institutional 4.9 20% 57th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.0 5% 95th Resources Demand 4.8 15% 51st iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.6 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 4.2 Complexity 60% 75th Scale 2.5 40% 82nd

Archetype



Nascent



Kuwait

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| idex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4.2 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 75 | -0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 82 | 2.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 90 | 6.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 62 | 9,007.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 20 | 146.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 30 | 78.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 98 | 3.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 60 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 88 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 98 | 0.1 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 71 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 74 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Number}\xspace$ per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 89 | 2.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 51 | 1.39 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 44 | 3,512.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 37 | 25.4 |
| ຊື່ Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 89 | 4.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 94 | 4.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 80 | 0.44 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 81 | 7.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.1 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 28 | 5.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 4 | 77.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 69 | 13.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 1 | 8.8 |
| | 71 | 3.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 53 89 | 3.4 3.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 28 | 8.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 34 | 99.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 48 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 71 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 49 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 44 | 2.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 74 | 896. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 72 | 1,165.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 26 | 103.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 65 | 6.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 69 | 40. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 58 | 80.3 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 67 | 65.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 54 | 41.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 52 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 95 | 4.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 95 | 4.(|
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 96 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 72 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 94 | 0.1 |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 2 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 92 | 5. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 44 | 81. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 51 | 4.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 49 | 52. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 53 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.4 |

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Kyrgyz Republic

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Key economic indicators

| Population millions | 6.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,072.7 |
|---|-------|--|---------|
| GDP US\$ billions | 6.6 | Unemployment rate % | 7.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 887.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -0.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 4.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 7.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.7 |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prode | 3.4 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 100th | 2.6 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 79th | 4.2 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 81st | 3.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 93rd | 3.4 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 98th | 2.9 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 93rd | 3.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 3.7 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 65th | 4.5 |
| | | | |

40%

81st

2.5

Archetype



Scale

Kyrgyz Republic

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 65 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 81 | 2.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 40 | 14.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 97 | 887.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 34 | 131.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 81 | 40.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 83 | 34.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 100 | 3.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 99 | 3.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 100 | 3.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 88 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 99 | 1.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 77 | 2.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 91 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 74 | 5.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 82 | 0.09 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 98 | 17.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 89 | 2.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 67 | 5.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 85 | 7.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 69 | 18.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 58 | 0.73 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 41 | 10.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 65 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 93 | 2.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 98 | -29.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 70 | 13.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 80 | 26.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 77 | 2.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 41 | 7.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 26 | 108.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 61 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 83 | 4.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 96 | 2.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 98 | 0.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 96 | 286.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 91 | 407.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % ${\tt GDP}$ | 91 | 21.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.9 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 98 | 25.7 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 91 | 52.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 30 | 74.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 92 | 28.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 98 | -1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 35 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 96 | 1.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 91 | 0.5 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 89 | 0.3 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue\ water\ $ | 89 | 4.8 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 71 | 58.1 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 99 | 22.9 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.6 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.4 |

160 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Country Profiles Latvia


| Roadinges for t | he Future of Production | Assessment 2018 edition |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| i leauness iur l | | |

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 2.0 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 4,060.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 27.7 | Unemployment rate % | 9.6 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 3,015.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -0.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 21.5 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 5.4 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 42nd | 4.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 37th | 5.6 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 39th | 5.6 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 33rd | 6.4 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 7th | 8.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 89th | 3.4 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.9 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 35th | 6.5 |
| Scale | 40% | 79th | 2.5 |

Archetype



Nascent





Latvia

| idex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 35 | 0.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 79 | 2.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 71 | 10.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 81 | 3,015.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 42 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 30 | 7.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 35 | 131.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 26 | 79.9 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 65 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 $\left(\text{best}\right)$ | 68 | 4.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 24 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 58 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.4 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 53 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 45 | 14.9 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 35 | 6.71 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 69 | 524.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 49 | 18.3 |
| ຊື່ Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 37 | 5.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 37 | 13.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 20 | 41.2 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 2 | 1.08 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 4 | 13.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 64 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 76 | -5.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 64 | 13.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 11 57 | 11.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.3 |
| 2 15 Active labor policies 4 7 /hard | | |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 40 51 | 4.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 39 | 5.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 21 | 115.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 12 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 18 | 5.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 40 | 3.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 61 | 1.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 87 | 537.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 85 | 675.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 43 | 67.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 54 | 47.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 43 | 86.3 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 13 | 79.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 33 | 57.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 29 | 1.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 23 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 30 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 36 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 68 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 15 | 0.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 10 | 96.4 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 86 | 32.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.6 |



Lebanon

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|---------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 4.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 11,308.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 52.0 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 4,190.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 19.9 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.8 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |
| Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.8 | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prode | 4.4 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 84th | 3.3 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 39th | 5.6 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 48th | 5.5 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 96th | 3.3 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 71st | 5.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 65th | 4.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 60th | 4.9 |
| | | | |

40%

78th

2.6

Archetype



Nascent

ECC

Scale

Lebanon

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 60 | 0.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 78 | 2.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 79 | 9.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 76 | 4,190.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.3 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 86 | 4.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 84 | 96.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 42 | 89.2 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 36 | 76.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 99 | 3.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 73 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 94 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 69 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 86 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 28 | 3.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 55 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 42 | 15.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 57 | 1.13 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 81 | 244.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 79 | 5.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 39 | 5.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 83 | 7.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 39 | 31.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 79 | 0.44 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 70 | 8.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 21 | 5.1 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 26 | 5.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 3 | 85.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 79 | 2.8 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 50 | 3.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 90 | 11.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 24 | 12.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.3 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 36 | 7.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 19 | 121.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 62 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 77 | 4.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 74 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 42 | 2.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 93 | 340.5 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 54 | 2,734.1 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 25 | 107.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.9 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 79 | 34.6 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 49 | 83.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 96 | 3.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 86 | 59.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 92 | 28.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 94 | -0.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 71 | 5.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 88 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 73 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 25 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 19 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 87 | 4. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 33 | 86. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 74 | 3.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 74 | 38. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 44 | 4.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.8 |
| | | |



Lithuania


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 2.9 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 4,890.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 42.7 | Unemployment rate % | 7.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 8,352.9 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 23.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 15.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 5.4 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 38th | 4.7 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 33rd | 5.9 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 62nd | 5.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 28th | 6.7 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 21st | 7.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 73rd | 4.0 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.9 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 29th | 6.8 |

Archetype



Legacy

41st

4.5

40%

Scale

Legacy

Lithuania

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.8 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 29 | 0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 41 | 4.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 19 | 18.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 63 | 8,352.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 38 | 4.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 36 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 26 | 140.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 39 | 74.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 31 | 5.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 57 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 41 | 2.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 76 | 2.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 26 | 3.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 37 | 1.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 32 | 24.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 30 | 10.20 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 72 | 459.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 65 | 10.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 33 | 5.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 16 | 8.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 24 | 15.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 18 | 41.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 3 | 1.08 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 8 | 12.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 54 | 3.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 88 | -9.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 83 | 2.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 47 | 4.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 62 | 13.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 26 | 12.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.9 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.7 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 62 | 5.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 13 | 147.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 16 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 60 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 26 | 3.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 82 | 0.9 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 79 | 816.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 99 | 333.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 72 | 43.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 80 | 5.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 45 | 50.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 87 | 56.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.7 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 17 | 77.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 30 | 59.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 26 | 1.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 52 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 28 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 37 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 70 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 33 | 1.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 41 | 82.9 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.0 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 72 | 3.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 72 | 39.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.2 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.6 |
| | | |



Scale

Malaysia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 31.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 9,360.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 296.4 | Unemployment rate % | 3.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 79,820.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.9 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 23.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 42.6 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 6.5 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 23rd | 5.9 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 21st | 6.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 7th | 7.4 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 30th | 6.6 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 60th | 6.0 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 17th | 6.3 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.8 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 30th | 6.8 |
| | | | |

40%

7th

6.8

Archetype



Leading



Leading

Malaysia

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|--|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.8 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 30 | 0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 7 | 6.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5 | 23.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 24 | 79,820.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 23 | 5.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 24 | 141.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 44 | 88.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 29 | 78.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 19 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 3 | 0.9 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 30 | 3.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 11 4 | 5.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 30 | 1.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 50 | 12.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 36 | 5.66 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 37 | 6,155.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 46 | 19.8 |
| ጵ Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 21 | 6.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 42 | 6.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 18 | 16.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 45 | 25.5 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 66 | 0.63 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 47 | 10.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 15 | 6.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 20 | 14.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 23 | 9.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 72 | 12.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 15 | 11.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 14 9 | 4.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 9 12 | 5.0 |
| and on-the-job training i-r (best) | 12 | 5.4 |

| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) Trade 0-10 (best) 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Custainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 7 24 14 57 | 7.4 8.2 |
|---|---------------------|------------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6 Overnment 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 14 | 8.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ④ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | | |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 57 | 128.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 - 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | | 0.05 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 29 | 4.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ① Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 32 | 3.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 12 | 14,772.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 25 | 10,655.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 18 | 123.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 22 | 7.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 22 | 62.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 19 | 93.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.6 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 7 | 83.0 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 40 | 49.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 7 | 5.3 |
| | 37 | 0.5 |
| Pustainability and the st | 60 | 6.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 60 | 6.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 86 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 82 | 0.7 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 66 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 39 | 0.0 |
| $6.05~\mbox{Baseline water stress}~\mbox{Annual withdrawals}, \% of annual available blue water$ | 53 | 2.1 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 52 | 77.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 17 | 6.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 21 | 6.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 21 | 66.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 13 | 6.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.8 |



Population millions

GDP US\$ billions

Driver

Mauritius

Key economic indicators

Scale

| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 30th | 5.1 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Human Capital | 20% | 49th | 5.2 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 33rd | 6.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 32nd | 6.5 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 54th | 6.2 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 87th | 3.5 |
| Structure of Production 3.8 | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 66th | 4.5 |

40%

77th

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Key production indicators

Weighting

| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,678.9 |
|---|---------|
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.2 |

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

Manufacturing value added growth Annual % Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD

Archetype

GDP per capita US\$

Unemployment rate %

1.3


12.0

5.4

2.8

Score /10

Rank



Nascent

9,424.5

7.2

2.1

8.9

0.2

Mauritius

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|--|---|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 66 | -0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 77 | 2.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 43 | 14.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 93 | 1,678.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 22 | 144.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 83 | 36.7 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 69 | 53.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 6 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 32 | 3.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 85 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 80 | 4.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 63 | 0.60 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 55 | 1,715.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 5 | 140.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 30 | 14.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 48 | 24.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 61 | 0.67 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 63 | 9.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.9 |
| | | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 45 | |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 44 | |
| Substration Substration 3.07 Migration | 44 46 | 0.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 44 46 36 | 0.0 3.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 44 46 36 75 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 44 46 36 75 30 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 44 46 36 75 30 42 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 44 46 36 75 30 42 51 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 4.3 14.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 44 46 36 75 30 42 51 59 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 4.3 14.9 18.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 44 46 36 75 30 42 51 59 50 | 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 4.3 14.9 18.8 3.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 44 46 36 75 30 42 51 59 | 4.2 0.0 3.9 0.0 4.6 4.3 14.9 18.8 3.5 3.9 4.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 27 | 107.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 3 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | | |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 48 | 2.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 98 | 229.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 92 | 371.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 28 | 96.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 42 | 6.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 61 | 44.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 24 | 92.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 25 | 76.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 37 | 54.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 35 | 3.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 32 | 0.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 54 | 6.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 54 | 6.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 62 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 48 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 45 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 29 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \mbox{blue water}$ blue water | | |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 71 | 58. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 97 | 23.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 43 | 4.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 33 | 3.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.7 |
| | | |


Mexico

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 122.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 8,554.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,046.0 | Unemployment rate % | 3.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 204,982.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 39.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 5. | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 43rd | 4.5 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 73rd | 4.5 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 25th | 6.3 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 84th | 4.2 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 61st | 5.9 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 25th | 5.8 | | |
| Structure of Pr | oduction | | 6.7 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 25th | 7.2 | | |
| Scale | 40% | 13th | 6.1 | | |







Legacy

Mexico

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|--|----------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 25 | 7.2 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 25 | 1.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 13 | 6.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 27 | 16.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 12 | 204,982.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 43 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 41 | 6.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 87 | 88.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 52 | 83.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 60 | 59.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 24 | 5.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 36 | 5.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 31 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 49 | 2.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 59 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 58 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 73 | 5.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 52 | 1.33 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 21 | 29,976.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 36 | 25.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 66 | 5.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 21 | 16.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 68 | 18.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 70 | 0.58 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 68 | 8.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 70 | 3.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 86 | -9.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 16 | 14.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.9 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 67 | 13.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 81 | 27.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 78 60 | 2.8 |
| | | 4 |

| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) Trade 0-10 (best) 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 - 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Oriver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N20 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available billions) 6.04 N20 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | Rank /10 | 00 Value |
|--|----------|----------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6.01 Inflows US\$ millions 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 8.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 8.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 25 | 6.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available hue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 48 | 6.6 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6 Orvernment 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) C Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 52 | 78.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 4 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N20 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available billions) 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) 4 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 65 | 0.05 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 .09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 .09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 1 .01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 5.02 Incidence and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ▲ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ① Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) ③ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ④ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.5 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 28,377.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ 09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ④ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available bue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 13 | 29,798.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 75 | 35.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ▲ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Toriegn and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 48 | 6.6 |
| ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ④ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 31 | 57.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 72 | 75.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Toreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 84 | 4.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Toreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 84 | 4.2 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Toriver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 56 | 69.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress: Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 84 | 30.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Corsign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 82 | -0.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 61 | 5.9 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 61 | 5.9 |
| billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 73 | 0.1 |
| billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 51 | 0.3 |
| billiions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 1 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 57 | 0.1 |
| blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 43 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 46 | 80.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 25 | 5.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 11 | 7.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 11 | 75.8 |
| | 64 | 4.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.5 |
| | 73 | 3.5 |

WØRLD ECONOMI

172 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Moldova

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 3.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,900.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 6.8 | Unemployment rate % | 4.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 768.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -0.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 18.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.1 |

4.0

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Technology 8 Innovation | 20% | 75th | 3.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 59th | 4.9 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 70th | 4.5 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 86th | 3.8 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 73rd | 5.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 99th | 2.7 |
| Structure of Pr | roduction | | 3.4 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 70th | 4.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 91st | 1.8 |





Moldova

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 70 | -0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 91 | 1.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 67 | 10.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 99 | 768.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 66 | 5.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 68 | 111.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 30 | 97.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 43 | 71.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 81 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 88 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 90 | 4.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 71 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 98 | 1.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 70 | 0.4 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 49 | 13.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 70 | 0.26 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 100 | 3.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 98 | 0.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 33 | 7.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 70 | 10.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 43 | 28.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 1 | 1.14 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 31 | 11.6 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 97 | -29.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 99 | 1.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 88 | 11.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 53 | 17.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 76 | 2.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| C Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 29 | 7.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 22 | 115.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 42 | 0.03 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 66 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 81 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 95 | 0.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 97 | 259.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 100 | 202.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ensuremath{\%\/}{\mbox{GDP}}$ | 84 | 30.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 82 | 5.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 63 | 43.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 86 | 57.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 88 | 59.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 84 | 30.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 84 | -0.5 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 76 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 92 | 1.(|
| $6.03\ \mbox{CH4}$ intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 81 | 0.4 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 81 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 42 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 59 | 72. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 100 | 21. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 85 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.0 |
| | | |





Mongolia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 3.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,659.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 11.0 | Unemployment rate % | 7.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 616.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -4.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 6.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 7.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 2.4 |

Readiness Overall Assessment









Mongolia

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 92 | 2.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 92 | -1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 100 | 0.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 95 | 5.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 100 | 616.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 93 | 3.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 65 | 113.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 94 | 6.9 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 95 | 22.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 72 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 75 | 4.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 91 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 67 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 83 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.1 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 87 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 67 | 6.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 64 | 0.56 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 70 | 512.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 24 | 43.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 87 | 7.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 49 | 24.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 23 | 0.92 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 54 | 9.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 77 | -5.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 85 | 2.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 45 | 15.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 82 | 28.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 75 | 2.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.5 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| C Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 38 | 7.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 37 | 97.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 55 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 80 | 4.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 85 | 2.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 63 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 63 | 1,613.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 87 | 619.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 51 | 58.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 91 | 28. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 80 | 68. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 73 | 4.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 39 | 72.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 63 | 38.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 66 | -0.2 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 100 | 1.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 100 | 1.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 85 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 98 | 1. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 97 | 0.9 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 97 | 0.9 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 84 | 4.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 75 | 53. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.7 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 93 | 2.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 93 | 27.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.3 |
| | | |



Morocco

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 33.8 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,063.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 103.6 | Unemployment rate % | 9.4 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 16,780.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.9 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 27.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |

4.4

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.1 20% 56th Innovation Human 20% 3.4 94th Capital **Global Trade** 4.7 20% 65th & Investment Institutional 4.8 20% 65th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.8 5% 82nd Resources Demand 4.7 15% 53rd iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.7 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 3.3 60% 86th Scale 4.3 40% 47th







Morocco

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|--|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 86 | -0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 47 | 4.3 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 37 | 14.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 52 | 16,780.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 56 | 4.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 55 | 6.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 51 | 120.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 66 | 68.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 63 | 58.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 52 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 57 | 2.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 48 | 0.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 65 | 7.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 80 | 0.10 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 48 | 2,715.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 35 | 26.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 62 | 10.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 86 | 6.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 89 | 0.25 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 97 | 5.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 85 | 2.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 91 | -13.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 84 | 12.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 79 | 25.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.5 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| C Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 66 | 5.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 50 | 79.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 83 | 0.10 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 78 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 52 | 2.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 38 | 4,001. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 52 | 3,014.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 46 | 64. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 62 | 6.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 49 | 49.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 74 | 72.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 65 | 4.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 80 | 61. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 66 | 37. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 63 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 82 | 4.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 77 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 74 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 44 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 69 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 85 | 4. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 69 | 60. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 53 | 4. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 52 | 51. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 55 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3. |
| | | |



Netherlands

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Leading | • |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Key economic indicators

| 17.0 | | |
|----------|--|--|
| 17.0 | GDP per capita US\$ 4 | 5,282.6 |
| 771.2 | Unemployment rate % | 5.9 |
| | | |
| 94,456.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.3 |
| 10.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 48.2 |
| 9.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |
| | 771.2 94,456.1 10.8 | 771.2 Unemployment rate % 94,456.1 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 7.8 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 4th | 7.7 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 13th | 7.1 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 3rd | 8.4 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 8th | 8.7 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 15th | 7.7 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 9th | 6.6 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.3 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 22nd | 7.4 |

40%

38th

4.6

Archetype



Scale

Leading

Netherlands

| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | | |
|--|----|----------|
| | 22 | 7.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 22 | 1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 38 | 4.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 66 | 10.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 21 | 94,456.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 3 | 8.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 36 | 130.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 10 | 90.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 3 | 6.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 17 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 19 | 2.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 12 | 42.9 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 10 | 141.48 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 9 | 78,632.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 9 | 98.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 13 | 7.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 73 | 9.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 7 | 46.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 28 | 0.90 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 24 | 12.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 16 | 5.9 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 41 | 3.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 17 | 13.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 8 | 18.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 16 | 11.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.1 |
| | 8 | 5.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-iob training 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | 0 Valu |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 3 | 8.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 3 | 9.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 11 | 150. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 13 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 3 | 4.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 9 | 7.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 30 | 7,199. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 7 | 57,658.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 23 | 110. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.9 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 7 | 82. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 11 | 96. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 14 | 78. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 8 | 83. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 7 | 1.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 15 | 7.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 15 | 7.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 79 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 17 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 13 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 16 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 47 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 2 | 99. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 9 | 6.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 19 | 6.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 19 | 67. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 7 | 6.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.3 |



New Zealand

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


High Potential

ECONO

| Population millions | 4.7 | GDP per capita US\$ 3 | 8,345.4 |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| GDP US\$ billions | 182.0 | Unemployment rate % | 5.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 16,978.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 17.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 9.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 6.7 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 22nd | 6.1 | | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 10th | 7.4 | | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 45th | 5.5 | | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 2nd | 9.1 | | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 14th | 7.8 | | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 50th | 4.8 | | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.8 | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 45th | 5.7 | | | |
| Scale | 40% | 68th | 3.5 | | | |



WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

High Potential

New Zealand

| Index Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 45 | 0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 68 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 78 | 9.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 51 | 16,978.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 22 | 6.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 46 | 125.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 39 | 90.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 14 | 88.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 20 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.5 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 21 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 24 | 4.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 24 | 3.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 5 | 4.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 36 | 1.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 6 | 50.9 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 21 | 45.83 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 38 | 5,488.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 30 | 29.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 10 | 7.4 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 54 | 11.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 17 | 42.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 14 | 0.97 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 14 | 12.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 17 | 16.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 17 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 4 | 19.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 33 | 14.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.4 |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 63 | 6.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 74 | 55.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 31 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 36 | 3.4 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 33 | 3.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 70 | 1,233.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 63 | 1,805.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 6 | 176.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 34 | 7.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 46 | 50. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 28 | 92.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.1 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.1 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 2 | 89.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 1 | 90.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 5 | 1.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 14 | 7.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 14 | 7.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 26 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 15 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 53 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 66 | 0.1 |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 40 | 1.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 25 | 90.5 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 50 | 4.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 63 | 44.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 25 | 5.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.3 |

Nigeria


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 183.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,210.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 406.0 | Unemployment rate % | 13.4 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 46,977.3 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 33.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.7 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.5 20% 77th Innovation Human 3.7 20% 89th Capital Global Trade 2.4 20% 97th & Investment Institutional 3.2 20% 97th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.8 5% 32nd Resources Demand 5.3 15% 38th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 1.7 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 0.0 60% 100th Scale 4.2 40% 49th

Archetype



Nascent



Nigeria

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 100 | 0.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 100 | -2.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 49 | 4.2 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 72 | 10.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 33 | 46,977.3 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 77 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 91 | 81.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 75 | 50.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 89 | 25.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 67 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 67 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 47 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 91 | 1.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 75 | 2.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 82 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 90 | 2.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 98 | 0.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 34 | 7,342.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 54 | 15.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 86 | 4.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 60 | 11.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 72 | 0.56 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 92 | 6.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 92 | 2.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 58 | -1.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.9 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 97 | 8.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 92 | 37.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 93 | 2.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.3 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.0 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|--------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 100 | 21.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 89 | 0.1 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 80 | 2.7 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 68 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 29 | 7,444. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 40 | 4,988. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 94 | 15. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 99 | 24. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 93 | 42. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 69 | 64. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 92 | 28.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 97 | -1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 5 | 0.8 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 13 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 77 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 64 | 0. |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 12 | 0.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 88 | 22. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 38 | 5.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 25 | 6.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 25 | 64.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3. |



184 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Norway

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Rey coolionne maleators | | | |
|--|----------|--|-------|
| Population millions | 5.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 70,39 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 370.4 | Unemployment rate % | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 34,854.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 7.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | ⊿ ∠ |


7.1


Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6.9 20% 13th Innovation Human 7.8 20% 5th Capital Global Trade 5.7 20% 38th & Investment Institutional 8.7 20% 7th m Framework Sustainable 8.8 5% 1st Resources Demand 5.5 15% 32nd iii Environment **Structure of Production** 5.6 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 7.1 Complexity 60% 26th Scale 3.5 40% 67th

Archetype





High Potential



High Potential

Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018 185

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

Norway

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Valu |
|--|---------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 26 | 7. |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 26 | 0.9 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 67 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 86 | 7.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 39 | 34,854. |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 13 | 6.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 69 | 110. |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99. |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 2 | 97. |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 21 | 5. |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5. |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5. |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 12 | 0. |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 16 | 5. |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5. |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5. |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4. |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 11 | 4. |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5. |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 21 | 1. |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ \ensuremath{GDP} | 19 | 35. |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 15 | 92.8 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 24 | 21,358. |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 19 | 51. |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7. |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8. |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 78 | 8. |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 3 | 51. |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 21 | 0.9 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 9 | 12. |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5. |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5. |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7. |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 12 | 28. |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5. |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 45 | 5. |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4. |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5. |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 12 | 17. |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 2 | 8. |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5. |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5. |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5. |
| 3.17 Hiring and firing practices 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.4 |

| 4.01 Trade % GDP 58 66.3 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 37 0.03 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 55 4.4 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 24 3.7 Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4.187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 1 8.8 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 1 8.8 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 31 0.0 6.03 CAL intensity level CA4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.04 N2O int | ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|--|-----------|---------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 58 66.3 4.02 Trade tariffs % duy 37 0.03 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 55 4.4 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 24 3.7 Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4.187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 1 8.8 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 1 8.8 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level C02 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 inte | Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 38 | 5.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 37 0.03 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 55 4.4 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 24 3.7 Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4.187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 M Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 13 5.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 3 0.0 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 | Trade 0-10 (best) | 54 | 6.3 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 55 4.4 4.04 Logistics performance 1 - 5 (best) 24 3.7 Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments USS millions 76 884.2 4.06 EDI inflows USS millions 45 4,187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 f Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 | 4.01 Trade % GDP | 58 | 66.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) 24 3.7 Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4,187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 4 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 3 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 18 93.9 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available billions) 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 | 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 37 | 0.03 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 31 3.6 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4,187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 Ó Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (USS 3 0.0 | 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 76 884.2 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4,187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 6.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 3 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 | 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 24 | 3.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 45 4,187.6 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 6 85.00 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.00 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 16 0.4 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.00 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 | Investment 0-10 (best) | 31 | 3.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP 11 144.8 Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 m Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater | 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 76 | 884.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 38 7.0 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 1 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Dem | 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 45 | 4,187.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 55 47.4 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.00 ⑦ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.01 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.02 billions) 13 0.02 0.02 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 11 | 144.8 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 22 93.0 ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.00 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 M Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 | Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 38 | 7.0 |
| ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 ◇ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 billions) 1 8.8 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Ch Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 28 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size | 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 55 | 47.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) 7 8.7 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.0 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 billions) 13 0.0 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) 16 93.9 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 22 | 93.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 45 71.4 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.00 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 billions) 1 8.8 3 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 billions) 10 0.9 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Corsumer Base 0-100 (best) 28 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.7 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 6 85.0 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.00 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 C Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | Government 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.7 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 13 5.0 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 6 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 45 | 71.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 2 2.0 C) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Coreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 6 | 85.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 billions) 3 0.0 0.0 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) 1 8.8 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 billions) 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available billions) 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 2 | 2.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 21 0.4 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 billions) 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 billions) 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 11 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.8 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.1 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 3 0.0 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ 13 0.0 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 6 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.8 |
| billions)30.16.03 CH4 intensity levelCH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$30.06.04 N2O intensity levelN2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$130.06.05 Baseline water stressAnnual withdrawals, % of annual available160.46.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)1693.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)325.5Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)485.37.01 Market size 0-100 (best)175.87.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)194.3 | 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 21 | 0.4 |
| billions)30.06.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$130.06.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available160.46.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)1693.9 1 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)325.5Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)485.37.01 Market size 0-100 (best)4853.1Consumer Base 0-10 (best)175.87.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)194.3 | | 3 | 0.1 |
| billions)130.06.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water160.46.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst)1693.9 11 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best)325.5Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best)485.37.01 Market size 0-100 (best)4853.1Consumer Base 0-10 (best)175.87.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best)194.3 | | 3 | 0.0 |
| blue water 16 0.4 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 16 93.9 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | | 13 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) 32 5.5 Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | | 16 | 0.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 48 5.3 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 16 | 93.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 48 53.1 Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 32 | 5.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 17 5.8 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) 19 4.3 | 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 48 | 53.1 |
| | Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 17 | 5.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) 17 4.7 | 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.3 |
| | 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.7 |



Oman


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| 4.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 15,964.0 |
|---------|--|--|
| 63.2 | | |
| | | |
| 7,256.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.4 |
| 10.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 19.9 |
| 5.6 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 3.4 |
| | 63.2 7,256.4 10.4 | 63.2 7,256.4 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prode | 5.1 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 40th | 4.6 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 50th | 5.1 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 36th | 5.8 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 40th | 6.1 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% 97th | | 3.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 66th | 4.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 64th | 4.6 |
| Scale | 40% | 74th | 3.1 |

Archetype





Nascent

Oman

| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) 6 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) 7 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP 7 1.03 Manufacturing value added USS millions 6 P Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) 4 Technology Platform 0-10 (best) 2 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2 2.04 FDI and technology tansfer 1-7 (best) 2 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 2 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPPS 2 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2 2.16 Venture capital deal volume USS millions <th></th> <th>Value</th> | | Value |
|---|----------|---------|
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP Manufacturing value added USS millions Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) Technology Platform 0-10 (best) 201 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 202 LTE mobile network coverage % population 203 Internet users % pop. 204 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 205 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 206 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 207 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) 208 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 209 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 210 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 211 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 213 R&D expenditures % GDP 214 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GP 215 Patent applications applications/Number per Billion PPP\$ GP 216 Venture capital deal volume USS millions 217 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy USS/GDP 2303 Female participation in labor force ratio 301 Manufacturing employment % working population 302 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 303 Female participation in labor force ratio 304 Mean years of schooling Years 305 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 306 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 307 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 308 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 309 Quality of universities Count 300 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 311 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP 7 1.03 Manufacturing value added USS millions 6 Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) 2 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2.04 EDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume USS millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume USS millions 2.18 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.19 Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume USS millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy USS (GDP 2.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (be | 64 | -0.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions 6 P Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) 2 Technology Platform 0-10 (best) 2 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2 2.06 Company investment 01 (best) 2 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2 2.13 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2 2.14 Scientific and technical publications. Number per Billion PPP\$ 2 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy 2 2.26 Driver: Huma | 74 | 3.1 |
| Priver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) Itechnology Platform 0-10 (best) 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 70 | 10.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) 2 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) 2 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPPS GDP 2 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2 2.16 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy USS(GDP 2 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 11 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3 3.05 Availability of sc | 67 | 7,256.4 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working pop. 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pp. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.01 Quality of math and science educ | 25 | 7.4 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pp. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 10 | 159.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of m | 43 | 88.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2 M Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pp. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.09 Quality of math an | 46 | 69.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pp. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.01 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 72 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) E 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) E 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) E 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) E 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) E 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) E 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP E 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP E 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. E 2.17 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions E 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP E 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population E 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population E 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years E 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) E 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) E 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. E 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) E 3.09 Quality of universities Count E 3.10 Q | 74 | 4.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.18 Scientific and technical publications number per Billion PPP\$ 3.10 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.10 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working population 3.10 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 11 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.01 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.02 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.03 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.03 Quality of universities Count 3.10 3.10 Quality of math and science educ | 4 | 0.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.18 Namufacturing employment % working population 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 82 | 1.8 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2 A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) 2 Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 2 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 4 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 4 | 61 | 3.7 |
| (best) 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.10 (best) & Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) 2 Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 11 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 2 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3 3.09 Quality of universities Count 2 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3 | | - |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$//GDP & Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 29 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP & Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) & Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 5.04 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.05 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.7 |
| GDP 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 78 | 0.2 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP 3.01 Manufacturing employment 0.10 (best) 2.10 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy Venture capacity employment % working population 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 2 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 10 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 3.09 Quality of universities Count 10 11 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 11 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 12 | 82 | 4.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy 2 US\$/GDP 2 A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) 2 Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 3 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 0 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 72 | 0.18 |
| US\$/GDP F Image: Constraint of the second | 75 | 341.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) 8 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 9 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 10 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 10 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 10 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 12 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 13 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 13 3.09 Quality of universities Count 14 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 14 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 14 | 83 | 4.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population 9 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 10 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 9 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 10 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 12 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 2 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 13 3.09 Quality of universities Count 10 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 14 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 14 | 50 | 5.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 81 | 4.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio 10 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 30 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 00 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 00 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 30 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 00 3.09 Quality of universities Count 00 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 00 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 00 | 90 | 5.6 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years 3 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 0 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 0 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 2 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | | No data |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) 0 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) 2 Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 00 | 0.11 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 56 | 9.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) 2 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 67 | 3.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | | - |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) 3.09 Quality of universities Count 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 24 | 5.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count 0 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 1 | 229.4 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) 0 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) 0 | 30 | 4.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 62 | 1.0 |
| | 67 | 3.7 |
| | 63 | 3.8 |
| | 61 | 13.7 |
| | 67 | 19.9 |
| 5 (, | 40 | 3.6 |
| 1 | 38 | 3.9 |
| | 69 87 | 3.9 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 36 | 5.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 25 | 8.1 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 25 | 108.6 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 54 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 46 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 50 | 2.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 44 | 3,371.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 84 | 685.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 37 | 75.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 39 | 7.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 30 | 58.6 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 55 | 81.2 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.1 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.1 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 34 | 73.* |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 47 | 45.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 44 | 0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 96 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 87 | 0.8 |
| $6.03\ \text{CH4}$ intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 88 | 0.5 |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 9 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 91 | 4.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 68 | 61. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.6 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 59 | 46.3 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.2 |





Pakistan

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 193.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,468.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 284.2 | Unemployment rate % | 6.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 29,341.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 6.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 24.6 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment







Pakistan

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 83 | -0.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 44 | 4.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 49 | 13.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 44 | 29,341.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 87 | 4.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 97 | 71.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 86 | 27.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 98 | 15.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 60 | 4.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 74 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 73 | 4.3 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 66 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 80 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 26 | 3.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 79 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 60 | 8.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 86 | 0.04 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 66 | 558.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 90 | 2.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 90 | 4.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 37 | 13.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 66 | 19.5 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 99 | 0.13 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 95 | 5.2 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 62 | 4.1 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 83 | -8.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 100 | 8.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 97 | 46.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.6 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 94 | 2.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 100 | 1.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 99 | 24.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 100 | 0.17 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 63 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 69 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 25 | 8,506.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 69 | 1,385.5 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 93 | 16.5 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 86 | 4.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 64 | 42.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 88 | 53.5 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 89 | 3.7 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 90 | 57.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 80 | 32.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.0 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 92 | -0.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 25 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 77 | 0.6 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 89 | 0.5 |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 88 | 0.2 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 86 | 4.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 90 | 16. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 35 | 5.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 28 | 63. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 51 | 4.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3. |



Panama

Country Profiles

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 4.0 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 3,654.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 55.1 | Unemployment rate % | 5.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,521.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 5.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 6.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 7.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.1 |

4.9

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.9 20% 64th Innovation Human 4.9 20% 60th Capital **Global Trade** 5.6 20% 42nd & Investment Institutional 5.0 20% 56th Ш Framework Sustainable 7.6 5% 17th Resources Demand 4.3 62nd 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.8 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 5.3 Complexity 60% 56th Scale 1.6 40% 92nd







Panama

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 56 | 5.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 56 | 0.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 92 | 1.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 93 | 5.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 86 | 2,521.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 64 | 3.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 61 | 5.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 6 | 172.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 92 | 11.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 67 | 54.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 31 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 28 | 5.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 61 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 70 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 98 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 81 | 4.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 56 | 1.15 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 59 | 1,009.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 48 | 19.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 62 | 5.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 85 | 7.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 51 | 24.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 63 | 0.67 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 52 | 9.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.0 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 67 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 47 | 4.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 36 | 7.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 74 | 12.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 70 | 22.0 |
| | 59 | 3.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| | 49 62 | 3.4 4.1 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 31 | 7.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 38 | 94.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 58 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 42 | 3.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 41 | 2.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 51 | 2,644.1 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 43 | 4,342.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 30 | 91.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 60 | 6.2 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 38 | 53.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 79 | 69.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 56 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 56 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 68 | 65.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 63 | 38.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 53 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 58 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 31 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 42 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 33 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ %\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 17 | 0.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 60 | 72.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 76 | 3.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 76 | 37.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 40 | 4.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.6 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.1 |




Paraguay

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 6.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 4,003.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 27.4 | Unemployment rate % | 6.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,776.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 10.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 21.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.8 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.0 20% 91st Innovation Human 4.0 20% 84th Capital Global Trade 4.5 20% 71st & Investment Institutional 3.7 20% 88th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.0 5% 57th Resources Demand 3.4 15% 90th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.2 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 3.7 60% 80th Scale 2.5 40% 80th







Paraguay

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 80 | -0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 80 | 2.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 69 | 10.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 84 | 2,776.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 79 | 4.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 77 | 104.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 57 | 78.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 72 | 51.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 92 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 93 | 3.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 79 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 100 | 1.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.9 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 74 | 2.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 90 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 92 | 1.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 99 | 0.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 97 | 42.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 95 | 1.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 84 | 4.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 76 | 5.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 46 | 12.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 67 | 19.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 64 | 0.65 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 71 | 8.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 91 | 2.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 78 | -6.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 82 | 12.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 77 | 24.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 87 | 2.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.6 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 56 | 6.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 49 | 81.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 72 | 0.07 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 63 | 4.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 86 | 2.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 77 | 1.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 92 | 375.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 98 | 342.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 57 | 54.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.9 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 96 | 26.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 33 | 91.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.7 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.7 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 97 | 56.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 84 | 30.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 87 | -0.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 57 | 6.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 57 | 6.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 6 | 0.8 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 20 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 95 | 0.8 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 92 | 0.3 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 2 | 0.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 85 | 39.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 83 | 33.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.0 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.1 |
| | | |



Peru


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 31.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 6,198.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 195.1 | Unemployment rate % | 6.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 27,266.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 14.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 14.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 15.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 4.2 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 81st | 3.3 | | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 77th | 4.2 | | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 78th | 4.0 | | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 77th | 4.3 | | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 50th | 6.3 | | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 55th | 4.6 | | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 3.7 | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 87th | 3.1 | | | |
| Scale | 40% | 43rd | 4.5 | | | |

Archetype





Nascent

Peru

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) 101 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) 102 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP 103 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 87 87 43 | 3.1 -0.8 |
|--|----------------|-------------|
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | - | -0.8 |
| 02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 43 | |
| .03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | | 4.5 |
| | 42 | 14.1 |
| 3 | 46 | 27,266.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.3 |
| echnology Platform 0-10 (best) | 76 | 5.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 57 | 117.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 77 | 44.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 77 | 45.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 87 | 4.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 67 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 73 | 0.4 |
| bility to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 87 | 1.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 93 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 87 | 2.7 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 75 | 0.17 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 40 | 4,789.4 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 38 | 24.7 |
| c Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 25 | 15.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 77 | 14.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 67 | 0.63 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 62 | 9.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.7 |
| uture Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 75 | 3.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 87 | -9.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 50 | 3.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 63 | 13.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 55 | 18.0 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.1 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.3 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 72 93 | 3.9 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Valu |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 82 | 44.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 34 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 57 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 64 | 2.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 59 | 1.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 40 | 3,826.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 35 | 8,564.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 74 | 36.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 77 | 5.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 82 | 31.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 69 | 77. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.3 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 77 | 4.3 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 41 | 71.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 72 | 35. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 81 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 55 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 39 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 50 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 50 | 0.0 |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, $\%$ of annual available blue water | 67 | 3.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 47 | 79.9 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 55 | 4.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 47 | 5.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 47 | 53. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 73 | 3.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.4 |

WØRLD ECØNOMIC FORUM

Philippines

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 104.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,924.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 304.7 | Unemployment rate % | 5.5 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 63,880.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 6.8 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 22.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 45.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 8.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 4.5 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 59th | 4.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 66th | 4.6 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 69th | 4.5 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 76th | 4.4 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 69th | 5.5 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 45th | 4.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.1 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 43rd | 5.9 |

40%

11th

6.4

Archetype



Legacy

Scale

Legacy

Philippines

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 43 | 5.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 43 | 0.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6 | 22.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 28 | 63,880.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 59 | 4.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 72 | 109.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 55 | 80.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 65 | 55.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.7 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 39 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 85 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 35 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 89 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 92 | 1.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 68 | 0.30 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 43 | 3,722.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 59 | 12.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 61 | 5.6 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 79 | 8.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 50 | 24.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 68 | 0.60 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 61 | 9.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 63 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 81 | -7.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 47 | 4.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.9 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 77 | 12.7 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 87 | 31.4 |
| | 35 | 3.8 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 65 34 | 3.0 4.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.5 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 65 | 5.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 59 | 64.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 51 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 65 | 2.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 47 | 2.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 27 | 7,873.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 41 | 4,967.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 69 | 44.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 78 | 5.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 92 | 27.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 61 | 79.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 64 | 66.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 72 | 35.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 78 | -0.4 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 27 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 54 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 67 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 52 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}$ water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 71 | 3.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 77 | 51. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 45 | 4.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 30 | 6.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 30 | 62.9 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.0 |
| | | |




Poland

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 38.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 12,315.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 467.6 | Unemployment rate % | 6.2 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 101,093.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 17.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 35.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 19.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 5.8 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 37th | 4.8 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 36th | 5.7 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 23rd | 6.4 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 39th | 6.1 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 25th | 7.1 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 23rd | 5.9 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 6.8 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 21st | 7.5 |
| Scale | 40% | 15th | 5.9 |







Leading

Poland

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| dex Component | Rank / | '100 Value |
|---|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 21 | 7.5 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 21 | 1.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 15 | 5.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 23 | 17.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 19 | 101,093.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 37 | 4.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 21 | 146.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 1 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 41 | 73.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 36 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 43 | 2.6 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.1 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 38 | 1.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 31 | 25.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 29 | 10.35 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 33 | 7,975.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 52 | 16.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 36 | 5.7 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 26 | 7.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 6 | 19.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 25 | 37.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 33 | 0.89 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 11 | 12.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 51 | 3.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 53 | -1.0 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 77 | 2.8 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 23 | 9.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 77 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 27 | 16.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 6 | 10.2 |
| | 60 | 3.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 57 41 | 3.2 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 23 | 6.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 15 | 8.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 32 | 100.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 8 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 30 | 3.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 37 | 3.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 22 | 9,018.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 31 | 9,485.5 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 56 | 54.6 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 27 | 7.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 29 | 59.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 27 | 92.1 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.1 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.1 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 46 | 71.3 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 25 | 62.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 35 | 0.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 25 | 7.1 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 25 | 7.1 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 75 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 70 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 34 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 51 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 38 | 1.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 31 | 87.4 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 23 | 5.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 20 | 6.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 20 | 67.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 28 | 5.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 15 | 4.7 |
| | | |

WORLD ECONOMI FORUM


Portugal

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 10.3 | GDP per capita US\$ 11 | 9,831.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 204.8 | Unemployment rate % | 11.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 26,850.9 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 25.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Proc | 6.0 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 28th | 5.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 29th | 6.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 28th | 6.1 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 26th | 6.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 34th | 6.7 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 40th | 5.0 |
| Structure of Pr | oduction | | 5.4 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 39th | 6.2 |
| Scale | 40% | 52nd | 4.1 |







High Potential

Portugal

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.2 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 39 | 0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 52 | 4.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 58 | 11.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 47 | 26,850.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 28 | 5.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 73 | 109.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 20 | 98.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 44 | 70.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 26 | 5.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 56 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 25 | 4.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 36 | 4.1 |
| (best) | 35 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 31 | 3.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 35 | 3.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 31 | 1.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 11 | 45.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 31 | 8.61 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 30 | 12,717.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 17 | 60.2 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 27 | 7.3 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 15 | 16.9 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 31 | 35.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 4 | 1.06 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 65 | 8.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.5 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 38 | 4.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 30 | 9.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 35 | 7.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 20 | 16.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 28 | 13.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 31 | 3.9 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 35 43 | 3.9 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.4 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 28 | 6.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 39 | 7.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 51 | 79.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 26 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 29 | 3.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 34 | 3.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 60 | 1,770.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 36 | 6,250.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 21 | 112.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 28 | 7.5 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 19 | 65.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 47 | 84.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 40 | 71.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 25 | 62.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 22 | 1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 43 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 23 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 27 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 20 | 0.0 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 72 | 3.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 36 | 85.4 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 40 | 5.0 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 51 | 51.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 36 | 4.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.1 |
| | | |




Qatar

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 2.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 60,786.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 156.7 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 16,157.9 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9.0 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 66.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 6.9 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.9 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 6.0 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 1 5.2 20% 29th Innovation Human 20% 26th 6.1 Capital **Global Trade** 5.8 20% 37th & Investment Institutional 7.1 20% 22nd m Framework Sustainable 5.5 5% 67th Resources Demand 5.8 29th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.9 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 4.3 60% 74th Scale 3.3 40% 71st







High Potential

Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best)744.3Structure: Global Trade

Rank /100

Value

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| 74 71 82 54 | -0.3 3.3 9.0 |
|----------------------|---|
| 82 54 | |
| 54 | 9.0 |
| - | |
| | 16,157.9 |
| 29 | 5.2 |
| 19 | 7.7 |
| 18 | 147.1 |
| 10 | 99.0 |
| 5 | 94.3 |
| 22 | 5.1 |
| 16 | 5.4 |
| 24 | 5.3 |
| 28 | 0.7 |
| 40 | 2.6 |
| 8 | 5.2 |
| 18 | 4.7 |
| 3 | 5.1 |
| 8 | 4.7 |
| 9 | 5.0 |
| 65 | 0.5 |
| 69 | 6.3 |
| 33 | 7.59 |
| 63 | 852.7 |
| 81 | 4.9 |
| 26 | 6.1 |
| 77 | 5.1 |
| 88 | 6.9 |
| 74 | 16.1 |
| 96 | 0.18 |
| 53 | 9.8 |
| 5 | 5.4 |
| 11 | 5.5 |
| 6 | 7.1 |
| 2 | 223.7 |
| 6 | 5.3 |
| 62 | 1.0 |
| 6 | 5.6 |
| 13 | 5.3 |
| 85 | 12.0 |
| 17 | 11.6 |
| 3 | 5.5 |
| 11 | 4.9 |
| 17 | 5.4 |
| | 10 5 22 16 24 28 40 8 18 3 8 9 65 69 33 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 |

Qatar

Index Component

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 37 | 5.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 32 | 7.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 43 | 89.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 49 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 28 | 3.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 54 | 2.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 67 | 1,327.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 90 | 488. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 35 | 79.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 30 | 7.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 33 | 55. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 21 | 93. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 44 | 71. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 27 | 61. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 31 | 0.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 67 | 5. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 67 | 5. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 96 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 58 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 17 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 7 | 0. |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 94 | 5. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 26 | 90. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 29 | 5. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 50 | 5.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 50 | 52. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 10 | 6.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 9 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 13 | 4. |
| | | |



Romania

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 19.8 | GDP per capita US\$ | 9,465.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 187.0 | Unemployment rate % | 5.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 38,988.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.7 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 19.6 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 37.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 18.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

4.9

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 67th | 3.9 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 57th | 4.9 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 58th | 5.1 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 46th | 5.5 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 23rd | 7.3 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 57th | 4.6 |
| Structure of P | roduction | | 6.6 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 24th | 7.3 |
| Scale | 40% | 19th | 5.7 |







Legacy

Romania

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|--|----------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 24 | 1.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 19 | 5.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 12 | 19.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 37 | 38,988.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 67 | 3.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 57 | 6.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 74 | 106.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 60 | 75.2 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 61 | 59.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 71 | 4.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 80 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 43 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 89 | 1.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 91 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 69 | 0.4 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 39 | 16.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 45 | 2.76 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 57 | 1,421.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 71 | 7.6 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 44 | 6.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 12 | 18.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 55 | 22.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 42 | 0.84 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 39 | 11.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 71 | 3.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 64 | -2.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 96 | 2.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 47 | 4.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 21 | 5.0 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 50 | 14.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 60 95 | 18.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 95 47 | 3.5 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.5 |
| | 01 | J.Ö |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 58 | 5.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 42 | 7.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 48 | 83.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 22 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 58 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 60 | 1.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 31 | 6,610.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 47 | 3,594.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 87 | 28.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 55 | 6.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 59 | 45.5 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 52 | 82.1 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 46 | 5.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 49 | 70.7 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 42 | 48.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 47 | 0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 37 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 52 | 0.4 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 48 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 59 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals, $\%$ of annual available blue water }$ | 24 | 0.8 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 56 | 74.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 40 | 5.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 40 | 56.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 97 | 2.6 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.6 |
| | | |

WØRLD ECONOMI

Russian Federation

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

WORLD CONOMIC FORUM

Key economic indicators

| 143.4 | GDP per capita US\$ | 8,928.7 |
|-----------|--|---|
| 1,280.7 | Unemployment rate % | 5.5 |
| | | |
| 202,188.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.9 |
| 12.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 25.6 |
| 14.3 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.8 |
| | 1,280.7 202,188.2 12.7 | 1,280.7 Unemployment rate % 202,188.2 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 5.3 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 39th | 4.7 | | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 25th | 6.3 | | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 49th | 5.4 | | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 87th | 3.8 | | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 35th | 6.7 | | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 20th | 6.2 | | | |
| Structure of Production 5.7 | | | | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

60%


40%

44th

25th

5.9

5.4



Complexity

Scale

Legacy

Russian Federation

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 44 | 5.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 44 | 0.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 25 | 5.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 50 | 12.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 13 | 202,188.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 39 | 4.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 39 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 8 | 163.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 70 | 59.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 34 | 76.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.7 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 62 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 82 | 4.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 11 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 45 | 2.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 44 | 3.8 |
| (best) | 48 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 61 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 59 | 8.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 42 | 3.12 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 18 | 37,577.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 39 | 23.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 25 | 6.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 18 | 7.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 29 | 14.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 13 | 44.4 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 15 | 0.97 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 26 | 12.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 46 | 4.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 39 | 4.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 34 | 7.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 11 | 24.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 38 | 15.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 66 | 19.8 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 30 | 3.9 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.8 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 00 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 81 | 4.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 80 | 46.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 64 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 84 | 2.6 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 17 | 5.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 11 | 14,900.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 12 | 32,045.8 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 55 | 54.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 43 | 6.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 44 | 51.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 48 | 84.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 82 | 61.0 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 89 | 29.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 40 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 91 | -0.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 74 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 85 | 0.7 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 73 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 35 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 35 | 1.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 23 | 91.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 20 | 6.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 6 | 79.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 48 | 4.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.7 |



Saudi Arabia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 31.7 | GDP per capita US\$ 2 | 20,150.1 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 639.6 | Unemployment rate % | 5.6 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 81,058.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 4.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 35.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 8.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.7 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Production 5.4 | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 44th | 4.5 | | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 48th | 5.2 | | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 50th | 5.4 | | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 38th | 6.2 | | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 76th | 5.0 | | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 19th | 6.2 | | | |
| Structure of Production 5.2 | | | | | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 50th | 5.4 | | | |

40%

35th

4.8

Archetype



Scale

Nascent

Saudi Arabia

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 50 | 5.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 50 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 35 | 4.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 54 | 11.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 23 | 81,058.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 44 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 35 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 12 | 157.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 44 | 88.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 40 | 73.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 28 | 5.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 47 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 55 | 2.2 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 20 | 4.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 12 | 4.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 38 | 3.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 56 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Number}\xspace$ per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 62 | 8.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 38 | 4.17 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 58 | 1,305.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 91 | 1.9 |
| A ^p Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 48 | 5.2 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 80 | 8.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 44 | 27.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 97 | 0.15 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 58 | 9.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.6 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 27 | 5.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 31 | 9.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.2 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 28 | 16.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 9 | 10.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 23 | 4.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.2 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 50 | 5.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 68 | 5.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 66 | 60.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 53 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 47 | 4.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 51 | 3.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 36 | 3.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 23 | 8,993.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 34 | 8,930.5 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ensuremath{\%\/}{\mbox{GDP}}$ | 52 | 58.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 31 | 7.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 32 | 57.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 34 | 91. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 48 | 70. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 46 | 46. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 40 | 0.5 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 76 | 5.0 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 76 | 5.0 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 95 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 83 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 26 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 8 | 0. |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 93 | 5. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 35 | 85. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 19 | 6.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 15 | 7. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 15 | 71. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 27 | 5.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4. |
| | | |



Senegal

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Population millions | 15.4 | GDP per capita US\$ | 959.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 14.8 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,895.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.7 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 21.6 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 13.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |


3.7


Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.2 20% 85th Innovation Human 3.5 20% 92nd Capital Global Trade 3.4 20% 88th & Investment Institutional 4.9 20% 62nd Ш Framework Sustainable 4.8 5% 83rd Resources Demand 3.3 15% 91st iii Environment **Structure of Production** 3.1 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 3.6 Complexity 60% 82nd Scale 2.4 40% 86th

Archetype





- -



ECÓNC

Nascent

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Senegal

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 82 | -0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 86 | 2.4 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 63 | 11.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 91 | 1,895.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 89 | 4.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 81 | 98.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 87 | 21.6 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 90 | 25.7 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 66 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 44 | 4.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 82 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 78 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 36 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 59 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 55 | 10.3 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 89 | 0.02 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 91 | 85.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 74 | 5.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 35 | 13.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | | No data |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 87 | 0.34 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 99 | 2.8 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 74 | 3.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 80 | -6.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.9 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 96 | 9.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 89 | 32.1 |
| | 45 | 3.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 80 39 | 2.8 4.4 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.4 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 73 | 5.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 56 | 73.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 84 | 0.11 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 94 | 2.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 85 | 0.7 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 73 | 1,050.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 97 | 345.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 81 | 33.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 88 | 4.5 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 84 | 31.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 85 | 58.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 89 | 58.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 47 | 45.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 65 | -0.2 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 20 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 75 | 0.6 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 92 | 0.6 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 94 | 0.5 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 11 | 0.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 86 | 34.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 91 | 3.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 92 | 2.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 92 | 28.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 74 | 3.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.7 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.9 |

Serbia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 7.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,376.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 37.7 | Unemployment rate % | 15.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 5,719.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 13.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 23.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 16.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.6 |

4.6

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 69th | 3.8 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 54th | 5.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 60th | 5.1 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 60th | 4.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 53rd | 6.2 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 85th | 3.5 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.2 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 37th | 6.3 |
| Scale | 40% | 63rd | 3.5 |





Nascent

Serbia

| idex Component | Rank /100 | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 37 | 0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 63 | 3.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 46 | 13.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 69 | 5,719.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 69 | 3.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 72 | 5.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 52 | 120.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 58 | 78.2 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 49 | 67.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 80 | 4.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 84 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 47 | 2.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 79 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 45 | 0.8 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 7 | 49.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 48 | 2.15 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 84 | 156.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 85 | 3.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 54 | 5.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 40 | 6.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 20 | 16.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 42 | 28.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 37 | 0.86 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 38 | 11.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.2 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 73 | 3.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 93 | -14.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 98 | 1.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 26 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.7 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 54 | 14.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 35 | 15.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.1 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.8 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 60 | 5.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 37 | 7.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 24 | 109.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 66 | 0.05 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 81 | 4.0 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 69 | 2.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 67 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 41 | 3,649.2 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 56 | 2,240.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 71 | 43.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 63 | 6.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 47 | 50.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 77 | 71.7 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 60 | 4.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 51 | 69.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 53 | 42.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 62 | -0.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.2 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 63 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 88 | 0.9 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 60 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 75 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 20 | 0.6 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 67 | 64.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.0 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 71 | 40.4 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 99 | 2.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.2 |
| | | |



Country Profiles

Singapore

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 5.6 | GDP per capita US\$ 5 | 2,960.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 297.0 | Unemployment rate % | 2.1 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 52,782.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.2 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 80.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Produ | 8.0 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 6th | 7.4 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 2nd | 8.0 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 1st | 9.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 1st | 9.1 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 56th | 6.1 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 14th | 6.4 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 7.3 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 11th | 8.4 |
| | | | |

40%

22nd

5.6

Archetype



Leading

Scale

Leading

Singapore

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 11 | 1.5 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 22 | 5.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 20 | 18.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 31 | 52,782.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 6 | 7.4 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 19 | 146.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 1 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 23 | 81.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 1 | 0.9 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.2 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 5 | 4.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 16 | 4.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 17 | 2.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 27 | 26.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 13 | 100.94 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 23 | 22,867.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 11 | 76.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 58 | 11.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 1 | 54.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 35 | 0.87 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 32 | 11.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 2 | 7.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 5 | 72.3 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 50 | 3.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.5 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 36 | 15.4 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 52 | 17.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.4 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.6 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 1 | 9.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 1 | 9.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 2 | 318.4 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 2 | 0.00 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 4 | 4.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.4 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 18 | 10,291. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 6 | 63,714.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 16 | 132.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 1 | 9.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 2 | 87.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 1 | 100.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 1 | 9.1 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 1 | 9.1 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 1 | 90. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 7 | 84. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.3 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 9 | 1.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 56 | 6.1 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 56 | 6.1 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 87 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 10 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 4 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 5 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline}\ \textbf{water}\ \textbf{stress}\ Annual withdrawals, \% of annual available blue water$ | 94 | 5. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 1 | 100. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 14 | 6.4 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 27 | 64. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 8 | 6.4 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 12 | 4. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.0 |



Slovak Republic

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Legacy | |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

•

| 5.4 | GDP per capita US\$ 10 | 6,498.5 |
|----------|--|---|
| 89.5 | Unemployment rate % | 9.6 |
| | | |
| 22,309.2 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 6.4 |
| 21.5 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 48.2 |
| 24.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.4 |
| | 89.5 22,309.2 21.5 | 89.5 Unemployment rate % 22,309.2 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % 21.5 Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 5.3 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 51st | 4.2 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 45th | 5.3 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 34th | 5.9 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 42nd | 5.9 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 9th | 8.3 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 61st | 4.3 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 7.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 16th | 7.9 |

40%

20th

5.6

Archetype



Scale

Legacy

Slovak Republic

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 16 | 7.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 16 | 1.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 20 | 5.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 8 | 21.5 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 49 | 22,309.2 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 51 | 4.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.1 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 40 | 128.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 47 | 87.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 25 | 80.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 15 | 5.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 75 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 51 | 2.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 | 41 | 3.9 |
| (best) | 58 | 3.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 40 | 0.9 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 35 | 19.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 34 | 7.45 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 65 | 672.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 73 | 7.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.3 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 28 | 7.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 2 | 24.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 38 | 31.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 34 | 0.88 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 12 | 12.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 67 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 42 | 2.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 94 | 2.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 65 | 3.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 81 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 48 36 | 15.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Hatto 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.0 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.1 |
| | 50 | 7.1 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 34 | 5.9 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 17 | 8.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 6 | 183.9 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 28 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.5 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 39 | 3.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 62 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 56 | 2,025.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 89 | 510.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 53 | 57.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 24 | 7.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 36 | 54.4 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 1 | 100.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.9 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 63 | 66.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 39 | 51.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 84 | 3.0 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 34 | 0.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 28 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 47 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 24 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 28 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}\ \mbox{Annual withdrawals}, % of annual available blue water$ | 10 | 0.2 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 34 | 86.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.3 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 57 | 48.6 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 83 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.5 |

WORLD ECONOMI

Slovenia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 2.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 21,320.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 44.0 | Unemployment rate % | 8.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 9,251.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.5 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.4 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 48.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 23.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |


5.7

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| | Scale | 40% | 39th | 4.6 |
|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| * | Complexity | 60% | 12th | 8.3 |
| Structure | | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Struc | ure of Pro | duction | | 6.8 |
| | Demand Environment | 15% | 67th | 4.2 |
| | Sustainable Resources | 5% | 5th | 8.6 |
| | Institutional Framework | 20% | 27th | 6.8 |
| | Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 40th | 5.6 |
| | Human Capital | 20% | 27th | 6.0 |
| | Technology & Innovation | 20% | 35th | 4.8 |
| Driver | | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |

Archetype



Leading



Slovenia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 12 | 8.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 12 | 1.5 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 39 | 4.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18 | 18.4 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 60 | 9,251.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 35 | 4.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 60 | 5.9 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 62 | 114.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 28 | 97.4 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 38 | 75.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 68 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 41 | 4.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 38 | 5.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 77 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 28 | 3.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 35 | 1.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 3 | 55.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 22 | 45.72 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 60 | 985.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 42 | 21.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 23 | 7.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 3 | 23.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 19 | 41.7 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 29 | 0.90 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 18 | 12.4 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.8 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 43 | 4.4 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 28 | 10.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 78 | 2.8 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 13 | 17.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 45 | 16.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 31 44 | 4.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | | |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 40 | 5.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 18 | 8.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 12 | 148.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 29 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 48 | 3.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 81 | 1.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 95 | 297.1 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 86 | 632.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 66 | 46.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 29 | 7.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 40 | 52.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 14 | 95.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 27 | 6.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 28 | 75.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 27 | 61.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 25 | 1.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 22 | 0.4 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 40 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 21 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 26 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \mbox{blue water}\ \ \mbox{blue water}\ \ \mbox{annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue water}\ \ \mbox{annual available}\ \ \mbox{annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue water}\ \ \mbox{annual available}\ \ \ \ \mbox{annual available}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 5 | 0.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 30 | 87.8 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 77 | 3.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 77 | 36.5 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 41 | 4.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.3 |

Country Profiles

South Africa

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 55.9 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,260.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 294.1 | Unemployment rate % | 26.7 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 51,204.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 12.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 24.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.0 |

Readiness Overall Assessment


| Drivers of Prod | 5.0 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 46th | 4.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 67th | 4.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 41st | 5.6 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 49th | 5.0 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 75th | 5.3 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 33rd | 5.5 |
| Structure of Pro | duction | | 5.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 53rd | 5.4 |
| | | | |

40%

40th

4.5

Archetype



Nascent

Scale

Nascent

South Africa

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 53 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 40 | 4.5 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 52 | 12.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 32 | 51,204.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 46 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 23 | 142.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 59 | 77.6 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 67 | 54.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 34 | 5.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.6 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 58 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 38 | 2.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 27 | 3.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 30 | 4.2 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 47 | 0.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 38 | 17.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 46 | 2.40 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 32 | 8,681.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 33 | 27.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 53 | 6.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 54 | 11.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 56 | 22.5 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 48 | 0.79 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 45 | 10.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 86 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 60 | -1.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 23 | 9.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 65 | 13.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 90 | 33.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.1 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 41 | 5.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.6 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 68 | 60.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 68 | 0.06 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 58 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 19 | 3.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 24 | 4.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 32 | 6,242.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 42 | 4,534.5 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 12 | 144.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 47 | 6.7 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 41 | 52. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 57 | 80. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 66 | 65. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 47 | 45.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 50 | 0.1 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 75 | 5.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 75 | 5.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 66 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 97 | 1.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 51 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 62 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 63 | 3. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 48 | 79. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 33 | 5. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 32 | 61.8 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 38 | 4.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 30 | 3.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.9 |
| | | |



Scale

Spain

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 46.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 26,608.9 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 1,232.6 | Unemployment rate % | 19.6 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 164,249.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 2.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 40.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |


Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 6.2 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 26th | 5.7 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 31st | 5.9 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 15th | 6.8 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 31st | 6.5 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 29th | 6.9 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 22nd | 5.9 |
| Structure of Pro | duction | | 6.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 32nd | 6.7 |

40%

30th

5.1







Leading

Spain

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|----------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 32 | 6.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 32 | 0.8 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 30 | 5.1 |
| .02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 62 | 11.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 15 | 164,249.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 26 | 5.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 27 | 7.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 70 | 109.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 38 | 91.3 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 24 | 80.6 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.9 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 22 | 5.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 21 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 26 | 4.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 49 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 33 | 1.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 22 | 32.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 25 | 22.14 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 12 | 63,073.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 20 | 49.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 31 | 5.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 32 | 7.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 42 | 12.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 36 | 32.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 27 | 0.90 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 51 | 9.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 60 | 4.1 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 36 | 4.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 26 | 12.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 12 | 23.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 10 27 | 17.9 |
| 3.13 Publi-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 66 | 3.2 |
| 3.14 Critical timining in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.5 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 61 | 4.1 |
| erre err me joo naming in (boor) | 51 | 7.1 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Valu |
|--|----------|----------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 15 | 6.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 50 | 6.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 63 | 63.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 14 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 33 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 22 | 3. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 14 | 5.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 16 | 10,806.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 15 | 21,884.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 22 | 111.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 11 | 8.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 8 | 82.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 44 | 85. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 31 | 6.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 55 | 69.3 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 31 | 58. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 28 | 1.(|
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 36 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 19 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 19 | 0.0 |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 25 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ }$ | 82 | 3. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 7 | 97. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 22 | 5.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 17 | 7.1 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 17 | 71. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 39 | 4.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.4 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.3 |

WORLD ECONOMI FORUM

Country Profiles

Sri Lanka


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 21.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,887.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 82.6 | Unemployment rate % | 4.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 13,081.9 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.6 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 18.1 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 6.7 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 18.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

4.3 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.5 20% 73rd Innovation Human 4.5 20% 69th Capital **Global Trade** 3.8 20% 83rd & Investment Institutional 4.8 20% 63rd Ш Framework Sustainable 4.6 5% 89th Resources Demand 4.6 15% 56th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 4.1 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 3.7 Complexity 60% 81st Scale 4.7 40% 36th







Country Profiles

Nascent

Sri Lanka

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 81 | 3.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 81 | -0.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 36 | 4.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 21 | 18.1 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 56 | 13,081.9 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 73 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 77 | 5.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 55 | 118.5 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 84 | 36.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 84 | 32.1 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 82 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 66 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 65 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 70 | 0.4 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 61 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 46 | 3.9 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 62 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.3 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 94 | 0.1 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Number}\xspace$ per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 83 | 3.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 71 | 0.22 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 50 | 2,651.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 29 | 33.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 69 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 69 | 5.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 12 | 18.2 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 72 | 16.9 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 82 | 0.41 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 40 | 10.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 49 | 4.2 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 60 | 3.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 94 | -15.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.2 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 34 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 59 | 14.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 73 | 23.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 55 | 3.3 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 50 | 4.3 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 83 | 3.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 85 | 4.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 77 | 50.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 93 | 0.12 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 64 | 4.3 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | | |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 78 | 1.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 59 | 1,837.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 79 | 869.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 67 | 46.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 57 | 6.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 56 | 46.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 59 | 80. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 63 | 4.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 58 | 68.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 69 | 36.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 57 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 89 | 4.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 89 | 4.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 17 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 29 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 72 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 48 | 0.0 |
| 6.05~Baseline water stress <code>Annual</code> withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 61 | 3.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 92 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 56 | 4.6 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 56 | 4.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 56 | 49.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 52 | 4.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 48 | 3.6 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 61 | 3.0 |



Country Profiles

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 10.0 | GDP per capita US\$ 5 | 51,164.5 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 511.4 | Unemployment rate % | 7.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 90,213.0 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 7.7 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 48.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |

7.4

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 7.3 20% 7th Innovation Human 7.5 20% 6th Capital Global Trade 6.8 20% 19th & Investment Institutional 8.8 20% 6th Ш Framework Sustainable 8.8 5% 2nd Resources Demand 5.9 24th 15% Environment **Structure of Production** 7.5 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 8.7 5th Scale 5.6 40% 23rd







Sweden

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 00 Value |
|---|---------|----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 5 | 1.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 23 | 5.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 32 | 16.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 22 | 90,213.0 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 7 | 7.3 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 44 | 126.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 6 | 100.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 8 | 91.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.3 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 19 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 7 | 6.4 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.2 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.3 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 15 | 2.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 5 | 52.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 6 | 216.33 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 20 | 32,918.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 16 | 62.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 6 | 7.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 67 | 10.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 4 | 50.4 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 9 | 0.98 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 19 | 12.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.9 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 14 | 6.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 14 | 20.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 18 | 4.6 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 20 | 10.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.1 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 7 | 18.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 21 | 12.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.4 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.6 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.5 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 19 | 6.8 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 27 | 8.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 47 | 84.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 21 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 14 | 5.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 1 | 4.2 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 26 | 4.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 54 | 2,187.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 24 | 11,383.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 17 | 128.7 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 17 | 8.1 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 20 | 65.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 12 | 96.0 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.8 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.8 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 22 | 76.4 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 4 | 88.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.9 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 1 | 2.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.8 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.8 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 7 | 0.7 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 2 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 5 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 12 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 37 | 1.3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 11 | 96.1 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 24 | 5.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 38 | 5.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 38 | 57.4 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.0 |
| 7.00 Ruyor condictication (7. (boot) | 11 | 4.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | | |


Country Profiles

Switzerland

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 8.3 | GDP per capita US\$ 7 | 9,242.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 659.9 | Unemployment rate % | 3.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 121,205.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 19.2 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 63.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.0 |

Readiness Overall Assessment




40%

12th

6.2

Archetype



Scale

Leading

Switzerland

| ndex Component | Rank / | |
|--|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.8 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 2 | 2.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 12 | 6.2 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 15 | 19.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 17 | 121,205.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.9 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.2 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 29 | 135.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 13 | 89.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.4 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 20 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.5 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 4 | 5.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 28 | 3.7 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 14 | 4.4 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 9 | 3.0 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 2 | 58.1 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 3 | 268.02 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 11 | 63,522.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 10 | 93.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 3 | 8.7 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 42 | 12.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 2 | 53.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 30 | 0.90 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 2 | 13.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 8 | 38.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.1 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 23 | 9.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 3 | 6.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 26 | 16.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 5 | 10.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.5 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.7 |
| | 1 | 6.2 |

| Trade 0-10 (best) 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 6 Overnment 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N20 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| 4.01 Trade % GDP 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6 Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 7 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (USS billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 10 | 7.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ① Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 8.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Corsumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) <td>14</td> <td>8.6</td> | 14 | 8.6 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments: US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows: US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) © Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 23 | 114.1 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1 – 5 (best) Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 4 Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N20 intensity level N20 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available avater 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 6 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 43 | 0.04 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) 6.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 27 | 4.8 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) ⑤ Orernment 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ⑦ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 10 | 4.0 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows: US\$ millions 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ① Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ② Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 25 | 4.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 52 | 2,487.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 23 | 13,153.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 7 | 175.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ♦ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) ♠ Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 8 | 8.6 |
| ▲ Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) ④ Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Toriegn and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 11 | 80.9 |
| Government 0-10 (best) 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Torier: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 30 | 91.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Toreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 8.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Torier: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 8.8 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) Coriengn and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 15 | 77.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) O Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 86.0 |
| Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.2 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 4 | 1.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 3 | 8.8 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 3 | 8.8 |
| billions) 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 13 | 0.5 |
| billions) 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) | 1 | 0.1 |
| billions) 6.05 Baseline water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 – 5 (worst) 1 Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 2 | 0.0 |
| blue water 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 3 | 0.0 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 31 | 1.1 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 98.2 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 7 | 6.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 36 | 5.9 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 36 | 58.7 |
| | 1 | 7.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.1 |
| | 1 | 5.9 |




Tanzania

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Population millions | 48.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 970.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 47.2 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 3,182.4 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 8.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 6.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 6.8 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 3.0 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.6 |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.3 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 2.8 20% 95th Innovation Human 2.8 20% 100th Capital **Global Trade** 2.2 20% 98th & Investment Institutional 4.4 20% 75th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.9 5% 78th Resources Demand 3.9 77th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 2.4 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 2.7 Complexity 60% 91st Scale 2.0 40% 89th







Nascent

Tanzania

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|-------------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 91 | 2.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 91 | -1.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 89 | 2.0 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 87 | 6.9 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 79 | 3,182.4 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 95 | 2.8 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 98 | 3.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 96 | 74.4 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 91 | 13.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 100 | 13.0 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 76 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 86 | 4.1 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 85 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 81 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 81 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.5 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 60 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 70 | 6.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 97 | 0.00 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 80 | 252.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 77 | 5.4 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 100 | 2.8 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 100 | 3.0 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 98 | 3.0 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 90 | 3.4 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 75 | 0.50 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 93 | 5.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 90 | 3.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 70 | -2.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 57 | 3.3 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.0 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 99 | 8.4 |
| | 94 | 43.1 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | | 3.0 3.0 3.7 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 92 | 3.2 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 91 | 36.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 82 | 0.10 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 56 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 96 | 0.3 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 64 | 1,523.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 65 | 1,766. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 97 | 14.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 97 | 3.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 90 | 29.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 96 | 31. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 75 | 4. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 81 | 61. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 80 | 32. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 34 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 76 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.9 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 78 | 4.9 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 3 | 0. |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 32 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 96 | 0. |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 95 | 0. |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 44 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 81 | 45. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 77 | 3. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 68 | 41. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 88 | 3.0 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3. |
| | | |

Country Profiles

Thailand

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| 69.0 | GDP per capita US\$ | 5,899.4 |
|-----------|--|---|
| 406.9 | Unemployment rate % | 0.8 |
| | | |
| 116,650.5 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.6 |
| 28.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 40.7 |
| 16.5 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.5 |
| | 406.9 116,650.5 28.7 | 406.9 Unemployment rate % 116,650.5 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |


5.4

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.6 20% 41st Innovation Human 5.0 20% 53rd Capital **Global Trade** 6.7 20% 20th & Investment Institutional 5.0 20% 51st m Framework Sustainable 6.3 5% 49th Resources Demand 5.8 15% 28th ŵ Environment **Structure of Production** 7.1 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 6.6 33rd Scale 7.9 40% 3rd

Archetype





Legacy

Legacy

Thailand

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| idex Component | Rank / | |
|--|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 33 | 0.7 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 3 | 28.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 18 | 116,650.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 41 | 4.6 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 29 | 7.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 5 | 172.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 75 | 47.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 37 | 4.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 30 | 5.2 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 25 | 0.7 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 63 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 58 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1–7 (best) | 35 | 4.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 25 | 3.9 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.9 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 62 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 67 | 6.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 60 | 0.70 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 42 | 4,028.3 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 67 | 10.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 56 | 5.9 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 18 | 16.5 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 78 | 13.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 47 | 0.81 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 76 | 7.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 51 | 4.4 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 45 | 4.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 45 | 1.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 35 | 3.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 28 | 8.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 66 | 3.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 30 | 16.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 44 | 16.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.0 |
| 2 15 Active leber policies 4 7 (heat) | 44 | 3.7 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.1 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 20 | 6.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 33 | 7.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 18 | 123.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 74 | 0.07 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 56 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 43 | 3.3 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 19 | 5.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 28 | 7,582.4 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 28 | 9,820.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 10 | 147.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 32 | 7.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 34 | 54.9 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 25 | 92.5 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 51 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 59 | 68.5 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 72 | 35.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 45 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 55 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.3 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.3 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 56 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 78 | 0.6 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 62 | 0.2 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 54 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals}, \ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 46 | 1.7 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 62 | 71.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 28 | 5.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 18 | 6.9 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 18 | 69.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 42 | 4.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 22 | 4.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.4 |
| | | |

WØRLD ECONOMI FORUM

Tunisia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 11.2 | GDP per capita US\$ | 3,730.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 41.9 | Unemployment rate % | 14.0 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 7,835.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.9 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 16.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 20.0 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 18.8 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.7 |


4.4

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 4.0 20% 62nd Innovation Human 4.1 20% 80th Capital **Global Trade** 4.6 20% 68th & Investment Institutional 5.0 20% 52nd Ш Framework Sustainable 5.7 5% 64th Resources Demand 3.9 76th 15% iii Environment **Structure of Production** 4.8 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 5.3 Complexity 60% 55th Scale 4.1 40% 50th

Archetype



Nascent



Nascent

Tunisia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 55 | 5.3 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 55 | 0.1 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 50 | 4.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 29 | 16.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 64 | 7,835.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 62 | 4.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 58 | 6.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 45 | 125.8 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 62 | 73.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 73 | 50.9 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 70 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 93 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 60 | 4.5 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 41 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 73 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.0 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.8 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 94 | 3.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 51 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 23 | 31.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 69 | 0.28 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 95 | 48.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 96 | 1.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 75 | 5.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 8 | 18.8 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 61 | 21.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 85 | 0.39 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 86 | 6.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 40 | 4.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.3 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 77 | 3.1 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 71 | -2.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 84 | 2.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 38 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 52 | 14.8 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 37 | 15.8 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 88 | 2.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.0 |
| | 88 | 3.6 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 68 | 4.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 57 | 6.3 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 39 | 91.2 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 87 | 0.11 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 96 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 89 | 2.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 55 | 2.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 75 | 887.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 73 | 1,148.6 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 34 | 81.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 81 | 5.4 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 80 | 34.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 75 | 72.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 52 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 47 | 70.9 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 54 | 41.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.2 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 54 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 64 | 5.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 64 | 5.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 69 | 0.1 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 76 | 0.6 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 43 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 61 | 0.1 |
| $6.05\ Baseline\ water\ stress\ Annual\ withdrawals,\ \%\ of\ annual\ available\ blue\ water\ $ | 75 | 3.4 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 43 | 82.1 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 76 | 3.9 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 66 | 4.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 66 | 42.7 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 84 | 2.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.4 |

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Country Profiles


Turkey

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 79.8 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 0,742.7 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 857.4 | Unemployment rate % | 10.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 147,420.0 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 3.3 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 15.8 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 29.9 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 18.7 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 4. | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 54th | 4.2 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 72nd | 4.5 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 57th | 5.1 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 64th | 4.8 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 51st | 6.3 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 26th | 5.8 | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.9 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 42nd | 5.9 | | |
| Scale | 40% | 18th | 5.8 | | |







Legacy

Turkey

| ndex Component | Rank / | 100 Value |
|---|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 42 | 5.9 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 42 | 0.4 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 18 | 5.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 34 | 15.8 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 16 | 147,420.0 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 54 | 4.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 46 | 6.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 83 | 96.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 53 | 82.5 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 62 | 58.3 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 54 | 4.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 42 | 4.8 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 48 | 4.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 44 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 62 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 51 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | | - |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3.4 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 92 | 3.0 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 52 | 0.6 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 37 | 17.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 47 | 2.17 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 35 | 7,175.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 70 | 8.1 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 74 | 5.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 10 | 18.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 64 | 20.5 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 84 | 0.40 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 72 | 8.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 45 | 4.3 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | | - |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 57 | 3.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 40 | 4.4 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 75 | 2.9 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 18 | 11.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.2 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 16 | 17.2 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 64 | 19.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 100 | 2.3 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 56 | 3.3 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 79 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Valu |
|--|----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 57 | 5. |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 76 | 4. |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 78 | 47. |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 67 | 0.0 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4. |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 34 | 3. |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 28 | 3. |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 19 | 10,023. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 22 | 13,239. |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 41 | 69. |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 44 | 6. |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 24 | 61. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 73 | 73. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4. |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 64 | 4. |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 79 | 61. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 54 | 41. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 39 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 64 | -0. |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6. |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6. |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 72 | 0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 53 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 33 | 0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 36 | 0. |
| $6.05~\mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 62 | 3 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 49 | 79. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 26 | 5. |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 14 | 7. |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 14 | 72. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 50 | 4. |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 54 | 3. |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 49 | 3. |



Uganda

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|-------|
| Population millions | 41.1 | GDP per capita US\$ | 637.6 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 26.2 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 2,254.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 5.1 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 8.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 11.1 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 4.4 | | |

3.3

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.2 20% 87th Innovation Human 3.0 20% 99th Capital Global Trade 2.0 20% 99th & Investment Institutional 4.5 20% 71st Ш Framework Sustainable 5.7 5% 65th Resources Demand 3.2 15% 95th iii Environment 2.2 **Structure of Production** Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 2.4 60% 96th Scale 2.1 40% 88th







Nascent

Uganda

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 96 | 2.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 96 | -1.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 88 | 2.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 83 | 8.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 87 | 2,254.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 87 | 3.2 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 88 | 4.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 99 | 55.1 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 89 | 15.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 96 | 21.9 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 69 | 4.2 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 89 | 4.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 83 | 4.1 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 53 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 79 | 1.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.6 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 73 | 3.3 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 37 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 52 | 3.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 63 | 0.5 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 52 | 12.0 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 95 | 0.01 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 92 | 72.9 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 88 | 2.7 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 99 | 3.0 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 98 | 3.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 94 | 4.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 88 | 4.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 74 | 0.51 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 96 | 5.1 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 53 | 4.1 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 73 | -3.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 62 | 1.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 85 | 3.1 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 91 | 3.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 94 | 10.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 96 | 45.6 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 89 | 2.8 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 95 | 2.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.9 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|-------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 99 | 2.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 83 | 4.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 81 | 45.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 80 | 0.10 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 52 | 3.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 100 | 0.1 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 88 | 511.9 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 78 | 991.7 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 96 | 14.5 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 100 | 1.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 97 | 25. |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 100 | 10. |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 71 | 4.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 57 | 69. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 99 | 25.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 41 | 3.8 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 68 | -0.2 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 65 | 5.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 65 | 5.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | | |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 21 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 90 | 0. |
| 6.04~N2O intensity level <code>N2O</code> emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 93 | 0.5 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 1 | 0.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 87 | 32. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 78 | 3.5 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 78 | 35. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 98 | 2.8 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 98 | 2.9 |

WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Ukraine

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition


| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 42.5 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,194.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 93.3 | Unemployment rate % | 9.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 15,620.8 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 1.8 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 12.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 30.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 12.6 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 3.0 |

4.5

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 3.5 20% 74th Innovation Human 5.8 20% 34th Capital **Global Trade** 5.1 20% 59th & Investment Institutional 3.4 20% 94th Ш Framework Sustainable 4.6 5% 88th Resources Demand 4.5 15% 58th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 5.2 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 60% 6.0 41st Scale 3.9 40% 57th







Ukraine

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| idex Component | Rank /1 | |
|--|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 41 | 6.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 41 | 0.5 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 57 | 3.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 51 | 12.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 55 | 15,620.8 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 74 | 3.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 75 | 5.0 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 32 | 132.6 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 98 | 1.4 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 71 | 52.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 71 | 4.3 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 92 | 4.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 59 | 0.5 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 68 | 2.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.1 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.5 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.0 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.7 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 50 | 0.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 51 | 12.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 58 | 1.08 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 56 | 1,643.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 53 | 15.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 34 | 5.8 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 20 | 7.8 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 41 | 12.6 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 26 | 37.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 6 | 1.00 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 34 | 11.3 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 32 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 52 | 3.8 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 51 | -0.9 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 90 | 2.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 24 | 4.8 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 43 | 4.3 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 41 | 15.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 46 | 16.9 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 32 | 3.8 |
| | | |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 45 65 | 3.7 4.0 |

| Index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Contraction of the second seco | 59 | 5.1 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 30 | 7.8 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 29 | 104.8 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 39 | 0.03 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 97 | 3.8 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 71 | 2.8 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 70 | 1.5 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 53 | 2,212.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 46 | 3,921.4 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 64 | 47.3 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 66 | 6.0 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 74 | 38.2 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 51 | 82.3 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 94 | 3.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 – 100 (best) | 99 | 52.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 89 | 29.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 91 | 2.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 90 | -0.8 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 88 | 4.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 88 | 4.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 50 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 99 | 1.8 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 93 | 0.6 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 87 | 0.3 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals}, \ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 54 | 2. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 58 | 73.3 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 58 | 4.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 45 | 5.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 45 | 54. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 78 | 3.1 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.2 |
| | | |

WØRLD ECONOMIC FORUM

United Arab Emirates

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

High Potential

ECONO

37,677.9

2.0

12.6

2.1

| Key economic indicators | | |
|--|----------|--|
| Population millions | 9.9 | GDP per capita US\$ |
| GDP US\$ billions | 371.4 | |
| Key production indicators | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 33,359.6 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 8.9 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Manufacturing employment % working population

| Drivers of Production 6.8 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 20th | 6.3 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 19th | 6.6 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 11th | 7.2 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 19th | 7.5 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 70th | 5.4 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 11th | 6.5 | | |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 4.5 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Complexity | 60% | 58th | 5.0 | | |
| | | | | | |


40%

59th

3.8

Archetype

8.7 CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD



Scale

High Potential

United Arab Emirates

| idex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 58 | 5.0 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 58 | 0.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 59 | 3.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 81 | 9.2 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 42 | 33,359.6 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 20 | 6.3 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7.5 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 3 | 204.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 21 | 98.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 9 | 90.6 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.7 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 $\left(\text{best}\right)$ | 10 | 5.7 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 50 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 22 | 5.0 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.6 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 9 | 4.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.8 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 41 | 0.9 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications $\ensuremath{Numberper}$ Billion PPP\$ GDP | 83 | 3.5 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 41 | 3.19 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 10 | 72,794.2 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 2 | 196.8 |
| ຊື່ Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 19 | 6.6 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 77 | 8.7 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 28 | 36.1 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 98 | 0.14 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 57 | 9.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.4 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7.2 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 6 | 56.1 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 2 | 6.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 38 | 6.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 13 | 5.3 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 19 | 4.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 66 | 13.3 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 74 | 23.6 |
| | 8 | 5.1 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| | 14 21 | 4.8 5.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 11 | 7.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.7 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 4 | 205.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 50 | 0.04 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.6 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 12 | 3.9 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 27 | 4.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 20 | 9,979.7 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 29 | 9,820.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 31 | 85.9 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 7 | 8.6 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 9 | 82.1 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 36 | 90.5 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 19 | 7.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 12 | 80. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 22 | 66.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 2 | 6.1 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 30 | 0.9 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 93 | 0.0 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 65 | 0.5 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 35 | 0.1 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billiions) | 27 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 94 | 5.0 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 14 | 94.6 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 11 | 6.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 26 | 6.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 26 | 64.1 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 5 | 6.6 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 5 | 4.9 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.0 |



United Kingdom

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Leading | |
|---------|--|
| Louding | |

WORLD CONOMIC FORUM

Key economic indicators

| Population millions | 65.6 | GDP per capita US\$ 4 | 0,095.9 |
|---|-----------|--|---------|
| GDP US\$ billions | 2,629.2 | Unemployment rate % | 4.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 226,271.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -0.4 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 8.3 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 47.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 9.6 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Produ | 7.8 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 2nd | 8.0 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 8th | 7.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 4th | 8.3 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 13th | 8.2 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 22nd | 7.4 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 6th | 7.1 |
| Structure of Pro | duction | | 7.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |

60%

40%


8th

37th

8.6

4.7

Archetype



Complexity

Scale

Leading

United Kingdom

| ndex Component | Rank / | |
|--|--------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 8 | 1.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 37 | 4.7 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 84 | 8.3 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 10 | 226,271.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.0 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 50 | 122.3 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 11 | 99.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 4 | 94.8 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 6 | 5.5 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 11 | 5.6 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.9 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 14 | 0.8 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 2 | 7.8 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.4 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 9 | 5.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 21 | 3.9 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 7 | 4.7 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.0 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 24 | 1.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 13 | 41.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 17 | 84.36 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 2 | 413,438.1 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 4 | 146.3 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 8 | 7.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 72 | 9.6 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 6 | 47.6 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 16 | 0.96 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 10 | 12.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 17 | 4.9 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 31 | 4.7 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 9 | 6.7 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 22 | 13.8 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 5 | 5.7 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 2 | 78.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 36 | 4.6 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 28 | 4.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 9 | 17.9 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 50 | 17.4 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 27 | 4.2 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 16 | 5.4 |

| index Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 4 | 8.3 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 52 | 6.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 70 | 58.1 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 10 | 0.01 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 8 | 4.1 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 3 | 45,273.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 4 | 89,769.3 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 15 | 134.4 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 10 | 8.5 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 10 | 82.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 40 | 87.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.2 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 13 | 8.2 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 8 | 82.6 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 10 | 81.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 21 | 4.5 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 14 | 1.6 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7.4 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 22 | 7.4 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 61 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 8 | 0.1 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 10 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 14 | 0.0 |
| 6.05 Baseline water stress $% 10^{-1}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 56 | 2.6 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 3 | 98.9 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 6 | 7.1 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 7 | 7.7 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 7 | 77.2 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 6 | 6.5 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 8 | 4.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.0 |



Country Profiles

United States

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|-------------|--|----------|
| Population millions | 323.3 | GDP per capita US\$ | 57,436.4 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 18,569.1 | Unemployment rate % | 4.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 1,968,888.7 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 0.8 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 41.2 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 10.2 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.2 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Production 8.2 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|--|--|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 1st | 8.5 | | |
| Human Capital | 20% | 3rd | 7.9 | | |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 5th | 7.7 | | |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 9th | 8.6 | | |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 37th | 6.7 | | |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 1st | 8.5 | | |
| Structure of Pro | duction | | 7.8 | | |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 | | |

60%

40%


8th

10th

8.6

6.6

Archetype



Leading

WORLD ECONOMI FORUM

Complexity

Scale

Leading

ECONOA FORUA

United States

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 8 | 8.6 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 8 | 1.6 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 10 | 6.6 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 60 | 11.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 2 1,96 | 8,888.7 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 2 | 8.7 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 42 | 127.2 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 8 | 99.7 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 35 | 76.2 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 4 | 5.6 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 2 | 6.0 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 8 | 5.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 2 | 0.9 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.3 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.7 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.0 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.1 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.3 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.6 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 10 | 2.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 34 | 19.8 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 11 | 124.71 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 1 2,12 | 1,482.0 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 8 | 117.9 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.9 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 5 | 8.5 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 68 | 10.3 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 24 | 38.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 31 | 0.89 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 3 | 13.5 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 2 | 5.7 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 1 | 6.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 4 | 7.3 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 19 | 15.6 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.8 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 1 | 159.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 10 | 5.4 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 7 | 5.4 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 18 | 16.5 |
| a. B. Aller and a sub-standard standard standard standard standards. | 34 | 14.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 7 | 5.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| | 7 | 5.1 5.7 |

| index Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|---------|-----------|
| S Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 5 | 7.7 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 80 | 4.4 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 96 | 28.0 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 32 | 0.02 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 12 | 5.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 9 | 4.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 1 | 10.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 2 | 65,528.8 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 1 | 255,508.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector $\ \% \ \text{GDP}$ | 4 | 192.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 6 | 8.8 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 6 | 83.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 20 | 93.4 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.6 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 9 | 8.6 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 6 | 85.2 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 16 | 74.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.7 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 13 | 1.7 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 37 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 60 | 0.2 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 45 | 0.3 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 22 | 0.0 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 23 | 0.0 |
| $6.05\ \textbf{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 58 | 2.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 39 | 84.2 |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 1 | 8.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 2 | 9.8 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 2 | 98.0 |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 3 | 7.3 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 1 | 5.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 3 | 5.5 |

Country Profiles

Uruguay

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|---------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 3.5 | GDP per capita US\$ 1 | 5,679.2 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 54.6 | Unemployment rate % | 7.9 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 5,617.1 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | -1.0 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 11.7 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 15.3 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 11.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.1 |


4.7

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production

| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------|-----------|
| Technology Innovation | & 20% | 47th | 4.5 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 61st | 4.8 |
| Global Trad & Investment | - 000/ | 85th | 3.6 |
| Institutiona Framework | 20% | 34th | 6.4 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 38th | 6.7 |
| Demand Environmer | nt 15% | 80th | 3.8 |
| Structure of F | Production | | 4.5 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 49th | 5.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 73rd | 3.1 |

Archetype







Uruguay

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 49 | 5.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 49 | 0.2 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 73 | 3.1 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 59 | 11.7 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 71 | 5,617.1 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 47 | 4.5 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 33 | 6.8 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 16 | 148.7 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 44 | 88.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 50 | 66.4 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 35 | 4.8 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 59 | 4.4 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 37 | 5.0 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 32 | 0.6 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 60 | 2.1 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.3 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 82 | 3.1 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 80 | 2.8 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 88 | 3.1 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 75 | 3.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 25 | 1.7 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 48 | 13.2 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 50 | 1.51 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 89 | 97.6 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 93 | 1.8 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 61 | 4.8 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 49 | 6.1 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 58 | 11.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 61 | 21.0 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 45 | 0.81 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 67 | 8.7 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.5 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 39 | 4.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 61 | 3.6 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 84 | -8.7 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.0 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 53 | 2.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 89 | 3.0 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 29 | 4.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 46 | 15.0 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 13 | 11.5 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.0 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.7 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 55 | 4.2 |

| ndex Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 85 | 3.6 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.9 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 83 | 41.5 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 78 | 0.08 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 52 | 4.4 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 59 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 92 | 0.6 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 78 | 840.6 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 57 | 2,071.2 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 88 | 28.2 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 58 | 6.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 66 | 41.3 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 46 | 84.6 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.4 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 34 | 6.4 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 53 | 69.7 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 19 | 71.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.6 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 36 | 0.6 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.7 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 38 | 6.7 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 12 | 0.5 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 6 | 0. |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 78 | 0.3 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billions) | 83 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}\ \mbox{water}\ \mbox{stress}\ \ \mbox{Annual withdrawals},\ \mbox{\% of annual available}\ \ \mbox{blue}\ \ \mbox{water}\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $ | 25 | 0.9 |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0 - 5 (worst) | 79 | 47. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 80 | 3.8 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 84 | 3.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 84 | 33. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 57 | 4.2 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.5 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.6 |
| | | |

Country Profiles

Viet Nam


Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|---|----------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 92.6 | GDP per capita US\$ | 2,173.3 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 201.3 | Unemployment rate % | 2.3 |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| Manufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ | 34,512.0 | Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | 9.8 |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 21.0 | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | 40.4 |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 14.4 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 1.7 |

Readiness Overall Assessment

| Drivers of Prod | 4.9 | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|-----------|
| Driver | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Technology & Innovation | 20% | 90th | 3.1 |
| Human Capital | 20% | 70th | 4.5 |
| Global Trade & Investment | 20% | 13th | 7.0 |
| Institutional Framework | 20% | 53rd | 5.0 |
| Sustainable Resources | 5% | 87th | 4.6 |
| Demand Environment | 15% | 39th | 5.2 |
| Structure of Pro | oduction | | 5.0 |
| Structure | Weighting | Rank | Score /10 |
| Complexity | 60% | 72nd | 4.4 |
| Scale | 40% | 17th | 5.8 |

Archetype



Nascent



Viet Nam

| ndex Component | Rank /1 | 100 Value |
|---|---------|-----------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 72 | 4.4 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) - 2.5 (best) | 72 | -0.3 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 17 | 5.8 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 9 | 21.0 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 40 | 34,512.0 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.1 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 92 | 4.3 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 39 | 128.0 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 96 | 5.0 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 76 | 46.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 73 | 4.1 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 78 | 4.2 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 70 | 4.4 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 90 | 0.2 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 77 | 1.9 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.8 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.7 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 31 | 3.6 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 47 | 3.6 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 72 | 3.5 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 84 | 0.2 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 74 | 5.6 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 73 | 0.18 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 49 | 2,712.8 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 55 | 14.0 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 70 | 4.5 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 70 | 5.4 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 28 | 14.4 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 81 | 10.8 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 57 | 0.73 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 74 | 8.0 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 66 | 4.0 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 62 | 3.5 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 63 | -2.2 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 44 | 3.5 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 68 | 3.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 80 | 3.6 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 79 | 12.6 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 62 | 19.2 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | 63 | 3.2 |
| 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) | 50 | 3.4 |
| 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.8 |

| ndex Component | Rank /10 | 0 Value |
|---|----------|----------|
| G Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 13 | 7.0 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 40 | 7.5 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 5 | 184.7 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 76 | 0.08 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 87 | 3.9 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 57 | 3.0 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 10 | 7.0 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 7 | 21,947. |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 27 | 10,173.0 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 19 | 123.8 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 51 | 6.5 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 57 | 45.0 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 45 | 84.9 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.0 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 53 | 5.0 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 65 | 66. |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 78 | 33. |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 43 | 3. |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 51 | 0.0 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 87 | 4.6 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 87 | 4.6 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 33 | 0.3 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 90 | 0.9 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 82 | 0.4 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 79 | 0. |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline water stress}$ Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 29 | 1. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 89 | 19. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 39 | 5.2 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 29 | 6.4 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 29 | 63. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 67 | 4.1 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 59 | 3.3 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 64 | 3. |



252 Readiness for the Future of Production Report 2018

Country Profiles

Zambia


Deadlineas for the Entrum of Directive Assessment of

| Key economic indicators | | | |
|--|------|--|---------|
| Population millions | 16.7 | GDP per capita US\$ | 1,274.8 |
| GDP US\$ billions | 21.3 | | |
| Key production indicators | | | |
| anufacturing value added 2010 millions US\$ 2,086.5 | | 5 Manufacturing value added growth Annual % | |
| Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP 7.6 | | Medium hi-tech & hi-tech industries % of manu. value added | |
| Manufacturing employment % working population | 4.1 | CO2 emission per unit of value added kg/USD | 0.3 |
| | | | |

Readiness Overall Assessment

Drivers of Production 3.5 Driver Weighting Score /10 Rank Technology & 6 2.7 20% 97th Innovation Human 3.1 20% 98th Capital Global Trade 3.2 20% 90th & Investment Institutional 4.5 20% 74th Ш Framework Sustainable 6.5 5% 45th Resources Demand 3.5 15% 86th iii Environment **Structure of Production** 2.4 Structure Weighting Rank Score /10 Complexity 2.7 60% 90th Scale 1.9 40% 90th

Archetype



Nascent



Zambia

Readiness for the Future of Production Assessment 2018 edition

| ndex Component | Rank /100 |) Value |
|---|-----------|------------|
| Structure: Complexity 0-10 (best) | 90 | 2.7 |
| 1.01 Economic complexity (2.5) – 2.5 (best) | 90 | -1.0 |
| Structure: Scale 0-10 (best) | 90 | 1.9 |
| 1.02 Manufacturing value added in economy % GDP | 85 | 7.6 |
| 1.03 Manufacturing value added US\$ millions | 88 | 2,086.5 |
| Driver: Technology & Innovation 0-10 (best) | 97 | 2.7 |
| Technology Platform 0-10 (best) | 99 | 3.6 |
| 2.01 Mobile-cellular telephone subscriptions /100 pop. | 95 | 74.9 |
| 2.02 LTE mobile network coverage % population | 95 | 5.8 |
| 2.03 Internet users % pop. | 92 | 25.5 |
| 2.04 FDI and technology transfer 1-7 (best) | 80 | 4.0 |
| 2.05 Firm-level technology absorption 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.9 |
| 2.06 Impact of ICTs on new services and products 1-7 (best) | 95 | 3.8 |
| 2.07 Cybersecurity commitment 0-1 (best) | 85 | 0.3 |
| Ability to Innovate 0-10 (best) | 88 | 1.7 |
| 2.08 State of cluster development 1-7 (best) | 71 | 3.5 |
| 2.09 Company investment in emerging technology 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.2 |
| 2.10 Gov't procurement of advanced technology products 1-7 (best) | 42 | 3.5 |
| 2.11 Companies embracing disruptive ideas 1-7 (best) | 76 | 3.2 |
| 2.12 Multi-stakeholder collaboration 1-7 (best) | 74 | 3.4 |
| 2.13 R&D expenditures % GDP | 75 | 0.3 |
| 2.14 Scientific and technical publications Number per Billion PPP\$ GDP | 76 | 5.4 |
| 2.15 Patent applications applications/million pop. | 94 | 0.01 |
| 2.16 Venture capital deal volume US\$ millions | 82 | 237.5 |
| 2.17 Venture capital deal volume per size of economy US\$/GDP | 64 | 10.5 |
| A Driver: Human Capital 0-10 (best) | 98 | 3.1 |
| Current Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 99 | 3.2 |
| 3.01 Manufacturing employment % working population | 96 | 4.1 |
| 3.02 Knowledge-intensive employment % working pop. | 85 | 7.3 |
| 3.03 Female participation in labor force ratio | 88 | 0.33 |
| 3.04 Mean years of schooling Years | 84 | 6.9 |
| 3.05 Availability of scientists and engineers 1-7 (best) | 69 | 3.8 |
| 3.06 Digital skills among population 1-7 (best) | 83 | 3.6 |
| Future Labor Force 0-10 (best) | 79 | 3.0 |
| 3.07 Migration migrants/100,000 pop. | 67 | -2.5 |
| 3.08 Country capacity to attract and retain talent 1-7 (best) | 53 | 3.4 |
| 3.09 Quality of universities Count | 75 | 0.0 |
| 3.10 Quality of math and science education 1-7 (best) | 70 | 3.7 |
| 3.11 Quality of vocational training 1-7 (best) | 60 | 3.9 |
| 3.12 School life expectancy Years | 81 | 12.5 |
| 3.13 Pupil-to-teacher ratio in primary education Ratio | 98 | 47.9 |
| | 55 | 3.3 |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) | | |
| 3.14 Critical thinking in teaching 1-7 (best) 3.15 Active labor policies 1-7 (best) 3.16 On-the-job training 1-7 (best) | 81 63 | 2.8 4.0 |

| index Component | Rank /100 | Value |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Driver: Global Trade & Investment 0-10 (best) | 90 | 3.2 |
| Trade 0-10 (best) | 62 | 6.0 |
| 4.01 Trade % GDP | 46 | 84.3 |
| 4.02 Trade tariffs % duty | 85 | 0.11 |
| 4.03 Prevalence of non-tariff barriers 1-7 (best) | 74 | 4.2 |
| 4.04 Logistics performance 1-5 (best) | 91 | 2.5 |
| Investment 0-10 (best) | 97 | 0.2 |
| 4.05 Greenfield investments US\$ millions | 72 | 1,167.3 |
| 4.06 FDI inflows US\$ millions | 58 | 1,911.9 |
| 4.07 Domestic credit to private sector % GDP | 99 | 12.0 |
| Infrastructure 0-10 (best) | 95 | 3.3 |
| 4.08 Transport infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 88 | 29.7 |
| 4.09 Electricity infrastructure 0-100 (best) | 95 | 36.8 |
| Driver: Institutional Framework 0-10 (best) | 74 | 4.5 |
| Government 0-10 (best) | 74 | 4.5 |
| 5.01 Regulatory efficiency 0 - 100 (best) | 78 | 61.8 |
| 5.02 Incidence of corruption 0-100 (best) | 63 | 38.0 |
| 5.03 Future orientation of government 1-7 (best) | 62 | 3.4 |
| 5.04 Rule of law (2.5) - 2.0 (best) | 71 | -0.3 |
| C Driver: Sustainable Resources 0-10 (best) | 45 | 6.5 |
| Sustainability 0-10 (best) | 45 | 6.5 |
| 6.01 Alternative and nuclear energy use % total energy use | 2 | 0.9 |
| 6.02 CO2 intensity level CO2 emissions in megatons/GDP (US\$ billiions) | 14 | 0.2 |
| 6.03 CH4 intensity level CH4 emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 87 | 0.5 |
| 6.04 N2O intensity level N2O emissions in megatons/GDP (US billions) | 98 | 1.3 |
| $6.05\ \mbox{Baseline}$ water stress Annual withdrawals, % of annual available blue water | 7 | 0. |
| 6.06 Wastewater treatment 0-5 (worst) | 71 | 58. |
| Driver: Demand Environment 0-10 (best) | 86 | 3.5 |
| Foreign and Domestic Demand 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.3 |
| 7.01 Market size 0-100 (best) | 82 | 33. |
| Consumer Base 0-10 (best) | 82 | 3.7 |
| 7.02 Buyer sophistication 1-7 (best) | 92 | 2.8 |
| 7.03 Extent of market dominance 1-7 (best) | 67 | 3.6 |
| | | |

WØRLD ECONOMIC FORUM



COMMITTED TO IMPROVING THE STATE OF THE WORLD

The World Economic Forum is the International Organization for Public-Private Cooperation and engages the foremost political, business and other leaders of society to shape global, regional and industry agendas.

World Economic Forum 91-93 route de la Capite CH-1223 Cologny/Geneva Switzerland

Tel +41 (0) 22 869 1212 Fax +41 (0) 22 786 2744

contact@weforum.org www.weforum.org